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## InTRODUCTION

This paper is an extension of the previous one (see [2]), where regulated functions with values in Euclidean spaces were considered. Here, we deal with regulated functions having values in a Banach space. We discuss some of the properties of the space of such regulated functions, including compactness theorems.

Classic results of mathematical analysis are being used (see [4]) and some ideas from previous works on the topic of regulated functions appear here (see [3], [5]).

## 1. Notation and definitions

(i) The symbol $\mathbb{N}$ will denote the set of all positive integers, $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is the $N$-dimensional Euclidean space with the usual norm $|\cdot|_{N}$. We write $\mathbb{R}$ and $|\cdot|$ instead of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $|\cdot|_{1}$.
(ii) Throughout the paper, the symbol $X$ will denote a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $\mathcal{C}([a, b] ; X)$ is the set of all continuous functions $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$.
(iii) We say that a function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing if $a \leqslant s<t \leqslant b$ implies $h(s)<h(t)$; the function $h$ is non-decreasing if $a \leqslant s<t \leqslant b$ implies $h(s) \leqslant h(t)$.
(iv) We say that $g:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is a finite step function, or shortly step function, if it is piecewise constant; i.e., there is a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that the function $g$ is constant on each of the intervals $\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
(v) We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{a, b}$ the set of divisions $\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ such that $a=a_{0}<$ $a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$.
(vi) For any function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, we write $\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup \left\{\|f(t)\|_{X}: t \in[a, b]\right\}$. If $\|f\|_{\infty}<\infty$, we say that the function $f$ is bounded; $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is called the sup-norm.
(vii) We say that a sequence of functions $f_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is uniformly convergent to a function $f_{0}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ (or that $f_{0}$ is the uniform limit of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) if $\left\|f_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ with $n \rightarrow \infty$; we denote $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f_{0}$.

## 2. Basic properties of a regulated function

Definition 2.1. We say that a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated if the limit $f(t-)=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow t-} f(\tau)$ exists for every $t \in(a, b]$, and the limit $f(t+)=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow t+} f(\tau)$ exists for every $t \in[a, b)$. We denote by $G([a, b] ; X)$ the set of all regulated functions $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$.

Obviously, any finite step function on $[a, b]$ and any continuous function on $[a, b]$ are regulated on $[a, b]$. Moreover, any function with bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and any monotone real valued function are regulated on $[a, b]$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $f_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are regulated functions and $f_{0}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is a function such that $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f_{0}$. Then the function $f_{0}$ is regulated and $f_{n}(t-) \rightarrow f_{0}(t-)$ for each $t \in(a, b], f_{n}(t+) \rightarrow f_{0}(t+)$ for each $t \in[a, b)$.

Proof. The proof follows easily from the classical Moore-Osgood theorem on exchanging the order of limits, cf. e.g. [4].

Theorem 2.3. The following properties of a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ are equivalent:
(i) The function $f$ is regulated.
(ii) The function $f$ is the uniform limit of a sequence of step functions.
(iii) For every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a step function $g:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$.
(iv) For every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ then $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. For every $x \in(a, b]$, define

$$
s_{x}=\inf \left\{s \in(a, x): \text { if } \tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in(s, x) \text { then }\left\|f\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-f\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} .
$$

For every $x \in[a, b)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{x}=\sup \left\{t \in(x, b): \text { if } \tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in(x, t) \text { then }\left\|f\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-f\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the existence of the limits $f(x-), f(x+)$ that $s_{x}<x$ and $t_{x}>x$.
Obviously,

$$
\left[a, t_{a}\right) \cup \bigcup_{x \in(a, b)}\left(s_{x}, t_{x}\right) \cup\left(s_{b}, b\right]=[a, b]
$$

and, since $[a, b]$ is compact, there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}$ of points in $(a, b)$ such that $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{k-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a, t_{a}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(s_{a_{i}}, t_{a_{i}}\right) \cup\left(s_{b}, b\right]=[a, b] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall verify that $s_{a_{i}}<t_{a_{i-1}}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. On the contrary, assume that there is $\sigma$ such that $t_{a_{i-1}} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant s_{a_{i}}$. Thanks to (2.2), there is $j \notin\{i-1, i\}$ such that $\sigma \in\left(s_{a_{j}}, t_{a_{j}}\right)$. If $j<i-1$ then by (2.1) we have $\left\|f\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)-f\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ for all $\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in\left(a_{j}, t_{a_{j}}\right)$, which specifically holds also for all $\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in\left(a_{i-1}, t_{a_{j}}\right)$. Hence $t_{a_{j}} \leqslant t_{a_{i-1}} \leqslant \sigma<t_{a_{j}}$ which is a contradiction. Similarly, if $j>i$ we find that this leads to a contradiction as well.

Consequently, for any $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, the intersection $\left(s_{a_{i}}, t_{a_{i-1}}\right) \cap\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and we choose $b_{i} \in\left(s_{a_{i}}, t_{a_{i-1}}\right) \cap\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$.

Now, if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, there are three possibilities: either $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b_{i}$ or $b_{i} \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ or $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime} \leqslant b_{i} \leqslant t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$. In the first case, both $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$ are in ( $a_{i-1}, t_{a_{i-1}}$ ), and thanks to (2.1)

$$
\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Similarly, if $b_{i} \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for some $i$ then $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in\left(s_{a_{i}}, a_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and, if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime} \leqslant b_{i} \leqslant t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for some $i$ then $t^{\prime}, b_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, t_{a_{i-1}}\right)$, and $b_{i}, t^{\prime \prime} \in$ ( $s_{a_{i}}, a_{i}$ ) and hence

$$
\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f\left(b_{i}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

To summarize, (iv) is true.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find the described division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<$ $a_{k}=b$; choose points $\tau_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ and define $g(\tau)=f\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ for $\tau \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, k ; g\left(a_{i}\right)=f\left(a_{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Then $g$ is a step function and $\| g(\tau)-$ $f(\tau) \|_{X}<\varepsilon$ for every $\tau \in[a, b]$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For $\varepsilon=1 / n$, we can find a step function $g_{n}$ such that $\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{\infty}<1 / n$. Hence, $g_{n} \rightrightarrows f$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): This implication follows from Proposition 2.2.

Let us notice that the equivalences contained in Theorem 2.3 have been already proved in [3] in a slightly different way. The following result also can be found in [3], but no detailed proof is provided therein.

Proposition 2.4. If a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated, then
(i) for any $c>0$, the sets $\left\{t \in[a, b):\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant c\right\}$ and $\{t \in(a, b]$ : $\left.\|f(t-)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant c\right\}$ are finite;
(ii) the sets $J^{+}=\{t \in[a, b): f(t+) \neq f(t)\}$ and $J^{-}=\{t \in(a, b]: f(t-) \neq f(t)\}$ are at most countable.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 2.3 (iv), there is a division $a=a_{0}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that

$$
\|f(u)-f(t)\|_{X}<\frac{c}{2} \quad \text { whenever } u, t \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right) \text { for some } i
$$

Passing to the limit $u \rightarrow t+$ we get

$$
\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{c}{2}<c \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b] \backslash\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} .
$$

(ii) It is evident that $J^{+}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{t \in[a, b):\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant 1 / n\right\}$; this is a countable union of finite sets, therefore at most countable. Similarly for the leftsided limits.

In the following theorem we are going to use the notion of total $\varphi$-variation which appears in [1].

Definition 2.5. Let us denote by $\Phi$ the set of all increasing functions $\varphi$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0)=\varphi(0+)=0, \varphi(\infty)=\infty$. For $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, given $\varphi \in \Phi$ and a division $d=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\} ; d \in \mathcal{D}_{a, b}$, we define

$$
\mathcal{V}_{d}^{\varphi}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi\left(\left\|f\left(t_{j}\right)-f\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right\|_{X}\right)
$$

and the total $\varphi$-variation of $f$ by

$$
\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f)=\sup \left\{\mathcal{V}_{d}^{\varphi}(f): d \in \mathcal{D}_{a, b}\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.6. The following properties of a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ are equivalent:
(i) The function $f$ is regulated.
(ii) There is a continuous function $g:[c, d] \rightarrow X$ and a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow[c, d]$ such that $f(t)=g(h(t))$ for every $t \in[a, b]$.
(iii) There is a continuous increasing function $\omega$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \omega(0+)=0$, and a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f(t)-f(s)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(|h(t)-h(s)|)$ holds for every $s, t \in[a, b]$.
(iv) There is a non-decreasing function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \omega(0+)=0$, and a nondecreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f(t)-f(s)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(|h(t)-h(s)|)$ holds for every $s, t \in[a, b]$.
(v) There is a continuous increasing function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \varphi(0)=\varphi(0+)=0$, $\varphi(\infty)=\infty$, such that $\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f)<\infty$.
(vi) There is an increasing function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \varphi(0)=\varphi(0+)=0$, $\varphi(\infty)=\infty$, such that $\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f) \leqslant 1$.

Proof. The scheme of the proof is (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i); (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{vi}) \Rightarrow$ (iv).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): According to Proposition 2.4, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sets $J_{n}^{-}, J_{n}^{+}$defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n}^{-} & =\left\{t \in(a, b]:\|f(t-)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant \frac{1}{n}\right\}, \\
J_{n}^{+} & =\left\{t \in(a, b]:\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant \frac{1}{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

are finite. Obviously, we can find non-decreasing functions $h_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with leftand right-hand discontinuity points in $J_{n}^{-}$and $J_{n}^{+}$, respectively. Moreover, $h_{n}$ can be chosen in such a way that all of them are bounded by 1 . Then we can define

$$
h(t)=t+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_{n}(t)
$$

for $t \in[a, b]$. Denote $h(a)=c$ and $h(b)=d$. The function $h$ is increasing, and it has left-handed and right-handed discontinuities at all points of the sets $J^{-}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{n}^{-}$ and $J^{+}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{n}^{+}$, respectively.

For every $\tau \in[c, d]$, we can find a unique point $t \in[a, b]$ such that either $\tau=h(t)$ or $h(t-) \leqslant \tau<h(t)$, or $h(t)<\tau \leqslant h(t+)$. If $\tau=h(t)$, we define $g(\tau)=f(t)$. If $h(t-) \leqslant \tau<h(t)$, we define

$$
g(\tau)=f(t)+\frac{h(t)-\tau}{h(t)-h(t-)}(f(t-)-f(t)) ;
$$

if $h(t)<\tau \leqslant h(t+)$, we define

$$
g(\tau)=f(t)+\frac{\tau-h(t)}{h(t+)-h(t)}(f(t+)-f(t))
$$

It is obvious that $f(t)=g(h(t))$ holds for each $t \in[a, b]$; we shall verify that the function $g$ is continuous. Certainly $g$ is continuous at each interval of the form $[h(t-), h(t)]$ and $[h(t), h(t+)]$. We need to prove that $g$ is left-continuous for every $\tau=h(t-)$, and right-continuous for every $\tau=h(t+)$.

Assume that $\tau_{0}=h\left(t_{0}-\right)$ for some $t_{0} \in(a, b]$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. There is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left(t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}\right) \subset[a, b] \quad \text { and } \quad \text { if } t_{0}-\delta<t<t_{0} \text { then }\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(t)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} .
$$

Obviously, if $t_{0}-\delta<t<t_{0}$ then

$$
\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(t-)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(t+)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

Choose a point $\sigma \in\left(t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}\right)$ at which the function $h$ is continuous. Let $s \in$ $\left(h(\sigma), h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)$ be an arbitrary point. We can find $t \in\left(\sigma, t_{0}\right)$ such that $h(t-) \leqslant s \leqslant$ $h(t+)$. If $s=h(t)$, then

$$
\left\|g(s)-g\left(h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)\right\|_{X}=\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

if $h(t-) \leqslant s<h(t)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g(s)-g\left(h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)\right\|_{X} & \leqslant\|g(s)-g(h(t))\|_{X}+\left\|g(h(t))-g\left(h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& =\frac{h(t)-s}{h(t)-h(t-)}\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X}+\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& \leqslant\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X}+\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& \leqslant 2\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f(t-)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $h(t)<s \leqslant h(t+)$, then $\left\|g(s)-g\left(h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$. We can conclude that the function $g$ is left-continuous at the point $\tau_{0}=h\left(t_{0}-\right)$. Analogously, it can be proved that $g$ is right-continuous at every point $\tau_{0}=h\left(t_{0}+\right)$ for $t_{0} \in[a, b)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): The function $\omega$ can be defined by

$$
\omega(r)=r+\sup \left\{\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} ; \tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b],\left|\tau^{\prime \prime}-\tau^{\prime}\right| \leqslant r\right\}, \quad \omega(0)=0
$$

Since a function continuous on a compact interval is uniformly continuous, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\text { if } \tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b] \text { and }\left|\tau^{\prime \prime}-\tau^{\prime}\right|<\delta \text { then }\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

It follows that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \omega(r)=0$.
It is obvious that the function $\omega$ is increasing, $\omega(\infty)=\infty$. If the function $\omega$ were not continuous at a point $r \in(0, \infty)$, then $\omega(r+)>\omega(r-)$ would hold.
(1) Assume that $\omega(r)>\omega(r-)$. By definition of $\omega$, there are points $\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left|\tau^{\prime}-\tau^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant r \text { and } r+\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}>\omega(r-)
$$

We can find $r_{1} \in(0, r)$ such that

$$
r_{1}+\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}>\omega(r-) .
$$

Since $g$ is continuous, there are $s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left|s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right|<r \text { and } r_{1}+\left\|g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}>\omega(r-) .
$$

Denote $\varrho=\max \left\{r_{1},\left|s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}$. Then,

$$
\varrho+\left\|g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \geqslant r_{1}+\left\|g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}>\omega(r-) \geqslant \omega(\varrho),
$$

which is in contradiction with the definition of $\omega$.
(2) Assume that $\omega(r+)>\omega(r)$. We can fix a point $c$ such that $\omega(r+)>c>\omega(r)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\omega(r+1 / n)>c$. There are $\tau_{n}^{\prime}, \tau_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b]$ such that $\left|\tau_{n}^{\prime \prime}-\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant$ $r+1 / n$ and

$$
\omega\left(r+\frac{1}{n}\right) \geqslant r+\frac{1}{n}+\left\|g\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}>c
$$

We can find convergent subsequences $\tau_{n_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}, \tau_{n_{k}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau^{\prime \prime}$; considering that the function $g$ is continuous, we obtain limits at both sides:

$$
\omega(r+) \geqslant r+\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \geqslant c>\omega(r)
$$

at the same time, $r+\left\|g\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(r)$ because $\left|\tau^{\prime}-\tau^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant r$, which is a contradiction.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): This is obvious.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): For $\varepsilon>0$ given, we can find $r>0$ such that $\omega(r)<\varepsilon$; considering that the non-decreasing function $h$ is regulated, we can find a division $a=x_{0}<$ $x_{1}<\ldots<x_{k}=b$ such that if $x_{i-1}<s<t<x_{i}$ then $|h(t)-h(s)|<r$. Then we have

$$
\|f(t)-f(s)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(|h(t)-h(s)|) \leqslant \omega(r)<\varepsilon
$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we conclude that the function $f$ is regulated.
(iii) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ : We can assume that $\omega(\infty)=\infty$, otherwise $\omega(r)$ can be replaced by $\omega(r)+r$. Let us define $\varphi=\omega^{-1}$. Then $\varphi \in \Phi$ and for any division $d \in \mathcal{D}_{a, b}$, $d=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{d}^{\varphi}(f) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} \varphi\left(\left\|f\left(t_{j}\right)-f\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right\|_{X}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varphi\left(\omega\left(h\left(t_{j}\right)-h\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[h\left(t_{j}\right)-h\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]=h(b)-h(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f) \leqslant h(b)-h(a)$.
(v) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{vi})$ : Denote $\alpha=\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f)$; if $\alpha=0$ then $\alpha \leqslant 1$ is satisfied; if $\alpha>0$, we can define $\psi(x)=\varphi(x) / \alpha, x \in[0, \infty)$; then for any division $d \in \mathcal{D}_{[a, b]}, d=$ $\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{V}_{d}^{\psi}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \psi\left(\left\|f\left(t_{j}\right)-f\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right\|_{X}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi\left(\left\|f\left(t_{j}\right)-f\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right\|_{X}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{d}^{\varphi}(f)
$$

consequently, $\psi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, b]}(f)=1$.
(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Define $h(t)=\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{[a, t]}(f)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$; the function $h$ is nondecreasing. For any $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$ such that $a \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$, we have

$$
h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varphi\left(\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}\right)
$$

because $d=\left\{t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a division of the interval $\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
Keeping in mind that the function $\varphi$ is increasing and $\varphi(0)=\varphi(0+)=0$, $\varphi(\infty)=\infty$, we can define a function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ so that

$$
\omega(0)=0 ; \quad \omega(r)=x \quad \text { if } r=\varphi(x) \text { for some } x \in(0, \infty)
$$

and

$$
\text { if } r \in(\varphi(x-), \varphi(x+)) \text { for some } x \in[0, \infty) \text { then } \omega(r)=x
$$

Apparently $\omega(\varphi(x))=x$ for every $x \in[0, \infty)$ and the function $\omega$ is non-decreasing, $\omega(0+)=0$ (actually, $\omega$ is continuous, however that is not needed here).

For any $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$ such that $a \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$, we have

$$
\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}=\omega\left(\varphi\left(\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}\right)\right) \leqslant \omega\left(\varphi-\operatorname{Var}_{\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]}(f)\right)=\omega\left(h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

The function $g$ as defined in the proof is called the linear prolongation of the function $f$ along the increasing function $h$ (see [2]).

Proposition 2.7. Assume that a function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated. Then
(i) the function $f$ is bounded,
(ii) the image $\operatorname{Im}(f)=\{f(t): t \in[a, b]\}$ is a relatively compact subset of $X$,
(iii) there is a sequence of step functions $g_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $g_{n} \rightrightarrows f$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(g_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{Im}(f)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) According to Theorem 2.3, we can find a step function $g:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<1$; then $\|f\|_{\infty}<\|g\|_{\infty}+1$ and a step function is obviously bounded.
(ii) For $\varepsilon>0$, we can find a step function $g:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$. The step function $g$ has finitely many values, i.e., $C=\operatorname{Im}(g) \subset X$ is a finite set. For any $t \in[a, b]$, there is a point $c \in C$ such that $\|c-f(t)\|_{X}<\varepsilon($ namely, $c=g(t))$. This means that $C$ is a finite $\varepsilon$-net for the set $\operatorname{Im}(f)$; consequently, $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ is a relatively compact subset of $X$.
(iii) We can see in the proof of Theorem 2.3 that the step functions can be constructed with values from $\operatorname{Im}(f)$.

## 3. Uniform convergence of regulated functions

Definition 3.1. We say that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated if for every $t \in(a, b]$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that $(t-\delta, t) \subset[a, b]$ and if $\tau \in(t-\delta, t)$, then $\|f(t-)-f(\tau)\|_{X}<\varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$; moreover, for every $t \in[a, b)$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that $(t, t+\delta) \subset[a, b]$ and if $\tau \in(t, t+\delta)$, then $\|f(t+)-f(\tau)\|_{X}<\varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proposition 3.2. A set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated if and only if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ then $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. It can be obtained in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv).

Theorem 3.3. Assume that a sequence of regulated functions $f_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is given, and there is a function $f_{0}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow f_{0}(t)$ for every $t \in[a, b]$. Then the function $f_{0}$ is the uniform limit of the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if the set $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated.

Proof. Assume that $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f_{0}$. According to Proposition 2.2, the function $f_{0}$ is regulated. Let $t \in(a, b]$ be given. For any given $\varepsilon>0$, we can find $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|f_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$. For every $n=0,1, \ldots, n_{0}$, there is $\delta_{n}>0$ such that $\left(t-\delta_{n}, t\right) \subset[a, b]$ and if $\tau \in\left(t-\delta_{n}, t\right)$, then $\left\|f_{n}(t-)-f_{n}(\tau)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$.

Denote $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n_{0}}\right\}$. If $\tau \in(t-\delta, t)$, then $\left\|f_{n}(t-)-f_{n}(\tau)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$ for $n=1, \ldots, n_{0}$; and if $n \geqslant n_{0}$ then

$$
\left\|f_{n}(t-)-f_{n}(\tau)\right\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|f_{n}(t-)-f_{0}(t-)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f_{0}(t-)-f_{0}(\tau)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f_{0}(\tau)-f_{n}(\tau)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

The proof for right-sided limits is analogous.
Now, assume that the set $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. By Proposition 3.2, there is a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ then $\left\|f_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a point $b_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. We have $f_{n}\left(a_{i}\right) \rightarrow f_{0}\left(a_{i}\right), f_{n}\left(b_{i}\right) \rightarrow f_{0}\left(b_{i}\right)$; we can find $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}\left(a_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon & \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, k, \\
\left\|f_{n}\left(b_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} & \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, k .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $t \in[a, b]$ given, either $t=a_{i}$ for some $i$, then $\left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$; or $t \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$; since $f_{n}(t) \rightarrow f_{0}(t)$, there is a fixed $m \geqslant n_{0}$ such that $\left\|f_{m}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon$. For any $n \geqslant n_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant & \left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{n}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f_{n}\left(b_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f_{0}\left(b_{i}\right)-f_{m}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& +\left\|f_{m}\left(b_{i}\right)-f_{m}(t)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f_{m}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|_{X}<2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f_{0}$.
Proposition 3.4. Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated. Then
(i) for any $c>0$, the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{c}^{+}=\left\{t \in[a, b) ; \text { there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text { such that }\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant c\right\}, \\
& J_{c}^{-}=\left\{t \in(a, b] ; \text { there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text { such that }\|f(t-)-f(t)\|_{X} \geqslant c\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

are finite;
(ii) the sets defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{+}=\{t \in[a, b) ; \text { there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text { such that } f(t+) \neq f(t)\}  \tag{3.1}\\
& J^{-}=\{t \in(a, b] ; \text { there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text { such that } f(t-) \neq f(t)\}
\end{align*}
$$

are at most countable.
Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.4.
Lemma 3.5. Assume that sets $\mathcal{J} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ are equiregulated. Then the set $\{f+g: f \in \mathcal{J}, g \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is equiregulated.

Proof. Let $t \in(a, b]$ be given. For any $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $\left(t-\delta_{1}, t\right) \subset[a, b]$ and if $\tau \in\left(t-\delta_{1}, t\right)$ then

$$
\|f(t-)-f(\tau)\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { holds for all } f \in \mathcal{J}
$$

and we can find $\delta_{2}>0$ such that $\left(t-\delta_{2}, t\right) \subset[a, b]$ and if $\tau \in\left(t-\delta_{2}, t\right)$ then

$$
\|g(t-)-g(\tau)\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { holds for all } g \in \mathcal{T}
$$

Then we put $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and if $\tau \in(t-\delta, t)$ then

$$
\|(f+g)(t-)-(f+g)(\tau)\|_{X} \leqslant\|f(t-)-f(\tau)\|_{X}+\|g(t-)-g(\tau)\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

Similarly for right-sided limits.
Proposition 3.6. Assume that sequences of regulated functions $f_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X$, $g_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are given such that $\left\|g_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. If the set $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated, then the set $\left\{g_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated.

Proof. Denote $h_{n}=g_{n}-f_{n}$. We have a sequence of regulated functions $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is uniformly convergent to the zero function. According to Theorem 3.3, the set $\left\{h_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated. Now we can use Lemma 3.5 to conclude that the set $\left\{g_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\left\{f_{n}+h_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated.

Definition 3.7. We say that a set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ has bounded jumps if for each $t \in(a, b]$ the set $\{f(t)-f(t-): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, and for each $t \in[a, b)$ the set $\{f(t+)-f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded.

For $t \in(a, b]$ and $s \in[a, b)$, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{t}^{-} & =\sup \left\{\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X}: f \in \mathcal{T}\right\}  \tag{3.2}\\
K_{s}^{+} & =\sup \left\{\|f(s)-f(s+)\|_{X}: f \in \mathcal{T}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3.8. Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated and has bounded jumps. Then there is $K>0$ such that $\|f(t)-f(a)\|_{X} \leqslant K$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}, t \in[a, b]$.

Moreover, if the set $\{f(a): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, then the set $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded.
Proof. Using Proposition 3.2, we can find a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<1$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{T}, a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$.

Let $K_{a_{i-1}}^{+}, K_{a_{i}}^{-}$be given by (3.2). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f\left(a_{i}\right)- & f\left(a_{i-1}\right) \|_{X} \\
& \leqslant\left\|f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(a_{i}-\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f\left(a_{i}-\right)-f\left(a_{i-1}+\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f\left(a_{i-1}+\right)-f\left(a_{i-1}\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& \leqslant K_{a_{i}}^{-}+1+K_{a_{i-1}}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\left\|f\left(a_{j}\right)-f(a)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|f\left(a_{i}\right)-f\left(a_{i-1}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant j+\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(K_{a_{i}}^{-}+K_{a_{i-1}}^{+}\right)$.
If $t \in\left(a_{j}, a_{j+1}\right)$ then

$$
\|f(t)-f(a)\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|f(t)-f\left(a_{j}+\right)\right\|_{X}+K_{a_{j}}^{+}+\left\|f\left(a_{j}\right)-f(a)\right\|_{X}
$$

we can conclude that

$$
\|f(t)-f(a)\|_{X} \leqslant K:=k+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} K_{a_{i}}^{+}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} K_{a_{i}}^{-}
$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}, t \in[a, b]$.
The latter part of the proposition is evident.
Proposition 3.9. If the set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated and for every $t \in$ $[a, b]$ the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, then the set $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.2, we can find a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<$ $\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ then $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<1$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{T}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, choose a point $b_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$. The set

$$
\left\{f\left(a_{i}\right): f \in \mathcal{T}, i=0,1, \ldots, k\right\} \cup\left\{f\left(b_{i}\right): f \in \mathcal{T}, i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

is bounded by a constant $K$.
Let any $t \in[a, b]$ be given, and $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Either $t=a_{i}$ for some $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$, then $\|f(t)\|_{X}=\left\|f\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant K$; or $t \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, then

$$
\|f(t)\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|f(t)-f\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<1+K
$$

concluding the proof.

Theorem 3.10. For any set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$, the following properties are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated and has bounded jumps;
(ii) there is a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow[c, d]$ and an equicontinuous set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}([c, d] ; X)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is a continuous function $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t)=g(h(t))$ for $t \in[a, b]$;
(iii) there is a non-decreasing function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \omega(0+)=0$, and a nondecreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(\left|h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}, a \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): It follows from Proposition 3.4 that the sets $J^{+}, J^{-}$are at most countable. As was proved in Theorem 2.6, there exists a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{-}=\{t \in(a, b]: h(t-) \neq h(t)\} \\
& J^{+}=\{t \in[a, b): h(t+) \neq h(t)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can assume that the function $h$ is increasing (if not, it can be replaced by $\tilde{h}(t)=h(t)+t)$.

For each $f \in \mathcal{T}$, we can define its linear prolongation $g_{f}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.6:

If $\tau=h(t)$, we define

$$
g_{f}(\tau)=f(t)
$$

If $h(t-) \leqslant \tau<h(t)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{f}(\tau)=f(t)+\frac{h(t)-\tau}{h(t)-h(t-)}(f(t-)-f(t)) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h(t)<\tau \leqslant h(t+)$, we define

$$
g_{f}(\tau)=f(t)+\frac{\tau-h(t)}{h(t+)-h(t)}(f(t+)-f(t)) .
$$

Then $g_{f}(h(t))=f(t) ; g_{f}(h(t-))=f(t-) ; g_{f}(h(t+))=f(t+)$. All these functions $g_{f}$ are continuous and we denote $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{f}: f \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$. We will prove that the set $\mathcal{B}$ is equicontinuous.

Let $t \in(a, b]$ be given such that $h(t-)<h(t)$. It is assumed that

$$
\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X} \leqslant K_{t}^{-}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, where $K_{t}^{-}<\infty$ is given by (3.2). We have

$$
\left\|g_{f}(h(t))-g_{f}(h(t-))\right\|_{X}=\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X} \leqslant K_{t}^{-},
$$

hence for any $\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime} \in[h(t-), h(t)]$ we have

$$
\left\|g_{f}\left(h\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-g_{f}\left(h\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{\left|\tau^{\prime \prime}-\tau^{\prime}\right| K_{t}^{-}}{h(t)-h(t-)}
$$

the functions $g_{f}$ are equicontinuous on $[h(t-), h(t)]$. Analogously, they are equicontinuous on each interval $[h(t), h(t+)]$ where $h(t) \neq h(t+)$.

Now assume that $s_{0}=h\left(t_{0}-\right)$ for some $t_{0} \in(a, b]$ (regardless if $h$ if left-continuous at $t_{0}$ or not); we will prove that the functions in $\mathcal{B}$ are equicontinuous from the left at $s_{0}$. For given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $\delta>0$ such that $t_{0}-\delta>a$, and if $t_{0}-\delta<\tau<t_{0}$ then $\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(\tau)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$. It is evident that

$$
\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(\tau+)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad\left\|f\left(t_{0}-\right)-f(\tau-)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{3}
$$

holds for any $\tau \in\left(t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}\right)$. Fix a point $\tau \in\left(t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}\right)$ and denote $\eta=h\left(t_{0}-\right)-$ $h(\tau)$. We have $\eta>0$ because the function $h$ is increasing. Let $s \in\left(s_{0}-\eta, s_{0}\right)=$ $\left(h(\tau), h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)$ be an arbitrary point. Considering that $h$ is an increasing function, there is a unique point $t \in\left(\tau, t_{0}\right)$ such that $h(t-) \leqslant s \leqslant h(t+)$.

The first case is $h(t-) \leqslant s \leqslant h(t)$; then for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{f}(s)-g_{f}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|_{X} & \leqslant\left\|g_{f}(s)-g_{f}(h(t))\right\|_{X}+\left\|g_{f}(h(t))-g_{f}\left(h\left(t_{0}-\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& =\frac{s-h(t)}{h(t-)-h(t)}\|f(t-)-f(t)\|_{X}+\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X} \\
& \leqslant\left\|f(t-)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X}+2\left\|f(t)-f\left(t_{0}-\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

or in the case $h(t) \leqslant s \leqslant h(t+)$, again we obtain $\left\|g_{f}(s)-g_{f}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$. This proves the equicontinuity at $h\left(t_{0}-\right)$ from the left; equicontinuity at $h\left(t_{0}+\right)$ from the right can be proved similarly.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Define

$$
\omega(r)=\sup \left\{\left\|g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} ; s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime} \in[c, d],\left|s^{\prime \prime}-s^{\prime}\right| \leqslant r ; g \in \mathcal{B}\right\}, \quad \omega(0)=0
$$

It is well-known that an equicontinuous set of functions is uniformly continuous; therefore $w(0+)=0$. We have

$$
\left\|g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(\left|s^{\prime \prime}-s^{\prime}\right|\right) \quad \text { for any } g \in \mathcal{B}, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime} \in[c, d]
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}=\left\|g_{f}\left(h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-g_{f}\left(h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(\left|h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{T}, t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): It is well-known that any non-decreasing function is regulated. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given; there is $r>0$ such that $\omega(r)<\varepsilon$. For any $t \in[a, b)$ there is $\delta>0$ such that $h(t+\delta)-h(t+)<r$. If $f \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\tau \in(t, t+\delta)$, then

$$
\|f(\tau)-f(t+)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(h(\tau)-h(t+)) \leqslant \omega(r)<\varepsilon
$$

similarly for the left-sided limits. Further, for any $t \in[a, b)$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$
\|f(t+)-f(t)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(h(t+)-h(t))
$$

similarly, for any $t \in(a, b]$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$
\|f(t)-f(t-)\|_{X} \leqslant \omega(h(t)-h(t-))
$$

Consequently, the set $\mathcal{T}$ has bounded jumps.
Proposition 3.11. Assume that a sequence of regulated functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset$ $G([a, b] ; X)$ is given such that:
$\triangleright$ there is a non-decreasing function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \omega(0+)=0$, and
$\triangleright$ there is a bounded sequence of non-decreasing functions $h_{n}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f_{n}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, a \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$.
The following conditions are sufficient for the set $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ to be equiregulated:
(i) the set $\left\{h_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated;
(ii) $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] \leqslant h_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ holds for any $a<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<b$ and the function $h_{0}$ is continuous;
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}(t)=h_{0}(t)$ for every $t \in[a, b]$ and the function $h_{0}$ is continuous.

Proof. (i) Assume that the set $\left\{h_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated. According to Theorem 3.10, we can find a non-decreasing function $\vartheta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \vartheta(0+)=0$ and a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\left|h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant \vartheta\left(\left|h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)
$$

holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, a \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$. Then

$$
\left\|f_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(\left|h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) \leqslant \omega\left(\vartheta\left(h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

using Theorem 3.10, we conclude that the set $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated.
(ii) Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. The continuous function $h_{0}$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$; then there is $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathrm{a} \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b$ and $t^{\prime \prime}-t^{\prime}<\delta$ then $h_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$. We can find a division $a=b_{0}<b_{1}<\ldots<b_{k}=b$ such that

$$
h_{0}\left(b_{j}\right)-h_{0}\left(b_{j-1}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for any } i=1,2, \ldots, k .
$$

There is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, k$ then

$$
0 \leqslant h_{n}\left(b_{j}\right)-h_{n}\left(b_{j-1}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+h_{0}\left(b_{j}\right)-h_{0}\left(b_{j-1}\right) .
$$

Considering that the functions $h_{n}$ are non-decreasing, we get

$$
0 \leqslant h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \leqslant h_{n}\left(b_{j}\right)-h_{n}\left(b_{j-1}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+h_{0}\left(b_{j}\right)-h_{0}\left(b_{j-1}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

for any $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}$ such that $b_{j-1} \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant b_{j}, n \geqslant n_{0}$.
The functions $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n_{0}}$ are regulated, therefore, for each interval $\left[b_{j-1}, b_{j}\right]$ we can find a subdivision $b_{j-1}=a_{0, j}<a_{1, j}<\ldots<a_{l_{j}, j}=b_{j}$ such that $0 \leqslant$ $h_{n}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$ holds for $n \leqslant n_{0}, a_{i-1, j} \leqslant t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime} \leqslant a_{i, j}$; it follows that the conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied, and therefore, the set $\left\{h_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is equiregulated. Now, we can use part (i).

Finally, (iii) is a consequence of (ii).

## 4. Sup-NORM TOPOLOGY

Proposition 4.1. The linear space of regulated functions $G([a, b] ; X)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Obviously $G([a, b] ; X)$ is a linear space and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is a norm. We shall prove that it is a complete normed linear space.

Assume that $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence of regulated functions. For any $t \in$ $[a, b]$, the sequence $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the Cauchy property, therefore its limit in the Banach space $X$ exists, and it can be denoted by $f_{0}(t)$. For each $\varepsilon>0$ there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{m}(t)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b] \text { and all } m, n \geqslant n_{0}
$$

Passing to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\left\|f_{n}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \varepsilon \quad \text { for all } t \in[a, b] \text { and all } n \geqslant n_{0}
$$

We have $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f_{0}$ and it follows from Proposition 2.2 that the function $f_{0}$ is regulated.

Theorem 4.2. A set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b] ; X)$ if and only if the set $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated and satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } t \in[a, b] \text {, the set }\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\} \text { is relatively compact in } X \text {. } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is relatively compact. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a finite $\varepsilon$-net, i.e., a finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$. For any fixed $t \in[a, b]$, denote $\mathcal{P}_{t}=\{g(t): g \in \mathcal{P}\} ;$ this is a finite subset of $X$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $p \in \mathcal{P}_{t}(p=g(t))$ such that $\|f(t)-p\|_{X}<\varepsilon$; this means that $\mathcal{P}_{t}$ is a finite $\varepsilon$-net for the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Consequently, this is a relatively compact subset of $X$.

Now, we shall prove that the functions in $\mathcal{T}$ have uniform one-sided limits. Let $\tau \in[a, b]$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. We can find a finite $\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$-net $\mathcal{P} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ for $\mathcal{T}$.

Let us denote the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ as $\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$. These are regulated functions, therefore we can find $\delta>0$ such that if $t \in(\tau-\delta, \tau) \cap[a, b]$ then $\left\|g_{j}(t)-g_{j}(\tau-)\right\|_{X}<$ $\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$ and if $t \in(\tau, \tau+\delta) \cap[a, b]$ then $\left\|g_{j}(t)-g_{j}(\tau+)\right\|_{X}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$ for any $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$ be given, then we can find $j$ such that $\left\|f-g_{j}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$. For any $t \in(\tau-\delta, \tau) \cap[a, b]$ we have

$$
\|f(t)-f(\tau-)\|_{X} \leqslant 2\left\|f-g_{j}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|g_{j}(t)-g_{j}(\tau-)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

and for any $t \in(\tau, \tau+\delta) \cap[a, b]$ we have

$$
\|f(t)-f(\tau+)\|_{X} \leqslant 2\left\|f-g_{j}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|g_{j}(t)-g_{j}(\tau+)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

(ii) Assume that the set $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated and satisfies condition (4.1). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. According to Proposition 3.2, there is a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}=b$ such that if $a_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<a_{i}$ for an index $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$.

Let us choose a point $b_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Due to (4.1) the set $Y=\left\{f\left(a_{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, k ; f \in \mathcal{T}\right\} \cup\left\{f\left(b_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, k ; f \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$ is relatively compact in the Banach space $X$; consequently, it has a finite $\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$-net $Z \subset X$.

Let us define a set $Q$ of all step functions with values in $Z$ which are constant on each of the intervals $\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, k$. The set $Q$ is finite. For a given $f \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $f\left(a_{i}\right) \in Y, f\left(b_{i}\right) \in Y$, hence there are $\alpha_{i} \in Z, \beta_{i} \in Z$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f\left(a_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & i=0,1, \ldots, k \\
\left\|f\left(b_{i}\right)-\beta_{i}\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & i=1,2, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $g\left(a_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, g(t)=\beta_{i}$ for $t \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$; then $g \in Q$ and we have

$$
\left\|f\left(a_{i}\right)-g\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad\|f(t)-g(t)\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|f(t)-f\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}+\left\|f\left(b_{i}\right)-g\left(b_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $t \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$. This means that for an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{T}$ a function $g \in Q$ was found such that $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$; the set $Q$ is a finite $\varepsilon$-net for $\mathcal{T}$.

We have found that the set $\mathcal{T}$ is totally bounded, and therefore it is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b] ; X)$.

Corollary 4.3. A set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is relatively compact in $G\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if and only if the set $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated and for every $t \in[a, b]$ the set $\{f(t) ; f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded.

Proposition 4.4. If a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is relatively compact, then its image $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T})=\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}, t \in[a, b]\}$ is a relatively compact subset of $X$.

Proof. We are going to prove that the set $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is totally bounded; i.e., has a finite $\varepsilon$-net for any $\varepsilon>0$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. The relatively compact set $\mathcal{T}$ has a finite $\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$-net $Q \subset$ $G([a, b] ; X)$, it means that for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in Q$ satisfying $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. According to Theorem 2.3, for each $g \in Q$ there is a step function $\psi_{g}$ such that $\left\|g-\psi_{g}\right\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. The finite set of step functions $\left\{\psi_{g}: g \in Q\right\}$ has a finite set of values

$$
Z=\left\{\psi_{g}(t): t \in[a, b], g \in Q\right\}
$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $g \in Q$ such that $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$; then

$$
\left\|f(t)-\psi_{g}(t)\right\|_{X} \leqslant\|f-g\|_{\infty}+\left\|g-\psi_{g}\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon
$$

and $\psi_{g}(t) \in Z$; this means that $Z$ is a finite $\varepsilon$-net for $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proposition 4.5. For an equiregulated set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ its relative compactness is equivalent to relative compactness of its image.

Proof. (i) If the set $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated, then $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is relatively compact according to Proposition 4.4.
(ii) If $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is relatively compact, then condition (4.1) holds and we can use Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.6. For a set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$, the following properties are equivalent:
(i) The set $\mathcal{T}$ is a relatively compact subset of the Banach space $\left(G\left([a, b] ; X ;\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)\right.$.
(ii) There is a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow[c, d]$ and a set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}([c, d] ; X)$ of continuous functions which is relatively compact in the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ so that for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t)=g(h(t)), t \in[a, b]$.
(iii) For every $t \in[a, b]$, the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in $X$ and there is a non-decreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a non-decreasing function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), w(0+)=0$ such that $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant \omega\left(\left|h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ holds for every $f \in \mathcal{T}, t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b]$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): According to Theorem 4.2, the set $\mathcal{T}$ is equiregulated and satisfies (4.1). Then $\mathcal{T}$ has bounded jumps; using Theorem 3.10, we can find a nondecreasing function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow[c, d]$ where $h(a)=c, h(b)=d$ and an equicontinuous set $\mathcal{B} \subset C([c, d] ; X)$ defined by $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{f}: f \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$, where the function $g_{f}$ is the linear prolongation of $f$ defined by the formula (3.3).

We are going to prove that the set $\mathcal{B}$ is totally bounded, therefore relatively compact.

Given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a finite $\varepsilon$-net $Q \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ for $\mathcal{T}$. Define $g_{\zeta}$ by the formula (3.3) for every $\zeta \in Q$. Then the set $\left\{g_{\zeta}: \zeta \in Q\right\}$ is a finite $\varepsilon$-net for the set $\mathcal{B}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): For each $t \in[a, b]$ we have $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\} \subset\{g(h(t)): g \in \mathcal{B}\}$; hence the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in $X$. We can define

$$
\omega(r)=\sup \left\{\left\|g\left(s^{\prime}\right)-g\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{X}:\left|s^{\prime}-s^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant r ; g \in \mathcal{B}\right\} .
$$

It follows from Arzelà-Ascoli theorem (version in Banach space) that the relatively compact set $\mathcal{B}$ is equicontinuous, consequently $w(0+)=0$. Obviously, the function $\omega$ is non-decreasing.

For any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t)=g(h(t)), t \in[a, b]$. Then, for any $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in[a, b]$ we obtain the inequality $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}=\left\|g\left(h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-g\left(h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{X} \leqslant$ $\omega\left(\left|h\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Follows from Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.7. Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated. Denote the sets $J^{-}, J^{+}$as in (3.1). Then for any dense subset $M \subset[a, b]$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a division $a=c_{0}<c_{1}<\ldots c_{k}=b$ such that $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right\} \subset M \cup J^{-} \cup J^{+}$ and if $c_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<c_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ then $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. We can find a division $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots a_{k}=b$ as described in Proposition 3.2. If $a_{i} \in M \cup J^{-} \cup J^{+} \cup\{a, b\}$, we denote $c_{i}=a_{i}$. If $a_{i} \notin M \cup J^{-} \cup$ $J^{+} \cup\{a, b\}$ then all functions $f \in \mathcal{T}$ are continuous at $a_{i}$ : there is $\delta>0$ such that if $\left|t-a_{i}\right|<\delta$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\left\|f(t)-f\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$. The set $M$ is dense in $[a, b]$, therefore we can find $c_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right) \cap M$ such that $\left|c_{i}-a_{i}\right|<\delta$. In both cases, we have $\left\|f\left(c_{i}\right)-f\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{X}<\varepsilon$ for every $f \in \mathcal{T}, i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots k\}$. Now, if $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and $c_{i-1}<t^{\prime}<t^{\prime \prime}<c_{i}$, there are several options and it is only a technical matter to verify that $\left\|f\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{X}<2 \varepsilon$ in all possible cases.

Theorem 4.8. Assume that a set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b] ; X)$ is equiregulated. Denote the sets $J^{-}, J^{+}$as in (3.1). Assume that there is a dense subset $M \subset[a, b]$ such that for every $t \in M_{0}=M \cup J^{-} \cup J^{+} \cup\{a, b\}$ the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in $X$. Then the set $\mathcal{T}$ is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b] ; X)$.

Proof. The proof can be performed the same way as the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.2, where the points $b_{i} \in\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ can be chosen so that $b_{i} \in M_{0}$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$.
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