## ON THE ORDER OF CONVOLUTION CONSISTENCE OF THE ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

GRIGORE S. SĂLĂGEAN, Cluj-Napoca, ADELA VENTER, Oradea

Received May 4, 2015. First published February 2, 2017. Communicated by Dagmar Medková

*Abstract.* Making use of a modified Hadamard product, or convolution, of analytic functions with negative coefficients, combined with an integral operator, we study when a given analytic function is in a given class. Following an idea of U. Bednarz and J. Sokół, we define the order of convolution consistence of three classes of functions and determine a given analytic function for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients.

Keywords: analytic function with negative coefficients; univalent function; extreme point; order of convolution consistence; starlikeness; convexity

MSC 2010: 30C45, 30C50

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of analytic functions in the unit disc  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \colon |z| < 1\}$  normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 and let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ .

**Definition 1** ([4]). We define the operator  $D^n \colon A \to A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $z \in U$  by: a)  $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$ , b)  $D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = zf'(z)$ , c)  $D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z))$ .

**Definition 2** ([4]). Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define the class  $S_n(\alpha)$  of *n*-starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  by

(1.1) 
$$\mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) = \Big\{ f \in A \colon \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} > \alpha, \quad z \in U \Big\}.$$

Denote by  $S_n$  the class  $S_n(0)$ . We note that  $S_0 = ST$  is the class of starlike functions and  $S_1 = CV$  is the class of convex functions.

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2017.0019-15

The convolution, or the Hadamard product, of two functions f and g in  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$$
 and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$ 

is the function (f \* g) defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j.$$

Let us consider the integral operator (see [2], [1], [4])  $\mathcal{I}^s \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

(1.2) 
$$\mathcal{I}^s f(z) = \mathcal{I}^s \left( z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \right) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{j^s} z^j.$$

**Definition 3** ([2]). Let  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that the triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  is S-closed under the convolution if there exists a number S  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  such that

(1.3) 
$$S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{R} \colon \mathcal{I}^s(f * g) \in \mathcal{Z}, \ f \in \mathcal{X}, \ g \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

The number  $S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$  is called the *order of convolution consistence* of the triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ .

Bednarz and Sokòł in [2] obtained the order of convolution consistence for certain classes of univalent functions (starlike, convex, uniform-starlike or uniform-convex functions). For example they proved the following statement.

**Theorem 1** ([2]). We have the following orders of convolution consistence:

(i) S(ST, ST, ST) = 1, (ii) S(CV, CV, ST) = -1, (iii) S(CV, ST, ST) = 0, (iv) S(ST, ST, CV) = 2, (v) S(CV, CV, CV) = 0, (vi) S(CV, ST, CV) = 1.

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of analytic functions of the form

(1.4) 
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad a_j \ge 0, \ j \in \{2, 3, 4, \ldots\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}_n(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_n(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{N}$  is the class of *n*-starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  with negative coefficients. In particular,  $\mathcal{T}_0(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha)$  are the class of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  with negative coefficients and the class of convex functions of order  $\alpha$  with negative coefficients, respectively, introduced by Silverman [8]. We denote  $\mathcal{T}_n(0)$  by  $\mathcal{T}_n$ .

The modified Hadamard product, or  $\circledast$ -convolution, of two functions f and g in  $\mathcal{N}$  of the form

(1.5) 
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$$
 and  $g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$ ,  $a_j, b_j \ge 0$ ,

is the function  $(f \circledast g)$  defined as (see [7])

$$(f \circledast g)(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j.$$

As in Definition 3, we define the order of  $\circledast$ -convolution consistence of the triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ , where  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  are subsets of  $\mathcal{N}$ , denoted  $S_{\circledast}$  by

(1.6) 
$$S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{R} \colon \mathcal{I}^{s}(f \circledast g) \in \mathcal{Z}, \ f \in \mathcal{X}, \ g \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

In this paper we obtain similar results as in Theorem 1 but for the class  $\mathcal{T}_n$  and for  $\circledast$ -convolution.

We need the following characterization of the class  $\mathcal{T}_n$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{N}$  be a function of the form (1.4). Then f belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_n$  if and only if

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+1} a_j \leqslant 1.$$

The result is sharp and the extremal functions are

(1.7) 
$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{1}{j^{n+1}} z^j, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

A proof of this theorem in the particular cases n = 0 and n = 1 is given by Silverman in [8] and by Gupta and Jain in [3]. In a more general form (for  $\mathcal{T}_n(\alpha)$ ) it is given in [5] and [6].

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}^s(f \circledast g) \in T_{n+r}$ , where  $p, q, r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and when

(2.1) 
$$s = r - p - q - n - 1.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since  $f \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$ , if f and g have the form (1.5), then from Theorem 1 we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+p+1}a_j \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+q+1}b_j \leqslant 1$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we deduce

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+(p+q)/2+1} \sqrt{a_j b_j} \leqslant 1.$$

We need to find conditions on s, r, p, q, n such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+r+1-s} a_j b_j \leqslant 1.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$j^{n+r+1-s}a_jb_j \leqslant j^{n+(p+q)/2+1}\sqrt{a_jb_j},$$

that is, that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leqslant j^{s-r+(p+q)/2}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

But from (2.2) we know that

$$\sqrt{a_j b_j} \leqslant j^{-n - (p+q)/2 - 1}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Consequently, it is sufficient to show that

$$j^{-n-(p+q)/2-1} \leqslant j^{s-r+(p+q)/2}, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \ldots\},$$

or, equivalently, that

(2.3) 
$$j^{r-s-n-p-q-1} \leq 1, \quad j \in \{2, 3, \ldots\},$$

but the inequalities (2.3) hold for s, r, p, q, n satisfying (2.1).

Finally, by using the extremal functions (see (1.7))  $f_2(z) = z - z^2/2^{n+p+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+p}$ and  $g_2(z) = z - z^2/2^{n+q+1} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+q}$  we can see that

$$\mathcal{I}^{s}(f_{2} \circledast g_{2}) = z - \frac{z^{2}}{2^{2n+s+p+q+2}}.$$

But from (2.1) we deduce

(2.4) 
$$\mathcal{I}^{s}(f_{2} \circledast g_{2}) = z - \frac{z^{2}}{2^{n+r+1}} \in \mathcal{T}_{n+r},$$

and this shows that the result in Theorem 3 is sharp.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $p, q, r, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let s be given by (2.1). Then the order of  $\circledast$ -convolution consistence

(2.5) 
$$S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) = s = r - p - q - n - 1.$$

Proof. Theorem 3 shows that  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) \leq s$  and from (2.4) we have  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_{n+p}, \mathcal{T}_{n+q}, \mathcal{T}_{n+r}) \geq s$ .

**Corollary 1.** We have the following orders of  $\circledast$ -convolution consistence:

- (a)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0) = -1$ ,
- (b)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1) = 0$ ,
- (c)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_0) = -2,$
- (d)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0) = -3,$
- (e)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1) = -1,$
- (f)  $S_{\circledast}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) = -2.$

We note that  $\mathcal{T}_0 = S\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1 = C\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{N}$  and it is easy to compare the results of Theorem 1 to those of Corollary 1.

## References

- C. M. Bălăeți: An integral operator associated with differential superordinations. An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat. 17 (2009), 37–44.
- [2] U. Bednarz, J. Sokół: On order convolution consistence of the analytic functions. Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 55 (2010), 45–51.
- [3] V. P. Gupta, P. K. Jain: Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 409–416.
- [4] G. S. Sălăgean: Subclasses of univalent functions. Complex Analysis, Proceedings 5th Rom.-Finn. Semin., Bucharest 1981, Part 1 (C. Andreian Cazacu at al., eds.). Lecture Notes in Math. 1013. Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362–372.

zbl MR

zbl MR doi

- [5] G. S. Sălăgean: Classes of univalent functions with two fixed points. Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca, 1984. Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", 1984, pp. 181–184.
- [6] G. S. Sălăgean: On univalent functions with negative coefficients. Prepr., "Babeş-Bolyai" Univ., Fac. Math. Phys., Res. Semin. 7 (1991), 47–54.
- [7] A. Schild, H. Silverman: Convolutions of univalent functions with negative coefficients. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A (1975) 29 (1977), 99–107.
- [8] H. Silverman: Univalent functions with negative coefficients. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109–116.

Authors' addresses: Grigore S. Sălăgean, Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Str. Kogalniceanu Nr. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: salagean@math.ubbcluj.ro; Adela Venter, Faculty of Environmental Protection, University of Oradea, Str. Universitatii Nr. 1, 410087 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: adela\_venter@ yahoo.ro.

