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#### Abstract

We formulate a common fixed point theorem for four non-self mappings in convex partial metric spaces. The result extends a fixed point theorem by Gajić and Rakočević (2007) proved for two non-self mappings in metric spaces with a Takahashi convex structure. We also provide an illustrative example on the use of the theorem.


Keywords: common fixed point; convex partial metric space; non-self mapping MSC 2010: 47H10, 54H25

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Gajić and Rakočević [5] proved a common fixed point theorem for non-self mappings on a Takahashi convex metric space for a pair of mappings. In their work, they generalized the theorems by Jungck [7], Das and Naik [4], Ćirić et al. [3], Ćirić [2] and Imdad and Kumar [6]. In this study, we extend the theorem by Gajić and Rakočević to apply for two pairs of non-self mappings in convex partial metric spaces.

We now introduce the results which will be of use in this paper.
Definition 1.1 ([8]). A partial metric on a nonempty set $X$ is a mapping $p$ : $X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,
(P0) $0 \leqslant p(x, x) \leqslant p(x, y)$,
(P1) $x=y$ if and only if $p(x, x)=p(x, y)=p(y, y)$,
(P2) $p(x, y)=p(y, x)$ and
(P3) $p(x, y) \leqslant p(x, z)+p(z, y)-p(z, z)$.
A pair $(X, p)$ is said to be a partial metric space.

From Definition 1.1, we deduce that for all $x, y, z$ in a partial metric space $(X, p)$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(x, y)=0 \Rightarrow x=y  \tag{1.1}\\
& p(x, y) \leqslant p(x, z)+p(z, y) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $p(x, y)=0$, then $p(x, x)=0$ because $0 \leqslant p(x, x) \leqslant p(x, y)$ from (P0). Similarly, $p(x, y)=0$ implies $p(y, y)=0$ because $0 \leqslant p(y, y) \leqslant p(x, y)$. Hence $p(x, y)=0$ implies $p(x, x)=p(x, y)=p(y, y)=0$. From (P1) this means that $x=y$.

From (P3), we infer that

$$
p(x, y) \leqslant p(x, z)+p(z, y)
$$

As an example, let $X=\mathbb{R}^{+}$and let $p: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, p(x, y)=\max \{x, y\}$. Then $(X, p)$ is a partial metric space.

Each partial metric $p$ on $X$ generates a $T_{0}$ topology $\tau_{p}$ on $X$ with a base being the family of open balls $\left\{B_{p}(x, \varepsilon): x \in X, \varepsilon>0\right\}$ where $B_{p}(x, \varepsilon)=\{y \in X: p(x, y)<$ $p(x, x)+\varepsilon\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$.

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a partial metric space $(X, p)$ converges to $x \in X$ if and only if

$$
p(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x, x_{n}\right) .
$$

Definition 1.2 ([8]). Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $X$. Then
(i) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if $p(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x, x_{n}\right)$,
(ii) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)$ exists and is finite,
(iii) a partial metric space $(X, p)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges, with respect to $\tau_{p}$, to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$
p(x, x)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) .
$$

Lemma 1.3 ([8]). If $p$ is a partial metric on $X$, then the mapping $p^{s}: X \times X \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ given by

$$
p^{s}(x, y)=2 p(x, y)-p(x, x)-p(y, y)
$$

is a metric.
In this paper we will denote by $p^{s}$ the metric derived from the partial metric $p$.

Lemma 1.4 ([8]). Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $X$. Then
(i) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, p)$ if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space ( $X, p^{s}$ ),
(ii) $(X, p)$ is complete if and only if $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$ is complete. Furthermore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x\right)$ is zero if and only if $p(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)$.

Definition 1.5 ([8]). Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $X$. Then
(i) the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called 0 - Cauchy if $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=0$,
(ii) $(X, p)$ is said to be 0 -complete if every 0 -Cauchy sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges, with respect to $\tau_{p}$, to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x)=0$.

Definition $1.6([9])$. Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $I=[0,1]$ the closed unit interval. A mapping $W: X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on $X$ if for all $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times I$,

$$
p(u, W(x, y, t)) \leqslant t p(u, x)+(1-t) p(u, y)
$$

for every $u \in X$. A partial metric space $(X, p)$, together with the convex structure $W$, is called a convex partial metric space.

If $(X, p)$ is a convex partial metric space, then for every $x, y \in X$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{seg}[x, y]:=\{W(x, y, t): t \in[0,1]\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this study, we will use the following properties of a convex partial metric space with convex structure $W$.

Lemma 1.7. Let $x, y \in X$ where $(X, p)$ is a convex partial metric space with convex structure $W$. Let $w \in \operatorname{seg}[x, y]$. Then for all $u \in X$, we have
(i) $p(u, w) \leqslant \max \{p(u, x), p(u, y)\}$,
(ii) $p(x, w) \leqslant p(x, y)$.

Proof. Suppose $\Gamma=\max \{p(u, x), p(u, y)\}$. Applying Definition 1.6, we have

$$
p(u, w) \leqslant t p(u, x)+(1-t) p(u, y) \leqslant t \Gamma+(1-t) \Gamma=\Gamma=\max \{p(u, x), p(u, y)\}
$$

We have proved Lemma 1.7 (i). Now let us set $x=u$ in Lemma 1.7 (i). We get

$$
p(x, w) \leqslant \max \{p(x, x), p(x, y)\}=p(x, y)
$$

from (P0) of Definition 1.1, so we have proved Lemma 1.7 (ii).

Definition 1.8 ([1]). Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $B \subseteq X$. Then
(i) $B$ is said to be bounded if there is a positive number $M$ such that $p(x, y) \leqslant M$ for all $x, y \in B$,
(ii) if $B$ is a bounded set, the diameter of B is defined as

$$
\operatorname{diam}(B)=\sup _{u, v \in B}\{p(u, v)\}
$$

Let $f: C \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, where $C \subseteq X$. We say that $f$ is a self mapping if $C=X$, otherwise $f$ is called a non-self mapping. If there is an element $x \in C$ such that $f x=x$, we say that $x$ is a fixed point of $f$ in $X$.

Suppose we have two mappings $f, g: C \rightarrow X$, with $C \subseteq X$. Let there be $x \in C$ such that $f x=g x=w$. We say that $x$ is a coincidence point of $f$ and $g$ in $X$. If $x=w$, then we call $x$ a common fixed point of $f$ and $g$ in $X$.

Suppose we have two mappings $f, g: C \rightarrow X$ with $C \subseteq X$. We say $f$ and $g$ are coincidentally commuting if for all $x \in C$ we have

$$
f x=g x \Rightarrow f g x=g f x .
$$

In this paper, we aim to extend the following theorem by Gajić and Rakočević (see [5]) which proves the existence of a common fixed point for non-self mappings in context of metric spaces under specified conditions.

Theorem 1.9 ([5]). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete Takahashi convex metric space with convex structure $W$ which is continuous in the third variable. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $X$ and $\partial C$ the boundary of $C$. Let $f, g: C \rightarrow X$ and suppose $\partial C \neq \emptyset$. Let us assume that $f$ and $g$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) For every $x, y \in C, d(g x, g y) \leqslant M_{\omega}(x, y)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\omega}(x, y)=\max \left\{\omega_{1}[d(f x, f y)], \omega_{2}[d(f x, g x)], \omega_{3}[d(f y, g y)],\right. \\
\omega_{4}[d(f x, g y)], \omega_{5}[d(g x, f y]\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$\omega_{i}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that $\omega_{i}(r)<r$ for $r>0$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(r-\omega_{i}(r)\right)=\infty$,
(ii) $\partial C \subseteq f(C)$,
(iii) $g(C) \cap C \subset f(C)$,
(iv) $f x \in \partial C \Rightarrow g x \in C$ and
(v) $f(C)$ is closed in $X$.

Then there exists a coincidence point $v$ in $C$. Moreover, if $\{f, g\}$ are coincidentally commuting, then $v$ remains a unique common fixed point of $f$ and $g$.

We now proceed to the main results.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we extend Theorem 1.9 to two pairs of non-self mappings. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, p)$ be a complete convex partial metric space with convex structure $W$ which is continuous in the third variable. Let $C$ be a closed subset of $X$ with a nonempty boundary $\partial C$. Let $S, T, A, B: C \rightarrow X$. Let us assume that $S, T, A$ and $B$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) For every $x, y \in C, p(A x, B y) \leqslant M_{\omega}(x, y)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\omega}(x, y)=\max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S x, T y)], \omega_{2}[p(A x, S x)], \omega_{3}[p(B y, T y)],\right. \\
\left.\omega_{4}[p(A x, T y)], \omega_{5}[p(S x, B y)]\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$\omega_{i}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), i=1,2,3,4,5$, is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that $\omega_{i}(r)<\frac{1}{2} r$ for $r>0$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(r-2 \omega_{i}(r)\right)=\infty$.
(ii) $\partial C \subseteq T C, \partial C \subseteq S C$,
(iii) $S x \in \partial C \Rightarrow A x \in C$; $T x \in \partial C \Rightarrow B x \in C$,
(iv) $A C \cap C \subset T C, B C \cap C \subset S C$ and
(v) $S C, T C$ are closed in $C$.

Then there exists a coincidence point $z \in C$ for $A, B, S$ and $T$. Moreover, if each of the pairs $\{S, A\}$ and $\{T, B\}$ is coincidentally commuting, then $z$ remains a unique common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.

Proof. Commencing with an arbitrary point $w \in \partial C$, we construct a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of points in $C$ as follows:

By assumption (ii), there is a point $x_{0} \in C$ such that $S x_{0}=w$. We find $A x_{0}$. Then we proceed inductively as follows.

If $A x_{2 n} \in C$, then, by (iv), we choose $x_{2 n+1} \in C$ such that $T x_{2 n+1}=A x_{2 n}$.
If however $A x_{2 n} \notin C$, because $W$ is continuous in the third variable, it means that, by (iii), there is $\lambda_{2 n, 2 n} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
W\left(S x_{2 n}, A x_{2 n}, \lambda_{2 n, 2 n}\right) \in \partial C .
$$

By (ii), this means we can choose $x_{n+1} \in C$ such that

$$
T x_{n+1}=W\left(S x_{2 n}, A x_{2 n}, \lambda_{2 n, 2 n}\right) \in \partial C .
$$

We then determine $B x_{2 n+1}$.

If $B x_{2 n+1} \in C$, then, by (iv), we choose $x_{2 n+2} \in C$ such that $S x_{2 n+2}=B x_{2 n+1}$.
However if $B x_{2 n+1} \notin C$, because $W$ is continuous in the third variable, this means there is $\lambda_{2 n+1,2 n+1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
W\left(T x_{2 n+1}, B x_{2 n+1}, \lambda_{2 n+1,2 n+1}\right) \in \partial C .
$$

By (ii), this means we can choose $x_{n+2} \in C$ such that

$$
S x_{n+2}=W\left(T x_{2 n+1}, B x_{2 n+1}, \lambda_{2 n+1,2 n+1}\right) \in \partial C .
$$

We then determine $A x_{2 n+2}$.
We show that, for $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x_{2 n} \neq T x_{2 n+1} \Rightarrow B x_{2 n-1}=S x_{2 n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we have $B x_{2 n-1} \neq S x_{2 n}$. Then we have $S x_{2 n} \in \partial C$, which by (iii) means $A x_{2 n} \in C$. This, by (iv), implies that $A x_{2 n}=T x_{2 n+1}$, which is a contradiction. Using a similar argument we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B x_{2 n+1} \neq S x_{2 n+2} \Rightarrow A x_{2 n}=T x_{2 n+1} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that the sequences $\left\{S x_{2 n}\right\},\left\{A x_{2 n}\right\},\left\{B x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{T x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ are bounded. For each $n \geqslant 1$ let

$$
D_{n}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{A x_{2 i}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{B x_{2 i+1}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{S x_{2 i}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{T x_{2 i+1}\right\}, \quad n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Let $\alpha_{n}=\operatorname{diam}\left(D_{n}\right)$. We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n} \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the case where $\alpha_{n}=0, n \geqslant 1$.
This means $p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)=p\left(A x_{0}, B x_{1}\right)=p\left(B x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)=0$. Applying (1.2) this means

$$
S x_{0}=A x_{0}=B x_{1}=T x_{1} .
$$

We shall show that $S x_{0}$ is a common fixed point of $S$ and $A$. As the mappings $S$ and $A$ are coincidentally commuting at the coincidence point $x_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S x_{0}=A x_{0} \Rightarrow S S x_{0}=S A x_{0}=A S x_{0} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)= & p\left(A S x_{0}, B x_{1}\right) \leqslant M_{\omega}\left(S x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)\right], \omega_{2}\left[p\left(A S x_{0}, S S x_{0}\right)\right], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(B x_{1}, T x_{1}\right)\right],\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(A S x_{0}, T x_{1}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, B x_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)\right], \omega_{2}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, S S x_{0}\right)\right], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \\
\leqslant & \omega_{t}\left[p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)\right] \quad \text { for some } t \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}, \\
< & \frac{1}{2} p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)>0 \\
\Rightarrow & p\left(S S x_{0}, S x_{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.2), this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S S x_{0}=S x_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $S x_{0}$ is a fixed point of $S$. From (2.4) we have $S S x_{0}=A S x_{0}$. Thus (2.5) implies $A S x_{0}=S x_{0}$, making $S x_{0}$ a fixed point of $A$ too.

Using a similar argument we have $T x_{1}=S x_{0}$ being a common fixed point of $T$ and $B$. Hence, $z=S x_{0}$ is a common fixed point of all four mappings $S, T, A$ and $B$.

To show the uniqueness of the fixed point, let $z^{\prime}$ be also a fixed point of $S, T, A$ and $B$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & p\left(A z, B z^{\prime}\right) \\
\leqslant & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(S z, T z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(A z, S z)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(B z^{\prime}, T z^{\prime}\right)\right],\right. \\
& \left.\quad \omega_{4}\left[p\left(A z, T z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(S z, B z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(z, z)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right],\right. \\
& \left.\quad \omega_{4}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} \\
\leqslant & \omega_{i}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right] \quad \text { for some } i \in\{1,2,3,4,5\} \\
< & \frac{1}{2} p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)>0, \\
\Rightarrow & p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & z=z, \quad \text { by }(1.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence when $\alpha_{n}=0$, then $z=S x_{0}$ is the unique common fixed point of $S, T, A$ and $B$.

We now consider the cases when $\alpha_{n}>0$.
Case 1: Consider the case where $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$.

Subcase 1.1: If $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i}=B x_{2 i-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & =p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)=p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right) \leqslant M_{\omega}\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 i-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \omega_{s}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \quad \text { for some } s \in\{1,2,3,4,5\} \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $i=0$.
Subcase 1.2: If however $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i} \neq B x_{2 i-1}$, it follows that $S x_{2 i} \in$ $\operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 i-2}, B x_{2 i-1}\right]$ and hence, by Lemma 1.7 (i), we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right), p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\} .
$$

Subcase 1.2.1: If $p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right) \geqslant p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, A x_{2 j}\right)$, we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right),
$$

which leads to the contradiction in Case 1.1, meaning that $i=0$.
Subcase 1.2.2: Otherwise, if $p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right)<p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, A x_{2 j}\right)$, then for some $k$ such that $2 i-2<2 k+1<2 j$ and for some $s, t \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & =p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, A x_{2 j}\right) \\
& \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, B x_{2 k+1}\right)+p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 k+1}\right), \text { by }(1.2) \\
& \leqslant M_{\omega}\left(x_{2 i-2}, x_{2 i-1}\right)+M_{\omega}\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 i-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \omega_{s}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+\omega_{t}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n} \Rightarrow \alpha_{n}<\alpha_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $i=0$.
Case 2: The case where $\alpha_{n}=p\left(A x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$ leads to contradiction by Case 1.1.
Case 3: The case where $\alpha_{n}=p\left(A x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)$ leads to contradiction by Case 1.2.2.
Case 4: If $\alpha_{n}=p\left(B x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$ then for $k$ such that $2 i+1<2 k<2 j+1$ and for some $s, t \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & =p\left(B x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 k}, B x_{2 i+1}\right)+p\left(A x_{2 k}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant M_{\omega}\left(x_{2 k}, x_{2 i+1}\right)+M_{\omega}\left(x_{2 k}, x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \omega_{s}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)+\omega_{t}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n} \Rightarrow \alpha_{n}<\alpha_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 5: If $\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$, then:
Subcase 5.1: If $T x_{2 i+1}=A x_{2 i}$, then we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)=p\left(A x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right),
$$

which is a contradiction by Case 1.1.
Subcase 5.2: Otherwise, if $T x_{2 i+1} \neq A x_{2 i}$ then $T x_{2 i+1} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[B x_{2 i-1}, A x_{2 i}\right]$ and hence by Lemma 1.7 (i) we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(B x_{2 i-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(A x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\} .
$$

This means we have either $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(B x_{2 i-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$, which is a contradiction by Case 4 or $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$, which is a contradiction by Case 1.1.

Case 6: If $\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$, then:
Subcase 6.1: If $T x_{2 i+1}=A x_{2 i}$, then we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right)=p\left(A x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)
$$

which is not possible by Case 1.2.2.
Subcase 6.2: Otherwise, if $T x_{2 i+1} \neq A x_{2 i}$, then $T x_{2 i+1} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[B x_{2 i-1}, A x_{2 i}\right]$ and hence $\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right), p\left(A x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\}$. This implies we have either $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 i-1}\right)$, which is a contradiction by Case 1.1 or else we have $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j}\right)$, which is a contradiction by Case 1.2.2.

Case 7: Suppose $\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$.
Subcase 7.1: If $T x_{2 j+1}=A x_{2 j}$, we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right),
$$

which is a contradiction by Case 6 .
Subcase 7.2: Otherwise, if $T x_{2 j+1} \neq A x_{2 j}$, then $T x_{2 j+1} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[B x_{2 j-1}, A x_{2 j}\right]$ and hence $\alpha_{n}=p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, T x_{j+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j-1}\right), p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\}$.

This implies we have either $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)$, which results in a contradiction by Case 5 , or else we have $p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(T x_{2 i+1}, A x_{2 j}\right)$, which is a contradiction by Case 6 .

Case 8: Let $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$.
Subcase 8.1: If $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i}=B x_{2 i-1}$, then $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)=$ $p\left(B x_{2 i-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$, which is not possible as per Case 4. Hence $i=0$.

Subcase 8.2: If however $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i} \neq B x_{2 i-1}$, it means that $S x_{2 i} \in$ $\operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 i-2}, B x_{2 i-1}\right]$. This implies that $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(A x_{2 i-2}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.B x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(B x_{2 i-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}$. This leads to a contradiction by Case 1.1 when $p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(A x_{2 i-2}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$ and a contradiction by Case 4 when it happens that $p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant p\left(B x_{2 i-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)$. Hence $i=0$.

Case 9: Let us consider the case when $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, S x_{2 j}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant$ $n-1$.

Subcase 9.1: If $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 j}=B x_{2 j-1}$, then we have $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, S x_{2 j}\right)=$ $p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)$, which leads to a contradiction according to Case 8 . Hence $i=0$.

Subcase 9.2: If $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 j} \neq B x_{2 j-1}$, it follows that $S x_{2 j} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 j-2}\right.$, $\left.B x_{2 j-1}\right]$ and $p\left(S x_{2 i}, S x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j-2}\right), p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)\right\}$.

If it happens $p\left(S x_{2 i}, S x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(S x_{2 i}, A x_{2 j-2}\right)$, we get a contradiction by Case 1 . However if it happens that $p\left(S x_{2 i}, S x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant p\left(S x_{2 i}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)$, then we get a contradiction by Case 8 . Hence $i=0$.

Case 10: Suppose $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)$ for some $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1$.
Subcase 10.1: If $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i}=B x_{2 i-1}$, then we have $\alpha_{n}=p\left(S x_{2 i}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)=$ $p\left(T x_{2 j+1}, B x_{2 i-1}\right)$, which is not possible as per Case 8 . Hence $i=0$.

Subcase 10.2: If however $i \geqslant 1$ and $S x_{2 i} \neq B x_{2 i-1}$ it follows that $S x_{2 i} \in$ $\operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 i-2}, B x_{2 i-1}\right]$ and

$$
p\left(S x_{2 i}, T x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(T x_{2 j+1}, A x_{2 i-2}\right), p\left(T x_{2 j+1}, B x_{2 i-1}\right)\right\}
$$

This leads to contradictions by Case 6 and Case 5 . Hence $i=0$.
We have considered ten possible cases for $\alpha_{n}$ and conclude from Cases 1, 8, 9 and 10 that for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n} \in\left\{p\left(S x_{0}, S x_{2 j}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from the construction of the sequence, $S x_{2 j} \in C$ implies $S x_{2 j}=B x_{2 j-1}$. This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(S x_{0}, S x_{2 j}\right)=p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j-1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $S x_{2 j} \notin C$, we have $S x_{2 j} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 j-2}, B x_{2 j-1}\right]$. By Lemma 1.7 (i), this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(S x_{0}, S x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j-2}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(S x_{0}, S x_{2 j}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j-2}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j-1}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a similar argument we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(S x_{0}, T x_{2 j+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j-1}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.9) and (2.10) to (2.6) we get

$$
\alpha_{n} \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right), p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1
$$

We have proved (2.3).
Consider the case where $\max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\} \leqslant \max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant$ $n-1$. Then, for some $u \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\},(2.3)$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)+p\left(A x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right), \quad \text { by }(1.2) \\
& \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)+\omega_{u}\left[\alpha_{n}\right] \\
& \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)+2 \omega_{u}\left[\alpha_{n}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha_{n}-2 \omega_{u}\left[\alpha_{n}\right] \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider now the case when $\max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right)\right\}>\max \left\{p\left(S x_{0}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant$ $n-1$. Then for some $v \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$, (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right) \\
& \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)+p\left(A x_{0}, A x_{2 j}\right), \text { by }(1.2) \\
& \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)+2 \omega_{v}\left[\alpha_{n}\right], \quad \text { by Case 1.2.2 } \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha_{n}-2 \omega_{v}\left[\alpha_{n}\right] \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in both cases, we have for some $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}-2 \omega_{s}\left[\alpha_{n}\right] \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (i) there is an $r_{0} \in[0, \infty)$ such that for each $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$ we have $r-2 \omega_{s}(r)>p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)$ for $r>r_{0}$.

There is a subsequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$ such that for each $n$, we have

$$
a_{n}-2 \omega_{s}\left[a_{n}\right] \leqslant p\left(S x_{0}, A x_{0}\right)
$$

Thus by (2.11), $a_{n} \leqslant r_{0}$. Thus we have

$$
a=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\operatorname{diam}(D) \leqslant r_{0}
$$

We have hence proved that $\left\{S x_{2 n}\right\},\left\{T x_{2 n+1}\right\},\left\{A x_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ are bounded sequences.

To prove that $\left\{S x_{2 n}\right\},\left\{T x_{2 n+1}\right\},\left\{A x_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ converge in $C$, we consider the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty}\left\{A x_{2 i}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty}\left\{B x_{2 i+1}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty}\left\{S x_{2 i}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty}\left\{T x_{2 i+1}\right\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n=2,3, \ldots$
By (2.3) we have for $n=2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}:=\operatorname{diam}\left(E_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{j \geqslant n}\left\{p\left(S x_{2 n}, A x_{2 j}\right), p\left(S x_{2 n}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S x_{2 n}=B x_{2 n-1}$ we have as in Case 1 and Case 8 , for each $j \geqslant n$ and for some $u \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n} & \leqslant \sup _{j \geqslant n}\left\{p\left(A x_{2 j}, B x_{2 n-1}\right), p\left(B x_{2 j+1}, B x_{2 n-1}\right)\right\}, \quad n=2,3 \ldots  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leqslant 2 \omega_{u}\left[e_{n-1}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

If however $S x_{2 n} \neq B x_{2 n-1}$, it follows that $S x_{2 n} \in \operatorname{seg}\left[A x_{2 n-2}, B x_{2 n-1}\right]$. Hence, as in Case 1 and Case 8, for each $j \geqslant n$ and for some $v \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n} & \leqslant \sup _{j \geqslant n}\left\{p\left(A x_{2 n-2}, A x_{2 j}\right), p\left(B x_{2 n-1}, A x_{2 j}\right),\right.  \tag{2.15}\\
& \left.p\left(A x_{2 n-2}, B x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(B x_{2 n-1}, B x_{2 j+1}\right)\right\}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \\
& \leqslant 2 \omega_{v}\left[e_{n-2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.14) and (2.15), there is a subsequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ and $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}$ such that for each $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{n} & \leqslant 2 \omega_{s}\left[\varepsilon_{n-2}\right], \quad n=2,3, \ldots  \tag{2.16}\\
& <\varepsilon_{n-2}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $e_{n} \geqslant e_{n+1}$ for every $n$. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} e_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}=e$. We claim that $e=0$.

If $e>0$, then by (2.16) and assumption (i) of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n-2} \Rightarrow e<e
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $e=0$.

We recall from (2.13) that $e_{n}=\operatorname{Diam}\left(E_{n}\right)$. Taking $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(A x_{2 n}, A x_{2 m}\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(B x_{2 n+1}, B x_{2 m+1}\right)=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means both $\left\{A_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{2 n+1}\right\}$ are Cauchy sequences.
Because $X$ is a complete partial metric space, this means there is $z \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_{2 n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_{2 n+1}=z \quad \text { and } \quad p(z, z)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the subsequence $S x_{2 n_{k}}$ of $S x_{2 n}$ such that $S x_{2 n_{k}}=B x_{2 n_{k}-1}$. Taking $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{2 n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{2 n_{k}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_{2 n_{k}-1}=z, \quad \text { with } p(z, z)=0 . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a similar argument we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{2 n+1}=z, \quad \text { with } p(z, z)=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

But both $S C, T C$ are 0 -complete. This implies $z \in S C$ and $z \in T C$.
As $z \in S C$, there is a point $u \in C$ such that $S u=z$. We show that $u$ is a coincidence point of $A, B$ and $S$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(A u, B x_{2 n+1}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(S u, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(A u, S u)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(B x_{2 n+1}, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right],\right. \\
&\left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(A u, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(S u, B x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]\right\} \\
&=\max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(z, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(A u, z)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(B x_{2 n+1}, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right],\right. \\
&\left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(A u, T x_{2 n+1}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(z, B x_{2 n+1}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying (2.19) and (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.21) p(A u, z) & \leqslant \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(z, z)], \omega_{2}[p(A u, z)], \omega_{3}\left[p(z, z], \omega_{4}[p(A u, z)], \omega_{5}[p(z, z)]\right\}\right. \\
& \leqslant \omega_{i}[p(A u, z)] \quad \text { for some } i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\} \\
& <p(A u, z) \quad \text { for } p(A u, z)>0 \\
& \Rightarrow p(A u, z)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow A u=z, \quad \text { from (1.1). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using a similar procedure, when we expand $p\left(A x_{2 n}, B u\right)$, we get $B u=z$, making $u$ a coincidence point of $A, B$ and $S$. By the coincidental commutativity of $S$ and $A$, we have $S A u=A S u \Rightarrow S z=A z$.

In the same vein, $z \in T C$ means there is $v \in C$ such that $T v=z$. We show that $B v=z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z, B v)= & p(A u, B v) \\
\leqslant & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S u, T v)], \omega_{2}[p(S u, A u)], \omega_{3}[p(T v, B v)]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \omega_{4}[p(A u, T v)], \omega_{5}[p(S u, B v)]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(z, z)], \omega_{2}[p(z, z)], \omega_{3}[p(z, B v)]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}[p(z, z)], \omega_{5}[p(z, B v)]\right\} \\
\leqslant & \omega_{j}[p(z, B v)] \quad \text { for some } j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\} \\
< & p(z, B v) \quad \text { for } p(z, B v)>0 \\
\Rightarrow & p(z, B v)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & B v=z, \quad \text { from }(1.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $v$ is a coincidence point of $B$ and $T$. By the coincidental commutativity property, we have

$$
B T v=T B v \Rightarrow B z=T z
$$

Now the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A z, B z) \leqslant & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S z, T z)], \omega_{2}[p(S z, A z)], \omega_{3}[p(T z, B z)]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}[p(A z, T z)], \omega_{5}[p(S z, B z)]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(A z, B z)], \omega_{2}[p(A z, A z)], \omega_{3}[p(B z, B z)]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}[p(A z, B z)], \omega_{5}[p(A z, B z)]\right\} \\
\leqslant & \omega_{i}[p(A z, B z)] \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\} \\
< & p(A z, B z) \quad \text { for } p(A z, B z)>0 \\
\Rightarrow & p(A z, B z)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & A z=B z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z=B z=S z=T z \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z, B z)= & p(A u, B z), \quad \text { from }(2.21) \\
\leqslant & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S u, T z)], \omega_{2}[p(A u, S u)], \omega_{3}[p(B z, T z)]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}[p(A u, T z)], \omega_{5}[p(S u, B z)]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(z, B z)], \omega_{2}[p(z . z)], \omega_{3}[p(B z, B z)], \omega_{4}[p(z, B z)], \omega_{5}[p(z, B z)]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z, B z) & \leqslant \omega_{j}[p(z, B z)] \quad \text { for some } j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\} \\
& <p(z, B z) \quad \text { for } p(z, B z)>0 \\
& \Rightarrow p(z, B z)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow B z=z, \quad \text { by }(1.1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.22) we conclude that $A z=B z=S z=T z=z$, meaning that $z$ is a common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.

We now show that $z$ is unique. Suppose $z^{\prime}$ is also a common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & p\left(A z, B z^{\prime}\right) \\
\leqslant & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(S z, T z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(A z, S z)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(B z^{\prime}, T z^{\prime}\right)\right],\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(A z, T z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(S z, B z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \max \left\{\omega_{1}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{2}[p(z, z)], \omega_{3}\left[p\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\omega_{4}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right], \omega_{5}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) & \leqslant \omega_{k}\left[p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right] \quad \text { for } k \in\{1,2, \ldots, 5\}\right. \\
& <p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)>0 \\
& \Rightarrow p\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow z=z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that the common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$ is unique.
Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 leads to corollaries if we consider the following cases:
(i) $A=B$;
(ii) $A=B, S=T$, we get Theorem 1.9;
(iii) $A=B, S=T=I$, we get an extension of a theorem proved by Ćirić (see [2]) into partial metric spaces;
(iv) $A=I$;
(v) $S=T$;
(vi) $x=y$.

The proof given above works even when we define $C$ as closed in ( $X, p^{s}$ ). This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, p)$ be a complete convex partial metric space with convex structure $W$ which is continuous in the third variable. Let $C$ be a closed subset
of $X$, the closure being taken with respect to $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$. Let $\partial C$, the boundary of $C$ in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$, be nonempty. Let $A, B, S, T: C \rightarrow X$. Let us assume that $A, B, S$ and $T$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) For every $x, y \in C, p(A x, B y) \leqslant M_{\omega}(x, y)$ where $M_{\omega}(x, y)=\max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S x, T y)]\right.$, $\left.\omega_{2}[p(A x, S x)], \omega_{3}[p(B y, T y)], \omega_{4}[p(A x, T y)], \omega_{5}[p(S x, B y)]\right\}, \omega_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, i=$ $1,2,3,4,5$, is a non-decreasing semicontinuous function from the right, such that $\omega_{i}(r)<\frac{1}{2} r$ for $r>0$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(r-2 \omega_{i}(r)\right)=\infty$.
(ii) $\partial C \subseteq S C, \partial C \subseteq T C$,
(iii) $S x \in \partial C \Rightarrow A x \in C$; $T x \in \partial C \Rightarrow B x \in C$,
(iv) $A C \cap C \subset T C, B C \cap C \subset S C$ and
(v) $S C, T C$ are closed in $C$.

Then there exists a coincidence point $z \in C$ for $A, B, S$ and $T$. Moreover, if each of the pairs $\{S, A\}$ and $\{T, B\}$ is coincidentally commuting, then $z$ remains a unique common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.

Here we give an example on the use of Theorem 2.3, as it is better suited for the partial metric that we will use.

Example 2.4. Consider the partial metric space $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, p\right)$ where $p(x, y)=$ $\max \{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Let $C=[0,2]$. We note that $C$ is closed in the derived metric $p^{s}(x, y)=|x-y|$ and $\partial C=\{0,2\}$.

We define the mappings $A, B, S, T: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
3^{x}-1, & x \in[0,1], \\
1, & x \in(1,2],
\end{array} \quad B x= \begin{cases}4^{x}-1, & x \in[0,1], \\
2, & x \in(1,2],\end{cases} \right. \\
& S x=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
27^{x}-1, & x \in[0,1], \\
6, & x \in(1,2],
\end{array} \quad T x= \begin{cases}64^{x}-1, & x \in[0,1], \\
8, & x \in(1,2] .\end{cases} \right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $A C=[0,2]$ and $B C=[0,3]$. We also have $T C=[0,63]$ and $S C=[0,26]$, both of which are closed in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$.
$S x \in \partial C$ implies $z \in\left\{0, \frac{1}{3}\right\} \subset C$. Similarly $T x \in \partial C$ implies $x \in\left\{0, \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 64}\right\} \subset C$. We also have $\partial K=\{1,3\} \subseteq S C, T C$.

We note that both $\{S, A\}$ and $\{T, B\}$ are coincidentally commuting at $x=0$, that is, $S A(0)=A S(0)$ and $T B(0)=B T(0)$. We also note that all four mappings are discontinuous at 1.

Let us define functions $g, h: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as

$$
g(x)=\frac{27^{x}-1}{4^{x}-1}, \quad h(x)=\frac{64^{x}-1}{4^{x}-1} .
$$

Both $g$ and $h$ are increasing functions. Using L'Hôpital rule, we can show that, as $x \rightarrow 0$, we have $h(x) \rightarrow 3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \rightarrow \frac{\log 27}{\log 4}=\frac{1}{0.42062} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $x \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{x}-1 \leqslant \frac{1}{3}\left(64^{x}-1\right) \leqslant 0.43\left(64^{x}-1\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have, from (2.23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{x}-1 \leqslant 0.42062\left(27^{x}-1\right) \Rightarrow 4^{x}-1 \leqslant 0.43\left(27^{x}-1\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $x, y \in[0,1]$ with $x \leqslant y$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p\left(3^{x}-1,4^{y}-1\right) \\
& =\max \left\{3^{x}-1,4^{y}-1\right\} \\
& =4^{y}-1, \quad \text { because } x \leqslant y \\
& \leqslant 0.43\left(64^{y}-1\right), \quad \text { by }(2.24) \\
& =0.43 T y \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{S x, T y\} \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $x, y \in[0,1]$ with $x>y$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p\left(3^{x}-1,4^{y}-1\right) \\
& =\max \left\{4^{x}-1,4^{y}-1\right\}, \quad \text { because } 3^{x}-1 \leqslant 4^{x}-1 \\
& =4^{x}-1, \text { because } x>y \\
& \leqslant 0.43\left(27^{x}-1\right), \quad \text { by }(2.25) \\
& =0.43 S x \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{S x, T y\} \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x, y \in(1,2]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p(1,2) \\
& =\max \{1,2\} \\
& =2 \\
& <0.43 \times 6 \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{6, T y\} \\
& =0.43 \max \{S x, T y\}, \quad \text { because } S x=6 \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering $x \in[0,1], y \in(1,2]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p\left(3^{x}-1,2\right) \\
& =\max \left\{3^{x}-1,2\right\} \\
& =2, \quad \text { because } 3^{x}-1 \leqslant 2 \text { for } x \in[0,1] \\
& <0.43 \times 8 \\
& =0.43 T y \quad \text { because } T y=8 \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{S x, T y\} \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering $x \in(1,2], y \in(0,1)$.

$$
p(A x, B y)=p\left(1,4^{y}-1\right)=\max \left\{1,4^{y}-1\right\}= \begin{cases}1, \\ 6, & y \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

For $y \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p\left(1,4^{y}-1\right) \\
& =1 \\
& <0.43 \times 6 \\
& =0.43 S x \quad \text { because } S x=6 \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{S x, T y\} \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $y \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A x, B y) & =p\left(1,4^{y}-1\right) \\
& =4^{y}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant 0.43\left(64^{y}-1\right), \quad \text { by }(2.24) \\
& =0.43 T y \\
& \leqslant 0.43 \max \{S x, T y\} \\
& =0.43 p(S x, T y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in all cases, for every $x, y \in C$ we have

$$
p(A x, B y) \leqslant 0.43 p(S x, T y) \leqslant M_{\omega}(x, y)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\omega}(x, y)=\max \left\{\omega_{1}[p(S x, T y)], \omega_{2}[p(A x, S x)], \omega_{3}[p(A y, T y)],\right. \\
\left.\omega_{4}[p(A x, T y)], \omega_{5}[p(S x, A y)]\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\omega_{i}(r)=0.43 r$. We note that $\omega_{i}(r)=0.43 r<\frac{1}{2} r$. As $r-2 \omega_{i}(r)=r-2 \times 0.43 r=$ $0.14 r$, we also have $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r-2 \omega_{i}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} 0.14 r=\infty$.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.
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