

MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION SHARING A SMALL FUNCTION
WITH A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIAL

INDRAJIT LAHIRI, Kalyani, AMIT SARKAR, Ramnagar

Received August 8, 2013

Communicated by Stanisława Kanas

Abstract. The problem of uniqueness of an entire or a meromorphic function when it shares a value or a small function with its derivative became popular among the researchers after the work of Rubel and Yang (1977). Several authors extended the problem to higher order derivatives. Since a linear differential polynomial is a natural extension of a derivative, in the paper we study the uniqueness of a meromorphic function that shares one small function CM with a linear differential polynomial, and prove the following result: Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and L a nonconstant linear differential polynomial generated by f . Suppose that $a = a(z)$ ($\neq 0, \infty$) is a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $L - a$ share 0 CM and

$$(k + 1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + N_k(r, 0; f') < \lambda T(r, f') + S(r, f')$$

for some real constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f - a = (1 + c/a)(L - a)$, where c is a constant and $1 + c/a \neq 0$.

Keywords: meromorphic function; differential polynomial; small function; sharing

MSC 2010: 30D35

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

Let f, g be nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we say that f, g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities) if f, g have the same a -points with the same multiplicities, and we say that f, g share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities) if f, g have the same a -points but the multiplicities are not taken into account.

The research of the first author is partially supported by DST-PURSE program, India.

We refer the reader to [6] for the standard notation and definitions of the value distribution theory. However, in the following we explain some notation used in the paper.

Definition 1.1. For a meromorphic function f and for $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and for a positive integer k

- (i) $N_{(k)}(r, a; f)$ ($\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, a; f)$) denotes the counting function (reduced counting function) of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not less than k ;
- (ii) $N_{\leq k}(r, a; f)$ ($\overline{N}_{\leq k}(r, a; f)$) denotes the counting function (reduced counting function) of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not greater than k ;
- (iii) $N_k(r, a; f)$ denotes the sum $\overline{N}(r, a; f) + \sum_{j=2}^k \overline{N}_j(r, a; f)$.

Clearly $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$ and $N_k(r, a; f) \leq k\overline{N}(r, a; f)$.

Rubel-Yang [10], Mues-Steinmetz [9], Gundersen [5], Yang [12] and others considered the uniqueness problem of entire functions when their first and k th derivatives share two values CM or IM.

Brück [4] considered the uniqueness problem of an entire function when it shares a single value CM with its first derivative and proved the following theorem.

Theorem A ([4]). *Let f be a nonconstant entire function. If f and f' share the value 1 CM and $N(r, 0; f') = S(r, f)$, then $f - 1 = c(f' - 1)$, where c is a nonzero constant.*

Yang [11] considered an entire function of finite order and proved the following result.

Theorem B ([11]). *Let f be a nonconstant entire function of finite order and let a ($\neq 0$) be a finite constant. If $f, f^{(k)}$ share the value a CM, then $f - a = c(f^{(k)} - a)$, where c is a nonzero constant and k (≥ 1) is an integer.*

Zhang [14] extended Theorem A to meromorphic functions and proved the following results.

Theorem C ([14]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. If f and f' share 1 CM and if*

$$2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 2N(r, 0; f') < \lambda T(r, f') + S(r, f')$$

for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f - 1 = c(f' - 1)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

Theorem D ([14]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share 1 CM and if*

$$2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + N(r, 0; f^{(k)}) < \lambda T(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f^{(k)})$$

for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f - 1 = c(f^{(k)} - 1)$, where c is a nonzero constant.

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . A meromorphic function $a = a(z)$, defined in \mathbb{C} , is called a small function of f if $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$, where $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)/T(r, f) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, possibly outside a set of finite linear measure.

Yu [13] considered the uniqueness problem of an entire function or a meromorphic function when it shares one small function with its derivative. The next two theorems are the results of Yu [13].

Theorem E ([13]). *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let $a = a(z)$ ($\neq 0, \infty$) be a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share the value 0 CM and $\delta(0; f) > 3/4$, then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$, where k is a positive integer.*

Theorem F ([13]). *Let f be a nonentire meromorphic function and $a = a(z)$ ($\neq 0, \infty$) a small function of f . If*

- (i) f and a have no common pole,
- (ii) $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share the value 0 CM,
- (iii) $4\delta(0; f) + 2(8 + k)\Theta(\infty; f) > 19 + 2k$,

then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$, where k is a positive integer.

In 2004, improving Theorem F, Liu and Gu [8] proved the following theorem.

Theorem G ([8]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $a = a(z)$ ($\neq 0, \infty$) a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share the value 0 CM, $f^{(k)}$ and $a = a(z)$ do not have any common pole of the same multiplicity and $2\delta(0; f) + 4\Theta(\infty; f) > 5$, then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$, where k is a positive integer.*

Al-Khaladi [3] observed by considering $f(z) = 1 + \exp(e^z)$ and $a(z) = e^z/(e^z - 1)$ that in Theorem A it is not possible to replace the value 1 by a small function. Instead, he proved the following result.

Theorem H ([3]). *Let f be a nonconstant entire function satisfying $N(r, 0; f') = S(r, f)$ and let $a = a(z)$ ($\not\equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $f' - a$ share 0 CM, then $f - a = (1 + c/a)(f' - a)$, where $1 + c/a = e^\beta$, c is a constant and β is an entire function.*

In 2005 Al-Khaladi [2] considered the general order derivative of an entire function and proved the following result.

Theorem I ([2]). *Let f be a nonconstant entire function satisfying $\overline{N}(r, 0; f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ and let $a = a(z)$ ($\not\equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share 0 CM, then $f - a = (1 + P_{k-1}/a)(f^{(k)} - a)$, where $1 + P_{k-1}/a = e^\beta$, P_{k-1} is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$ and β is an entire function.*

Recently Al-Khaladi [1] extended Theorem I to meromorphic functions and proved the following theorem.

Theorem J ([1]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and let $a = a(z)$ ($\not\equiv 0, \infty$) be a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $f^{(k)} - a$ share 0 CM and*

$$(k + 1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f^{(k)}) < \lambda T(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f^{(k)})$$

for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f - a = (1 + P_{k-1}/a)(f^{(k)} - a)$, where P_{k-1} is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$ and $1 + P_{k-1}/a \not\equiv 0$.

For a nonconstant meromorphic function f we denote by $L = L(f)$ a linear differential polynomial of the form

$$L(f) = a_1 f^{(1)} + a_2 f^{(2)} + \dots + a_k f^{(k)},$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k ($\neq 0$) are constants.

In the paper we prove the following theorem, which involves the sharing of a small function by f and L .

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function such that L is nonconstant. Suppose that $a = a(z)$ ($\not\equiv 0, \infty$) is a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $L - a$ share 0 CM and*

$$(k + 1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + N_k(r, 0; f') < \lambda T(r, f') + S(r, f')$$

for some real constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f - a = (1 + c/a)(L - a)$, where c is a constant and $1 + c/a \not\equiv 0$.

2. LEMMAS

In this section we present some necessary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([6], page 55, Theorem 3.1). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then*

$$T(r, L) \leq (k + 1)T(r, f') + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function such that L is non-constant. Suppose that $a = a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f . If $f - a$ and $L - a$ share 0 IM, then*

$$T(r, f) \leq \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + k + 2\right)T(r, L) + S(r, f) \leq \{(k+1)(k+2) + 1\}T(r, f') + S(r, f).$$

Proof. By Milloux's basic result [6], page 57, Theorem 3.2, we get

$$T(r, f) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f) + \overline{N}(r, 1; L) - N_0(r, 0; L') + S(r, f),$$

where $N_0(r, 0; L')$ is the counting function of those zeros of L' which are not the 1-points of L .

Now $N(r, 0; f) - N_0(r, 0; L') \leq (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f)$ and $(k + 1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) \leq N(r, \infty; L) \leq T(r, L)$. Therefore

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} T(r, f) &\leq T(r, L) + \overline{N}(r, 1; L) + (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + 1\right)T(r, L) + (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $L(f - a) = L(f) - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j a^{(j)}$, we have $T(r, L(f - a)) = T(r, L) + S(r, f)$.

Now replacing f by $f - a$ in (2.1) and noting that $f - a$ and $L - a$ share 0 IM we get

$$T(r, f - a) \leq \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + 1\right)T(r, L) + (k + 1)\overline{N}(r, 0; f - a) + S(r, f)$$

and so

$$(2.2) \quad T(r, f) \leq \left(\frac{1}{k+1} + k + 2\right)T(r, L) + S(r, f).$$

By Lemma 2.1 we get

$$(2.3) \quad T(r, L) \leq (k + 1)T(r, f') + S(r, f).$$

Now the lemma follows from (2.2) and (2.3). □

Lemma 2.3 ([6], page 47, Theorem 2.5). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and a_1, a_2, a_3 three distinct small functions of f . Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f - a_1) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f - a_2) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f - a_3) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4 ([7]). *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and k a positive integer. If f and $f^{(k)}$ share 1 IM and $f^{(k)} = (Af + B)/(Cf + D)$, where A, B, C, D are constants, then $(f^{(k)} - 1)/(f - 1)$ is a nonzero constant.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof. Let $h = (f - a)/(L - a)$. Then $f - a = h(L - a)$ and differentiating we get

$$(3.1) \quad f' - a' = (hL)' - (ha)'$$

We now consider the following cases.

Case I: Let $a' \not\equiv 0$. We put

$$(3.2) \quad W = \frac{(hL)'}{hf'} - \frac{(ha)'}{ha'}.$$

If z_0 is a zero of $f' - a'$ with $a'(z_0) \neq 0, \infty$, then we get from (3.1) that $W(z_0) = 0$. Let $W \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, 0; f' - a') &\leq N(r, 0; W) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, W) + S(r, f) \\ &= N(r, W) + m(r, W) + S(r, f) = N(r, W) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2) we get

$$(3.4) \quad W = \frac{(hL)'}{hL} \cdot \frac{L}{f'} + \frac{(ha)'}{ha} \cdot \frac{a}{a'}.$$

Let z_1 be a pole of f with multiplicity p such that $a(z_1) \neq 0, \infty$ and $a'(z_1) \neq 0$. Then z_1 is a pole of hL with multiplicity p and a pole of L/f' with multiplicity $k - 1$. Hence z_1 is a pole of W with multiplicity at most k .

Let z_2 be a zero of f' with multiplicity q such that $a(z_2) \neq 0, \infty$ and $a'(z_2) \neq 0$. If $q \leq k - 1$ and $L(z_2) \neq 0$, then z_2 is a pole of $(hL)'/(hL) \cdot L/f'$ with multiplicity $q \leq k - 1$. Also, if $q \leq k - 1$ and z_2 is a zero of L with multiplicity $t (\geq 1)$, then z_2 is a pole of $(hL)'/(hL) \cdot L/f'$ with multiplicity $q - (t - 1) \leq q \leq k - 1$.

If $q \geq k$, then z_2 is a pole of L/f' with multiplicity $k-1$ and a pole of $(hL)'/(hL)$ with multiplicity 1. Hence z_2 is a pole of $(hL)'/(hL) \cdot L/f'$ with multiplicity k .

Therefore from (3.4) we get

$$(3.5) \quad N(r, W) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_k(r, 0; f') + S(r, f).$$

From (3.3) and (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \overline{N}(r, 0; f' - a') \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_k(r, 0; f') + S(r, f).$$

Since by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, $a' = a'(z)$ is a small function of f' and $S(r, f)$ is interchangeable with $S(r, f')$, we get by Lemma 2.3 and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f') &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; f' - a') + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}(r, \infty; f') + S(r, f') \\ &\leq (k+1)\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + N_k(r, 0; f') + S(r, f'), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis.

Therefore $W \equiv 0$ and so by (3.1) and (3.2) we get $(f' - a')(ha)' = (f' - a')a'$. Since $f' \not\equiv a'$, we have $(ha)' = a'$ and so $ha = a + c$, where c is a constant. Hence

$$f - a = h(L - a) = \left(1 + \frac{c}{a}\right)(L - a),$$

where $1 + c/a \neq 0$.

Case II: Let $a' \equiv 0$ so that a is a constant. We now consider the following subcases.

Subcase (i): Let $k \geq 2$. From (3.1) we get

$$f' = (hL)' - ah' = h \left\{ \frac{(hL)'}{h} - a \frac{h'}{h} \right\}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h} = \frac{(hL)'}{hf'} - a \frac{h'}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{f'}.$$

We put $F = f'$, $G = (hL)'/(hf')$ and $b = ah'/h$. Then

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{h} = G - \frac{b}{F}.$$

Differentiating (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad -\frac{1}{h} \cdot \frac{h'}{h} = G' - \frac{b'}{F} + \frac{b}{F} \cdot \frac{F'}{F}.$$

Eliminating $1/h$ from (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{A}{F} = G' + G \frac{h'}{h},$$

where $A = b \cdot h'/h + b' - b \cdot F'/F$.

First we suppose that $G \equiv 0$. Then $hL = d$, a nonzero constant. Putting $h = (f - a)/(L - a)$ we have $L(f - a) = d(L - a)$. This implies that f is an entire function. Therefore, h is an entire function having no zero. We now put $h = e^\alpha$, where α is an entire function.

Now $f = a + h(L - a) = a + d - ae^\alpha$ and $L = de^{-\alpha}$. Also we see that $L = a_1f^{(1)} + a_2f^{(2)} + \dots + a_kf^{(k)} = P(\alpha')e^\alpha$, where $P(\alpha')$ is a differential polynomial in α' . Therefore $P(\alpha')e^\alpha = de^{-\alpha}$ and so $P(\alpha')e^{2\alpha} = d$. This implies $2T(r, e^\alpha) = T(r, P(\alpha')) = S(r, e^\alpha)$, a contradiction. Hence $G \not\equiv 0$.

Next we suppose that $A \equiv 0$. Then from (3.9) we get $G'/G + h'/h = 0$. Integrating we obtain $Gh = K$, where K is a nonzero constant. Hence $(hL)' = Kf'$ and again integration yields $hL = Kf + M$, where M is a constant. Since $f - a = hL - ah$, we get

$$(3.10) \quad (1 - K)f = a(1 - h) + M.$$

If $K = 1$, from (3.10) we see that h is a constant. Hence $f - a = (1 + c/a)(L - a)$, where we put $h = 1 + c/a$ for some constant c such that $1 + c/a \neq 0$.

Let $K \neq 1$. Then from (3.10) we see that h is nonconstant. Since h is entire, (3.10) implies that f is also entire. Therefore $h = (f - a)/(L - a)$ has no zero. So we can put $h = e^\beta$, where β is an entire function. Hence from (3.10) we get

$$f = \frac{a + M}{1 - K} - \frac{ae^\beta}{1 - K}$$

and so

$$(3.11) \quad L = K \frac{f}{h} + \frac{M}{h} = \frac{Ka + M}{1 - K} e^{-\beta} - \frac{a}{1 - K}.$$

Also

$$(3.12) \quad L = a_1f^{(1)} + a_2f^{(2)} + \dots + a_kf^{(k)} = Q(\beta')e^\beta,$$

where $Q(\beta')$ is a differential polynomial in β' .

Since L is nonconstant, we see that $Ka + M \neq 0$. Hence from (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$Q(\beta')e^{2\beta} = \frac{Ka + M}{1 - K} - \frac{a}{1 - K} e^\beta.$$

This implies by the first fundamental theorem

$$2T(r, e^\beta) \leq T(r, e^\beta) + T(r, Q(\beta')) + O(1) = T(r, e^\beta) + S(r, e^\beta),$$

a contradiction.

Finally we suppose that $A \neq 0$. Now $m(r, A) \leq 2m(r, b) + m(r, b') + m(r, h'/h) + m(r, F'/F) = S(r, f)$. Since $A = a(h'/h)^2 + a(h'/h)' - h'/h \cdot F'/F$, we see that $N(r, \infty; A) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f')$. Hence

$$(3.13) \quad T(r, A) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f).$$

Now from (3.9) and (3.13) we get

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{A}\right) + m\left(r, G' + G\frac{h'}{h}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, A) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A \neq 0$, it is clear that $b \neq 0$. Let z_3 be a zero of F with multiplicity q ($\geq k + 1$). Then z_3 is a zero of $b = af'/(f - a) - aL'/(L - a)$ with multiplicity at least $q - k$. Hence

$$N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) - k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq N(r, 0; b)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N(r, 0; b) \\ &\leq k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + T(r, b) + O(1) \\ &= k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N(r, b) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &= N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\ &\leq N_k(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.14) and (3.15) and using the first fundamental theorem we get

$$T(r, f') \leq 3\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N_k(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f),$$

which is a contradiction with the hypothesis for $k \geq 2$.

Subcase (ii): Let $k = 1$. We put $g = f/a$ and $R = L/a$. Then g and R share the value 1 CM. Let

$$H = \left(\frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1} \right) - \left(\frac{R''}{R'} - \frac{2R'}{R-1} \right).$$

We first suppose that $H \neq 0$. Since g and R share 1 CM, we get

$$N(r, H) = \overline{N}(r, H) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') - \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)}),$$

where $\overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)})$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of $f^{(2)}$ which are not the zeros of $(f-a)f'$.

Since g and R share the value 1 CM, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(1)}(r, a; f) = N_{(1)}(r, 1; g) &\leq N(r, 0; H) \leq T(r, H) + O(1) = N(r, H) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') - \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, a; f) &= N_{(1)}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, a; f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)}) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Now by the second fundamental theorem and (3.16) we get in view of the fact that $L-a$ and $f-a$ share 0 CM:

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f') &= T(r, L) + O(1) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; L) + \overline{N}(r, 0; L) + \overline{N}(r, a; L) - \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)}) + S(r, L) \\ &= \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + \overline{N}(r, a; f) - \overline{N}_*(r, 0; f^{(2)}) + S(r, f') \\ &\leq 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f') + N_{(1)}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f'), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction with the hypothesis.

Therefore $H \equiv 0$ and so integration yields $R = (Ag + B)/(Cg + D)$, where A, B, C, D are constants. Hence by Lemma 2.4 we get $(g-1)/(R-1)$ is a nonzero constant. So we can put $f-a = (1+c/a)(L-a)$, where c is a constant and $1+c/a \neq 0$. This proves the theorem. \square

References

- [1] *A. H. H. Al-Khaladi*: On meromorphic functions that share one small function with their k th derivative. *Result. Math.* *57* (2010), 313–318. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *A. H. H. Al-Khaladi*: On entire functions which share one small function CM with their k th derivative. *Result. Math.* *47* (2005), 1–5. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *A. H. H. Al-Khaladi*: On entire functions which share one small function CM with their first derivative. *Kodai Math. J.* *27* (2004), 201–205. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *R. Brück*: On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative. *Result. Math.* *30* (1996), 21–24. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *G. G. Gundersen*: Meromorphic functions that share finite values with their derivative. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *75* (1980), 441–446. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *W. K. Hayman*: *Meromorphic Functions*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs 14, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *I. Lahiri, A. Sarkar*: Uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its derivative. *JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. (electronic only)* *5* (2004), Article No. 20, 9 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *L. Liu, Y. Gu*: Uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share one small function with their derivatives. *Kodai Math. J.* *27* (2004), 272–279. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *E. Mues, N. Steinmetz*: Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit ihrer Ableitung Werte teilen. *Manuscr. Math.* *29* (1979), 195–206. (In German.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *L. A. Rubel, C. C. Yang*: Values shared by an entire function and its derivative. *Complex Anal., Proc. Conf., Univ. Lexington, 1976* (J.D. Buckholtz et al., eds.). *Lect. Notes Math.* *599*, Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 101–103. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *L.-Z. Yang*: Solution of a differential equation and its applications. *Kodai Math. J.* *22* (1999), 458–464. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *L.-Z. Yang*: Entire functions that share finite values with their derivatives. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* *41* (1990), 337–342. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *K.-W. Yu*: On entire and meromorphic functions that share small functions with their derivatives. *JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. (electronic only)* *4* (2003), Article No. 21, 7 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [14] *Q. C. Zhang*: The uniqueness of meromorphic functions with their derivatives. *Kodai Math. J.* *21* (1998), 179–184. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Authors' addresses: *Indrajit Lahiri*, Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Block-C, University Area, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India, e-mail: ilahiri@hotmail.com; *Amit Sarkar*, Ramnagar High School, P.O.-Kumari Ramnagar, Ramnagar-741502, Nadia, West Bengal, India, e-mail: amit83sarkar@gmail.com.