# EXISTENCE OF INFINITELY MANY WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR SOME QUASILINEAR $\vec{p}(x)$ -ELLIPTIC NEUMANN PROBLEMS

Ahmed Ahmed, Taghi Ahmedatt, Fez, Hassane Hjiaj, Tetouan Abdelfattah Touzani, Fez

Received July 14, 2015. First published January 2, 2017. Communicated by Michela Eleuteri

Abstract. We consider the following quasilinear Neumann boundary-value problem of the type  $$\mathbf{N}$$ 

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + b(x)|u|^{p_{0}(x)-2}u = f(x, u) + g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We prove the existence of infinitely many weak solutions for our equation in the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces and we give some examples.

*Keywords*: Neumann problem; quasilinear elliptic equation; weak solution; variational principle; anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space

MSC 2010: 35J20, 35J62

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with boundary  $\partial \Omega$  of class  $C^1$ , and let  $\gamma$  be the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Zhao, Zhao and Xie have studied in [15] the quasilinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x, \nabla u) + |u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

They have proved the existence of nontrivial weak solutions for this problem under some assumptions on the Carathéodory function  $a(x,\xi)$  and growth conditions on

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2017.0037-15

the function f(x, s) with  $\lambda > 0$ . In the case of Neumann elliptic equations, Anello and Cordaro have considered in [1] the following *p*-Laplacian problem

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \alpha(x)f(u) + \beta(x)g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

They have shown the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for this problem under some growth conditions on functions  $f(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$ .

In the framework of variable exponent, Fan and Ji have treated in [7] the problem

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u + \lambda(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u = f(x,u) + g(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is a positive function such that  $\lambda^{-} = \underset{x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess inf}} \lambda(x) > 0$ . They have proved the existence of infinitely many weak solutions  $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  by applying the critical point theorem obtained by Ricceri in [13], which is a consequence of a more general result of variational principle.

In the recent years, the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces have attracted the attention of many mathematicians, physicists and engineers. The impulse for this mainly came from their important applications in modeling real-world problems in electrorheological and magnetorheological fluids (see for example [14]). In [12], Mihãilescu and Morosanu have studied the boundary value problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i} \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right) = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $a_i(x,t)$  are Carathéodory functions for i = 1, ..., N, and the function f(x,s) on the right-hand side satisfies some suitable growth conditions (see also [2], [3], [4], [5], [8], [10]).

Our aim is to prove the existence of infinitely many weak solutions to the anisotropic quasilinear p(x)-elliptic problem

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + b(x)|u|^{p_{0}(x)-2}u = f(x, u) + g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We assume that  $a_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are Carathéodory functions for i = 1, ..., N, satisfying some assumptions. In the particular case when we take  $a_i(x, s) = |s|^{p_i(x)-2}s$ , we obtain the so-called  $\vec{p}(\cdot)$ -Laplace operator defined by

(1.4) 
$$\Delta_{\vec{p}(x)} u = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present some necessary preliminary knowledge on the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces and we recall some classical existence result. We introduce in Section 3 some assumptions on the Carathéodory functions  $a_i(x, \xi_i)$  and the two functions f(x, s) and g(x, s) for which there are solutions for our problem. In Section 4, we prove the existence of infinitely many weak solutions for our Neumann elliptic problem, followed by giving some interesting examples.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N \ge 1)$ . We define

$$\mathcal{C}_+(\Omega) = \{ \text{measurable function } p(\cdot) \colon \overline{\Omega} \longmapsto \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } 1 < p^- \leqslant p^+ < \infty \},\$$

where

$$p^- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}\{p(x): x \in \Omega\}$$
 and  $p^+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{p(x): x \in \Omega\}$ 

We define the Lebesgue space with variable exponent  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  as the set of all measurable functions  $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  for which the convex modular

$$\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

is finite. Then

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \colon \varrho_{p(\cdot)} \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leqslant 1 \right\}$$

defines a norm in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  called the Luxemburg norm. The space  $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. Moreover, the space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is uniformly convex, hence reflexive, and its dual space is isomorphic to  $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , where 1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1. Finally, we have the generalized Hölder's type inequality:

(2.1) 
$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^{-}} + \frac{1}{(p')^{-}} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)}$$

for all  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue spaces is played by the modular  $\rho_{p(\cdot)}$  of the space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We have the following result. **Proposition 2.1** (see [11]). If  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , then the following properties hold true:

- (i)  $||u||_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Longrightarrow ||u||_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} < \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(u) < ||u||_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}$
- (ii)  $||u||_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Longrightarrow ||u||_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} < \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(u) < ||u||_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}$ .

The Sobolev space with variable exponent is defined as

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \},\$$

equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{1,p(\cdot)} = ||u||_{p(\cdot)} + ||\nabla u||_{p(\cdot)}.$$

The space  $(W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(\cdot)})$  is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

R e m a r k 2.1. Recall that the definition of these spaces requires only the measurability of the exponent p(x). In this work, we do not need to use Sobolev and Poincaré inequality. Note that the sharp Sobolev inequality is proved for p(x)-log-Hölder continuous, while the Poincaré inequality requires only the continuity of p(x)(see [6], [9]).

Now, we present the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space, used for the study of the main problem.

Let  $p_0(x), p_1(x), \ldots, p_N(x)$  be N+1 variable exponents in  $\mathcal{C}_+(\overline{\Omega})$ . We denote

$$\vec{p}(x) = (p_0(x), \dots, p_N(x)), \quad D^0 u = u \text{ and } D^i u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We define

(2.2) 
$$p = \min\{p_i^-, i = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$$
 (then  $p > 1$ ),

and

(2.3) 
$$\overline{p}^+ = \max\{p_i^+, i = 0, 1, \dots, N\}.$$

The anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is defined as

$$W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{p_0(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and } D^i u \in L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N \},\$$

endowed with the norm

(2.4) 
$$\|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \|D^{i}u\|_{p_{i}(\cdot)}.$$

The space  $(W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)})$  is separable and reflexive Banach space (cf. [3], [10]).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then the following embeddings are compact:

 $\begin{array}{l} \triangleright \ \ if \ \underline{p} < N \ \ then \ W_0^{1, \overrightarrow{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \ \ for \ all \ q \in [\underline{p}, \underline{p}^*[, \ where \ 1/\underline{p}^* = 1/\underline{p} - 1/N, \\ \triangleright \ \ if \ \underline{p} = N \ \ then \ W_0^{1, \overrightarrow{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \ \ for \ all \ q \in [\underline{p}, \infty[, \\ \triangleright \ \ if \ p > N \ \ then \ W_0^{1, \overrightarrow{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \sqcup L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega}). \end{array}$ 

The proof of this lemma follows from the fact that the embedding  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,\underline{p}}(\Omega)$  is continuous, and from the classical embedding theorems of the Sobolev spaces.

Now, we introduce the following theorem, which will be essential to establish the existence of weak solutions for our main problem.

**Theorem 2.1** (see [7], Theorem 2.2). Let X be a reflexive real Banach space and let  $\Phi, \Psi \colon X \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$  be two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable functionals. Assume also that  $\Psi$  is (strongly) continuous and satisfies  $\lim_{\|u\|_X \to \infty} \Psi(u) = \infty$ . For each  $\varrho > \inf_X \Psi$  put

(2.5) 
$$\varphi(\varrho) = \inf_{u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho[)} \frac{\Phi(u) - \inf_{v \in \overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho[))_w}} \Phi(v)}{\varrho - \Psi(u)},$$

where  $\overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,\varrho[))_w}$  is the closure of  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,\varrho[)$  for the weak topology. Then the following conclusions hold:

(a) If there exist  $\varrho_0 > \inf_X \Psi$  and  $u_0 \in X$  such that

(2.6) 
$$\Psi(u_0) < \varrho_0$$

and

(2.7) 
$$\Phi(u_0) - \inf_{v \in \overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho_0[))_w}} \Phi(v) < \varrho_0 - \Psi(u_0),$$

then the restriction of  $\Psi + \Phi$  to  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho_0[)$  has a global minimum.

(b) If there exists a sequence  $\{r_n\} \subset (\inf_X \Psi, \infty)$  with  $r_n \to \infty$  and a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  such that for each n

(2.8) 
$$\Psi(u_n) < r_n$$

and

(2.9) 
$$\Phi(u_n) - \inf_{v \in \overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_n[))_w}} \Phi(v) < r_n - \Psi(u_n).$$

and in addition

(2.10) 
$$\liminf_{\|u\| \to \infty} \Psi(u) + \Phi(u) = -\infty,$$

then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}_n$  of local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $\Psi(v_n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

- (c) If there exists a sequence  $\{r_n\} \subset (\inf_X \Psi, \infty)$  with  $r_n \to \inf_X \Psi$  and a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset X$  such that for each n conditions (2.8) and (2.9) are satisfied, and in addition
- (2.11) every global minimizer of  $\Psi$  is not a local minimizer of  $\Phi + \Psi$ ,

then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of pairwise distinct local minimizers of  $\Phi + \Psi$ such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi(v_n) = \inf_X \Psi$  and  $\{v_n\}$  weakly converges to a global minimizer of  $\Psi$ .

## 3. Essential assumptions

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N \ge 1)$  with boundary  $\partial \Omega$  of class  $C^1$ , and let  $\gamma$  be the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial \Omega$ . We assume that

$$(3.1) p > N.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** Since  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is continuously embedded in  $W^{1,\underline{p}}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,\underline{p}}(\Omega)$  is compactly embedded in  $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  (the space of continuous functions), thus the embedding of  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  in  $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  is continuous and compact, we set

(3.2) 
$$C_0 = \sup_{u \in W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\|u\|_{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}}.$$

We consider the quasilinear  $\vec{p}(\cdot)$ -elliptic problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} Au + b(x)|u|^{p_0(x)-2}u = f(x,u) + g(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where A is a Leray-Lions operator acted from  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  into its dual  $W^{-1,\vec{p'}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  defined by the formula

$$Au = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

where  $a_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Carathéodory functions which satisfy the following assumptions:

(A1) The growth condition:

$$|a_i(x,s)| \leq \gamma_i(d_i(x) + |s|^{p_i(x)-1})$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., N$ ,

where  $d_i(\cdot)$  is a nonnegative function in  $L^{p'_i(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $\gamma_i > 0$ .

(A2) The coercivity condition: there exist two constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that

$$\alpha|s|^{p_i(x)} \leqslant a_i(x,s)s \leqslant \beta A_i(x,s),$$

where the function  $A_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$A_i(x,s) = \int_0^s a_i(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

(A3) The monotonicity condition:

$$(a_i(x,s) - a_i(x,t))(s-t) > 0.$$

Clearly as a consequence of (A2) and the continuity of the function  $a_i(x, s)$  with respect to s, we have

$$a_i(x,0) = 0$$

The Carathéodory functions  $f,g\colon\,\Omega\times\mathbb{R}\longmapsto\mathbb{R}$  satisfy condition

(3.3) 
$$\sup_{|t|\leqslant r} |f(x,t)| \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|t|\leqslant r} |g(x,t)| \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{for any } r > 0,$$

and we set

(3.4) 
$$F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad \text{and} \quad G(x,t) = \int_0^t g(x,s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

The function  $b(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and there exists a constant  $b_0 > 0$  such that  $b(x) \ge b_0$ a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

We introduce the functionals  $J(\cdot), \Psi(\cdot), \Phi(\cdot) \colon W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$  by

(3.5) 
$$J(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |u|^{p_0(x)} \mathrm{d}x,$$

and

(3.6) 
$$\Psi(u) = J(u) - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(u) = -\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

## 4. Main results

**Definition 4.1.** A function F(x,t) satisfies condition (S) if for each compact subset E of  $\mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\xi \in E$  such that

(4.1) 
$$F(x,\xi) = \sup_{t \in E} F(x,t) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

**Definition 4.2.** A measurable function  $u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution of problem (1.3) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_i \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p_0(x) - 2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for any  $v \in W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

It is clear that  $u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of (1.3) if and only if u is a critical point of the functional  $\Psi + \Phi$ .

We take  $u_0$  and  $u_n$  in Theorem 2.1 as the constant value functions  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_n$ . We consider the assumption

(4.2) 
$$\liminf_{|\xi| \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi|^{p_0(x)} - G(x,\xi) - F(x,\xi) \right) \mathrm{d}x = -\infty,$$

and the condition

(4.3) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi|^{p_0(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant d_1 |\xi|^{p_0^+} + d_2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are two positive constants.

We assume that

(G1) There exists M > 0 and two measurable functions  $\delta(\cdot), \theta(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|\delta(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} > 0$  such that

$$G(x,t) \leqslant \frac{C_1 \delta(x)}{p_0^+ C_0^{\underline{p}} \|\delta(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} |t|^{\underline{p}} + \theta(x) \quad \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ for any } |t| \geqslant M,$$

with  $C_1 < p_0^+/(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1} \min\{\alpha/\beta, b_0/p_0^+\}.$ (G2) There exist  $M > 0, \varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  and  $\theta'(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$G(x,t) \leqslant \frac{(1-\varepsilon)b(x)}{p_0(x)} |t|^{p_0(x)} + \boldsymbol{\theta}'(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ for any } |t| \geqslant M.$$

It is easy to see that condition (4.3) is satisfied if assumption (G1) or (G2) hold true. Our two main results are as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that (A1)–(A3) hold true and the Carathéodory functions f and g satisfy (3.3), (4.2), with  $F(x, \cdot)$  satisfying condition (S), and  $G(x, \cdot)$ satisfying (G1) or (G2).

Suppose that  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are two positive sequences such that

(4.4) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y_n^{p_0^+}}{z_n^p} = 0.$$

If there exists a positive function  $h(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $||h(\cdot)||_{L^1(\Omega)} \neq 0$  such that for each n we have

(4.5) 
$$F(x, y_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \left( d_0 \left(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\right)^{\underline{p}} - d_1 y_n^{p_0^+} - d_2 \right) \ge \sup_{t \in [y_n, z_n]} F(x, t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

(4.6) 
$$F(x, -y_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \left( d_0 \left(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\right)^{\underline{p}} - d_1 y_n^{p_0^+} - d_2 \right) \\ \geqslant \sup_{t \in [-z_n, -y_n]} F(x, t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

where  $d_0$  is a positive constant, and the inequalities (4.5)–(4.6) are strict on a subset of  $\Omega$  with positive measure. Then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi(v_n) = \infty$ . Consequently, problem (1.3) admits an unbounded sequence of weak solutions.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that (A1)–(A3) hold true, and the function G(x, t) satisfy

(4.7) 
$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad G(x,t) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and there exist two positive constants M and  $\varepsilon$  such that

(4.8) 
$$-G(x,t) \leq M|t|^{p_0}$$
 for  $t \leq \varepsilon$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

The functional  $F(x, \cdot)$  satisfies condition (S) with F(x, 0) = 0 and

(4.9) 
$$\limsup_{|\xi| \to 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}x}{|\xi|^{\underline{p}}} > \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Suppose that there exist two positive sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  such that

(4.10) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = 0 \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y_n^{p_0}}{z_n^{p_+}} = 0.$$

and there exists a positive function  $h(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $||h(\cdot)||_{L^1(\Omega)} \neq 0$  such that for each n we have

(4.11) 
$$F(x,y_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \left( d_4 \left(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\right)^{\overline{p}^+} - d_3 y_n^{\overline{p}_0^-} \right) \ge \sup_{t \in [y_n, z_n]} F(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

$$(4.12) \ F(x, -y_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \Big( d_4 \Big(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\Big)^{\overline{p}^+} - d_3 y_n^{p_0^-} \Big) \ge \sup_{t \in [-z_n, -y_n]} F(x, t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and the inequalities (4.11) and (4.12) are strict on a subset of  $\Omega$  with positive measure, where

$$d_3 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x + M |\Omega| \quad \text{and} \quad d_4 = \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\bar{p}^+ - 1}} \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{b_0}{p_0^+}\right\}.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of pairwise distinct local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $v_n \to 0$  in  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Consequently, problem (1.3) admits a sequence of nonzero weak solutions which converges to 0 in  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 4.1.

Step 1: Technical Lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** (see [4], Lemma 1). The functionals  $\Psi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are well-defined on  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . In addition,  $\Psi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are of class  $C^1(W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega),\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_i \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p_0(x) - 2} uv \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u)v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
 
$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for all  $u, v \in W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

Under assumptions (A1)–(A3) and (3.3), the functionals  $\Psi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous (see [4], Lemma 3).

Step 2: Coerciveness of the operator  $\Psi(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume that G(x,t) satisfies (G1) or (G2). Then the functional  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive, i.e.

$$\Psi(u) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \to \infty \quad \text{for } u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

 $\Pr{o\ o\ f.}$  (i) Assume that condition (G1) is satisfied,

$$G(x,t) \leqslant \frac{C_1 \delta(x)}{p_0^+ C_0^{\underline{p}} \|\delta(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} |t|^{\underline{p}} + \theta(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ for any } |t| \geqslant M.$$

In view of (A2) and since  $C_1 < p_0^+ (N+1)^{1-\underline{p}} \min\{\alpha/\beta, b_0/p_0^+\},$ 

$$\begin{split} (4.13) \quad \Psi(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_{i} \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_{0}(x)} |u|^{p_{0}(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\geqslant \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{p_{i}(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{b_{0}}{p_{0}^{+}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_{0}(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{1}}{p_{0}^{+} C_{0}^{P}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\delta(x) |u|^{P}}{\|\delta(\cdot)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \theta(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\geqslant \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{p_{i}(\cdot)}^{P} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{b_{0}}{p_{0}^{+}} (||u||^{P}_{p_{0}(\cdot)} - 1) - \frac{C_{1}}{p_{0}^{+} C_{0}^{P}} ||u||^{P}_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} - ||\theta(\cdot)||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{(N+1)^{P-1}} \min\left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{b_{0}}{p_{0}^{+}} \right\} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{p_{i}(\cdot)} + ||u||_{p_{0}(\cdot)} \right)^{P} \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{1}}{p_{0}^{+}} ||u||^{P}_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} - ||\theta(\cdot)||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta} - b_{0} \\ &\geqslant \left( \frac{1}{(N+1)^{P-1}} \min\left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{b_{0}}{p_{0}^{+}} \right\} - \frac{C_{1}}{p_{0}^{+}} \right) ||u||^{P}_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \\ &\quad - ||\theta(\cdot)||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta} - b_{0}. \end{split}$$

(ii) Under condition (G2), we have

$$G(x,t) \leqslant \frac{(1-\varepsilon)b(x)}{p_0(x)} |t|^{p_0(x)} + \theta'(x), \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ for any } |t| \ge M.$$

Thus

$$(4.14) \qquad \Psi(u) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |u|^{p_0(x)} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)b(x)}{p_0(x)} |u|^{p_0(x)} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \theta'(x) \mathrm{d}x \geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right|^{p_i(x)} \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \frac{b_0}{p_0^+} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_0(x)} \mathrm{d}x - \|\theta'(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \varepsilon \frac{b_0}{p_0^+}\right\} \|u\|_{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}} - \frac{N\alpha}{\beta} - b_0 - \|\theta'(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Thanks to (4.13) and (4.14), we conclude that  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive. Moreover, there exist two positive constants  $d_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  such that

(4.15) 
$$\Psi(u) \ge d_0 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}^p \quad \text{for } \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \ge \sigma_0$$

Step 3: A priori estimates. For  $r>\inf_{u\in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}\Psi(u)$  we define

(4.16) 
$$K(r) = \inf\{\sigma > 0 \text{ such that } \Psi^{-1}(] - \infty, r[) \subset \overline{B(0,\sigma)}\},$$

where  $B(0,\sigma) = \{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \colon ||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} < \sigma\}$  and  $\overline{B(0,\sigma)}$  denotes the closure of  $B(0,\sigma)$  in  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  for the norm topology. We have that  $\Psi \colon W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$  is coercive, then  $0 < K(r) < \infty$  for each  $r > \inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$ . In view of (4.15), we have

$$\Psi(u) < d_0 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}^p \implies \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} < \sigma_0.$$

Thanks to (4.16), we have  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[) \subset \overline{B(0, K(r))}$ , then  $\overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[))_w} \subset \overline{B(0, K(r))}$ , and using (3.2), we get

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}, \text{ then } \overline{B(0,K(r))} \subset \{u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \colon \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 K(r)\}.$$

It follows that

$$(4.17) \qquad \inf_{v\in\overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[))_w}}\Phi(v) \ge \inf_{\|v\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}\leqslant K(r)}\Phi(v) \ge \inf_{\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\leqslant C_0K(r)}\Phi(v).$$

By taking  $u_0$  and  $u_n$  as constant value functions  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_n$  in Theorem 2.1, and using (4.17), we conclude the following Theorem 4.3, that relies on Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** Assume that (A1)–(A3) hold true, the Carathéodory functions f and g satisfy (3.3), and consider that  $\Psi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  are defined as in (3.6). When  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive, then

(a) If there exist  $\rho_0 > \inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(4.18) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi_0|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi_0) \, \mathrm{d}x := e_0 < \varrho_0$$

and

(4.19) 
$$\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi_0) \,\mathrm{d}x + (\varrho_0 - e_0) > \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} \int_{\Omega} F(x,v(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

then the restriction of  $\Psi + \Phi$  to  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho_0[)$  has a global minimum.

(b) If there exist a sequence  $\{r_n\} \subset \left(\inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u), \infty\right)$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \to \infty$  and a sequence  $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for each n

(4.20) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi_n|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi_n) \, \mathrm{d}x := e_n < r_n$$

and

(4.21) 
$$\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi_n) \,\mathrm{d}x + (r_n - e_n) > \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(r_n)} \int_{\Omega} F(x,v(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

and in addition (4.2) holds, then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi(v_n) \to \infty$ .

(c) If there exist a sequence  $\{r_n\} \subset \left(\inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u), \infty\right)$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = \inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$  and a sequence  $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for each n conditions (4.20) and (4.21) are satisfied, and in addition, condition (2.11) is satisfied, then there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of pairwise distinct local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi(v_n) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$  (i.e, the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  converges weakly to the global minimizer of  $\Psi(\cdot)$ ).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. In view of (4.18), assume that  $\varrho_0 > \inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$ and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi_0|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi_0) \, \mathrm{d}x := e_0 < \varrho_0 \implies \Psi(\xi_0) < \varrho_0.$$

Then (2.6) holds. On the other hand, thanks to (4.19), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi_0) \,\mathrm{d}x + (\varrho_0 - e_0) > \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} \int_{\Omega} F(x,v) \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Then

$$\varrho_0 - \Psi(\xi_0) > -\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi_0) \,\mathrm{d}x + \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} - \Phi(v).$$

Using (4.17), we deduce that

$$\varrho_0 - \Psi(\xi_0) > \Phi(\xi_0) - \inf_{v \in \overline{(\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho_0[))_w}} \Phi(v).$$

Therefore the hypotheses (2.6) and (2.7) of Theorem 2.1 (a) are satisfied. Thus, the restriction of  $\Psi + \Phi$  to  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \rho_0[)$  has a global minimum.

Assuming that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 (b) and Theorem 4.3 (c) are satisfied, using the same steps, we can prove that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 (b) and Theorem 2.1 (c) are satisfied, which concludes the proof of Theorem 4.3.  $\Box$  For condition (4.19) in Theorem 4.3 (a) we state the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** Assume that  $\varrho_0 > \inf_{u \in W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that (4.18) hold true. If there exists a positive function  $\alpha(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|\alpha(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \neq 0$  such that

$$(4.22) F(x,\xi_0) + \frac{\alpha(x)}{\|\alpha(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} (\varrho_0 - e_0) \ge \sup_{|t| \le C_0 K(\varrho_0)} F(x,t) for a.e. \ x \in \Omega,$$

and inequality (4.22) is strict on a subset of  $\Omega$  with positive measure, then (4.19) holds.

Proof. Integrating (4.22) over  $\Omega$  and noting that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sup_{|t| \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} F(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x \geqslant \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} \int_{\Omega} F(x,v(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

we obtain (4.19).

Step 4: Proof of statements (4.20) and (4.21).

**Proposition 4.3.** Assume that  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive and (4.15) holds. Then for  $r \ge d_0 \sigma_0^p$ ,

(4.23) 
$$K(r) \leqslant \left(\frac{r}{d_0}\right)^{1/\underline{p}}.$$

Proof. Let  $r \ge d_0 \sigma_0^{\underline{p}}$  and  $u \in W^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  such that  $\Psi(u) < r$ . When  $\|u\|_{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)} \ge \sigma_0$ , by (4.15) one has

$$r > \Psi(u) \ge d_0 ||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}^p,$$

which implies that  $||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \leq (r/d_0)^{1/\underline{p}}$ .

When  $||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} < \sigma_0$ , it is clear that  $||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \leq (r/d_0)^{1/\underline{p}}$ . By the definition of K(r), inequality (4.23) holds.

Now, we set  $r_n = d_0(z_n/C_0)^{\underline{p}}$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n \to \infty$ , and thanks to (4.23) we obtain

(4.24) 
$$K(r_n) \leq \frac{z_n}{C_0}$$
 and then  $C_0 K(r_n) \leq z_n$ .

Since  $F(x, \cdot)$  satisfies condition (S), for each n there exists  $\xi_n \in [-y_n, y_n]$  such that

(4.25) 
$$F(x,\xi_n) = \sup_{t \in [-y_n,y_n]} F(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

By (4.3), one has

$$e_n = \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi_n|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi_n) \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant d_1 |\xi_n|^{p_0^+} + d_2 \leqslant d_1 |y_n|^{p_0^+} + d_2.$$

It follows from (4.4) that for n large enough

$$d_1|y_n|^{p_0^+} + d_2 < d_0 \left(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\right)^{\underline{p}} = r_n,$$

and consequently  $e_n < r_n$ , that is (4.20) holds. Without loss of generality we may assume that (4.20) holds for all n.

On the other hand, thanks to (4.25), we obtain

$$F(x,\xi_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} (r_n - e_n) \ge \sup_{|t| \le y_n} F(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, having in mind (4.5) and (4.6), we deduce that

(4.26) 
$$F(x,\xi_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} (r_n - e_n) \ge \sup_{|t| \le z_n} F(x,t)$$
 a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,

and inequality (4.26) is strict on a subset of  $\Omega$  with positive measure. Using (4.24) and Proposition 4.2, we obtain (4.21).

Therefore all hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 (b) are satisfied, so the proof of the Theorem 4.1 is concluded.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let us verify all the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 (c). Using (A2) and (4.7) we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi(u) &= J(u) - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} A_i \left( x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |u|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{b_0}{\overline{p}^+} \right\} \|u\|_{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta} - b_0, \end{split}$$

then the functional  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive, and there exist positive constants  $d_3$  and  $\sigma_1$  such that

(4.27) 
$$\Psi(u) \ge d_3 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}^p \quad \text{for } \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \ge \sigma_1.$$

Using (4.7) and (4.8), we have  $\inf_{u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u) = \Psi(0) = 0$  and 0 is the unique global minimizer of  $\Psi(\cdot)$ . Thanks to (4.9), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{|\xi| \to 0} \{\Psi(\xi) + \Phi(\xi)\} &= \limsup_{|\xi| \to 0} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi|^{p_0(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi) \, \mathrm{d}x \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{|\xi| \to 0} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi|^{\underline{p}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} G(x,\xi) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi) \, \mathrm{d}x \right\} \\ &< 0 = \Psi(0) + \Phi(0). \end{split}$$

Then 0 is not a local minimizer of the functional  $\Psi + \Phi$ , so (2.11) is satisfied.

For  $u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  such that  $||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \leq 1$  we have

$$\Psi(u) \ge \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{b_0}{\overline{p}^+}\right\} \|u\|_{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}^{\overline{p}^+} \ge d_4 \|u\|_{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}^{\overline{p}^+}$$

For r > 0 sufficiently small the condition  $\Psi(u) < r$  implies that  $||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} < (r/d_4)^{1/\overline{p}^+}$ , this shows that  $K(r) \leq (r/d_4)^{1/\overline{p}^+}$ .

Take  $r_n = d_4 (z_n/C_0)^{\overline{p}^+}$ . Then

$$(4.28) C_0 K(r_n) \leqslant z_n.$$

In view of (4.8) there exists a sequence  $(\xi_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $\xi_n \in [-y_n, y_n]$  such that for each  $y_n$  sufficiently small

(4.29) 
$$e_n = \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} |\xi_n|^{p_0(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, \xi_n) dx$$
$$\leqslant \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{p_0(x)} dx + M |\Omega| \right) |\xi_n|^{p_0^-} = d_3 |\xi_n|^{p_0^-} \leqslant d_3 |y_n|^{p_0^-}.$$

It follows from (4.10) that for n large enough

$$d_3|y_n|^{p_0^-} < d_4 \left(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\right)^{\overline{p}^+} = r_n.$$

Then (4.20) is obtained.

Noting that  $F(x, \cdot)$  satisfies condition (S), and thanks to (4.11), (4.12) and (4.25), we can obtain that

(4.30) 
$$F(x,\xi_n) + \frac{h(x)}{\|h(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} (r_n - e_n) \ge \sup_{|t| \le z_n} F(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and the inequality (4.30) is strict on a subset of  $\Omega$  with positive measure. Thanks to (4.28) and Proposition 4.2, we obtain (4.21). Therefore all the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 (c) are satisfied.

Consequently, there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  of pairwise distinct local minima of  $\Psi + \Phi$  such that  $\Psi(v_n) \to 0$ , which implies  $||v_n||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \to 0$ , thus, the proof of Theorem 4.2 is complete.

**Proposition 4.4.** Assume that (A1)–(A3) and (3.3) are satisfied, the function  $G(x, \cdot)$  satisfies (G1) or (G2), and let  $F(x, \cdot)$  satisfy condition (S).

If there exist two positive constants  $\varrho_0 > \inf_{u \in W^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)} \Psi(u)$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(4.31) 
$$e_0 = \Psi(\xi_0) < \varrho_0$$

and

(4.32) 
$$\int_{\Omega} F(x,\xi_0) \, \mathrm{d}x + (\varrho_0 - e_0) > \sup_{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_0 K(\varrho_0)} \int_{\Omega} F(x,v(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

then the restriction of  $\Psi + \Phi$  to  $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho_0[)$  has a global minimum. Consequently, problem (1.3) has at least one weak solution  $u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.4 can be deduced from Theorem 4.3 (a), and using the same steps in the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\Box$ 

Examples 4.1. Let  $\underline{p} > N$ . Taking  $b(\cdot) \equiv 1$  and  $g(x, \cdot) \equiv 0$  in problem (1.3), we obtain

(4.33) 
$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + |u|^{p_{0}(x)-2}u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have  $G(x,t) \equiv 0$ , and the operator  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is coercive, i.e.

$$\Psi(u) = J(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\overline{p}^+}\right\} \|u\|_{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta} - 1 \to \infty \quad \text{as } \|u\|_{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)} \to \infty,$$

with  $d_0 = d_4 = (2(N+1)\underline{p}^{-1})^{-1} \min\{\alpha/\beta, 1/\overline{p}^+\}$  and  $d_1 = d_2 = |\Omega|/\underline{p}$ , also we have

$$\Psi(u) \ge d_4 ||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}^{\overline{p}^+} \text{ for } ||u||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} \le 1.$$

(a) Taking  $f(x,t) \equiv h(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ , we have F(x,t) = h(x)t satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 4.4. Then in view of Proposition 4.4 the problem

(4.34) 
$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i} \left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + |u|^{p_{0}(x)-2} u = h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has at least one weak solution  $u \in W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

(b) Now, we set  $f(x,t) \equiv \alpha(x)f_1(t)$ , with  $\alpha(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$  being a positive function such that  $\|\alpha(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \neq 0$ , and let  $f_1(\cdot)$  be a continuous function such that  $f_1(t) = F'_1(t)$  and  $F_1(-t) = F_1(t)$ . We have  $F(x,t) = \alpha(x)F_1(t)$ . Choose two positive sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $y_1 \ge 1$ ,  $z_n^p = ny_n^{p_0^+}$ , and  $y_{n+1} > z_n$ for every *n*. Define  $F_1(y_n) = y_n^{p_0^++1}$  and  $F_1(z_n)$  such that

$$(4.35) \quad F_1(y_n) < F_1(z_n) < \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \Big(\frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\Big\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{1}{p_0^+}\Big\} \Big(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\Big)^{\underline{p}} - \frac{|\Omega|}{\underline{p}} (|y_n|^{p_0^+} + 1)\Big) + F_1(y_n).$$

Take  $r_n = (N+1)^{1-\underline{p}} \min \left\{ \alpha/\beta, 1/p_0^+ \right\} (z_n/C_0)^{\underline{p}}$  and  $\xi_n = y_n$ . Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_0(x)} |y_n|^{p_0(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x) F_1(y_n) \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{\underline{p}} |y_n|^{p_0^+} - \|\alpha(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} y_n^{p_0^++1} \to -\infty$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , conditions (4.2) and (4.4) hold true. Taking  $h(x) = \alpha(x)$ , and in view of (4.35) we can conclude conditions (4.5) and (4.6).

The hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, so the problem (4.34) admits a sequence of weak solutions  $(u_n)_n$  in  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_n||_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \infty$ . (c) Take  $f(x,t) \equiv \alpha(x)f_1(t)$  defined as in (b), and choose two positive sequences

 $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $y_n^{\overline{p_0}} = n^{-1}z_n^{\overline{p}^+}$  and  $z_{n+1} < y_n$ . We define the function  $F_1(\cdot)$  such that  $F_1(0) = 0$ ,  $F_1(y_n) = y_n^{\overline{p_0}+1}$  and

$$(4.36) \ F_1(y_n) < F_1(z_n) < \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|_{L^1(\Omega)}} \Big(\frac{1}{(N+1)^{\underline{p}-1}} \min\Big\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{1}{p_0^+}\Big\} \Big(\frac{z_n}{C_0}\Big)^{\overline{p}^+} - d_3|y_n|^{p_0^-}\Big) + F_1(y_n).$$

By taking  $r_n = (N+1)^{1-\underline{p}} \min\{\alpha/\beta, 1/p_0^+\}(z_n/C_0)^{\underline{p}}$  and  $\xi_n = y_n$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, y_n) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_0(x)} |y_n|^{\underline{p}} \,\mathrm{d}x > \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x) y_n^{p_0^- + 1} \,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{|\Omega|}{p_0^+} |y_n|^{p_0^-} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

therefore (4.7)–(4.10) hold true. By taking  $h(x) = \alpha(x)$  and using (4.36) we conclude conditions (4.11) and (4.12). Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, so the problem (4.34) admits a sequence of weak solutions  $(u_n)_n$  in  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)} = 0$ .

# References

[1]	G. Anello, G. Cordaro: Existence of solutions of the Neumann problem for a class of equations involving the $p$ -Laplacian via a variational principle of Ricceri. Arch. Math. 79 (2002), 274–287.	zbl MR doi
[2]	<i>E. Azroul, A. Barbara, M. B. Benboubker, H. Hjiaj</i> : Entropy solutions for nonhomogeneous anisotropic $\Delta_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}$ problems. Appl. Math. 41 (2014), 149–163.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[3]	<i>M. Bendahmane, M. Chrif, S. El Manouni</i> : An approximation result in generalized anisotropic Sobolev spaces and applications. Z. Anal. Anwend. <i>30</i> (2011), 341–353.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[4]	M.-M. Boureanu, V. D. Rădulescu: Anisotropic Neumann problems in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods 75 (2012), 4471–4482.	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[5]	R. Di Nardo, F. Feo, O. Guibé: Uniqueness result for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 18 (2013), 433–458.	zbl <mark>MR</mark>
[6]	L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička: Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017. Springer, Berlin, 2011.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[7]	X. Fan, C. Ji: Existence of infinitely many solutions for a Neumann problem involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334 (2007), 248–260.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[8]	O. Guibé: Uniqueness of the renormalized solution to a class of nonlinear elliptic equations. On the Notions of Solution to Nonlinear Elliptic Problems: Results and Developments (A. Alvino et al., eds.). Quaderni di Matematica 23. Caserta, 2008, pp. 255–282.	zbl MR
[9]	$P.Harjulehto,~P.H\ddot{a}st\ddot{o}:$ Sobolev inequalities with variable exponent attaining the values 1 and $n.$ Publ. Mat., Barc. 52 (2008), 347–363.	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[10]	<i>B. Kone, S. Ouaro, S. Traore</i> : Weak solutions for anisotropic nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. (electronic only) 2009 (2009), paper No. 144, 11 pages.	zbl MR
[11]	$O.Kováčik,~J.Rákosník:$ On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}.$ Czech. Math. J. 41 (1991), 592–618.	zbl MR
[12]	<i>M. Mihăilescu, G. Moroșanu</i> : Existence and multiplicity of solutions for an anisotropic elliptic problem involving variable exponent growth conditions. Appl. Anal. 89 (2010), 257–271.	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[13]	B.Ricceri: A general variational principle and some of its applications. J. Comput. Appl. Math. $113$ (2000), 401–410.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[14]	<i>M. Růžička</i> : Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1748. Springer, Berlin, 2000.	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi

[15] L. Zhao, P. Zhao, X. Xie: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for divergence type elliptic equations. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. (electronic only) 2011 (2011), paper No. 43, 9 pages.

Authors' addresses: Ahmed Ahmed, Taghi Ahmedatt, Abdelfattah Touzani, Department of Mathematics, Laboratory LAMA, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, BP 1796 Atlas, Fez, Morocco, e-mail: ahmedmath2001@gmail.com, taghi-med@hotmail.fr, atouzani07@gmail.com; Hassane Hjiaj, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Tetouan University Abdelmalek Essaadi, Quartier M'haneche II, Avenue Palestine, BP 2121, Tetouan 93000, Morocco, e-mail: hjiajhassane@yahoo.fr.