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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a Baire space, $Y$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ be a quasi-continuous mapping. For a proximal subset $H$ of $Y \times Y$ we will use topological games $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ on $Y \times Y$ between two players to prove that if the first player has a winning strategy in these games, then $\varphi$ is norm continuous on a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$. It follows that if $Y$ is Valdivia compact, each quasi-continuous mapping from a Baire space $X$ to $C_{p}(Y)$ is norm continuous on a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

Let $X$ and $Z$ be topological spaces. A function $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ is called quasicontinuous at $x_{0} \in X$ if for any neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ in $X$ and any neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $Z$ there exists a nonempty open subset $G$ of $U$ such that $\varphi(G) \subset V$. The mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ is called quasi-continuous if it is quasi-continuous at any point of $X$.

Let $Y$ be a compact space and $C(Y)$ be the space of all continuous real-valued functions on $Y$. We consider two topologies on $C(Y)$, the norm topology, which is the topology generated by the supremum norm $\|f\|=\sup _{y \in Y}|f(y)|, f \in C(Y)$, and the pointwise topology, which is the topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{Y}$ with product topology. The space $C(Y)$ equipped with the pointwise topology will be denoted by $C_{p}(Y)$.
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In 1974, Namioka [15] proved that every continuous mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ is norm continuous at the points of a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$ provided that $X$ is countably Cech-complete. Christensen [5] showed Namioka's theorem is still valid when $X$ is $\sigma$ - $\beta$-unfavorable. It was expected that the result of Namioka remains true when $X$ is an arbitrary Baire space. However, Talagrand [17] provided an example of a pointwise continuous mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(X)$, where $X$ is on an $\alpha$-favorable space $X$ which is nowhere norm continuous. The result of Talagrand raises the following question:

What are compact spaces $Y$ such that for every Baire space $X$ and continuous (or quasi-continuous) mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ must be norm continuous at each point of some dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$ ?

Several partial answers to the above question have been obtained by some authors (see e.g. [3], [6]-[14]). In particular, Bouziad [2] introduced two person games $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ on product $Y \times Y$, where $H$ is a proximal subset of $Y \times Y$, to show that if the first player has winning strategies in both plays, then $Y$ is a co-Namioka compact space.

In this paper, we will show that if in a compact space $Y$ the second player in games $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ has no winning strategies, then every quasi-continuous mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ is norm continuous on a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$.

## 2. Results

We start this section by introducing the following topological games. The first one is known as "Banach-Mazur game" (or "Choquet game", see [4] or [16]).

The Banach-Mazur game $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}(X)$ : Two players $\beta$ and $\alpha$ select alternately nonempty open subsets of $X$ as follows. Player $\beta$ starts the game by selecting a nonempty open subset $U_{1}$ of $X$. In return, $\alpha$ replies by selecting some nonempty open subset $V_{1}$ of $U_{1}$. At the $n$-th stage of the game, $n \geqslant 1$, player $\beta$ chooses a nonempty open subset $U_{n} \subset V_{n-1}$ and $\alpha$ answers by choosing a nonempty open subset $V_{n}$ of $U_{n}$. Proceeding in this fashion, the players generate a sequence $\left(U_{n}, V_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which is called a play. Player $\alpha$ wins the play $\left(U_{n}, V_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}^{\infty}$ if $\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} U_{n}=\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} V_{n} \neq \emptyset$; otherwise player $\beta$ wins this play. A partial play is a finite sequence of sets consisting of the first few moves of a play. A strategy for player $\alpha$ is a rule by means of which the player makes his/her choices. An s-play is a play in which $\alpha$ selects his/her moves according to the strategy $s$. The strategy $s$ for the player $\alpha$ is said to be a winning strategy if every $s$-play is won by $\alpha$. A space $X$ is called $\alpha$-favorable if there exists a winning strategy for $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$.

It is easy to verify that every $\alpha$-favorable space $X$ is a Baire space. There are examples of Baire spaces which are not $\alpha$-favorable (see for example [10]). It is known that $X$ is a Baire space if and only if player $\beta$ does not have a winning strategy in the game $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}(X)$.

Let $Y$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\Delta$ denote the diagonal of $Y \times Y$. Following [2], a subset $H$ of $Y \times Y$ is called proximal if it intersects every neighborhood of $\Delta$. For a proximal set $H \subset \Delta$ we consider the following two player topological games.
$\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ : At the $n$-th stage, $\mathfrak{a}$ selects a pair $\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)$, where $W_{n}$ is an open neighborhood of $\Delta$ and $D_{n} \cap H$ is a dense subset of $H$. Then $\mathfrak{b}$ answers by taking a point $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{n} \cap H \cap D_{n}$. This play is won by $\mathfrak{a}$ if for every neighborhood $W$ of $\Delta$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{b}$ wins the play. The space $Y$ is called $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ - $\mathfrak{b}$-favorable if $\mathfrak{b}$ has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$. Otherwise, $Y$ is called $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ - $\mathfrak{b}$-unfavorable.
$\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ : At the $n$-th stage, $\mathfrak{a}$ selects a pair $\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)$, where $W_{n}$ is an open neighborhood of $\Delta$ and $D_{n}$ is a dense subset of $W_{n}$. Then the answer of $\mathfrak{b}$ will be a point $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{n} \cap D_{n}$. The play is won by $\mathfrak{a}$ if for every neighborhood $W$ of $\Delta$ containing $H$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{b}$ wins the game. The space $Y$ is called $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ - $\mathfrak{b}$-favorable if $\mathfrak{b}$ has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$. Otherwise, $Y$ is called $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ - $\mathfrak{b}$-unfavorable.

Hereafter, we will assume that $Y$ is a compact space and $H$ is a proximal subset of $Y$. In order to prove the main result of this paper, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $A \subset C(Y)$ be such that for some $\varepsilon>0$ there is a neighborhood $W$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon$ for each $f \in A$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in W$. Then for every $f \in A$ there is a relatively open, with respect to pointwise topology on $A$, set $B \subseteq A$ such that $f \in B$ and $\|\cdot\|-\operatorname{diam}(B)<\varepsilon$.

Proof. For each $y \in Y$ let $W_{y}=\{z:(y, z) \in W\}$. Then each $W_{y}$ is open and $|f(y)-f(z)|<\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon$ for each $f \in A$ and $z \in W_{y}$. Since $Y$ is compact, there are points $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in Y$ such that $Y=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} W_{y_{i}}$. Choose an element $f_{0} \in A$ and define

$$
B=\left\{f \in A:\left|f\left(y_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(y_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}
$$

Then for each $f, g \in B$ and $y \in Y$ there is some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that $y \in W_{y_{i}}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(y)-g(y)| & \leqslant\left|f(y)-f\left(y_{i}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(y_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(y_{i}\right)\right|+\left|f_{0}\left(y_{i}\right)-g\left(y_{i}\right)\right|+\left|g\left(y_{i}\right)-g(y)\right| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}=\frac{3 \varepsilon}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\|f-g\|<\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ be a quasicontinuous mapping. If $X$ is $\alpha$-favorable and $\mathfrak{b}$ has no winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ or $X$ is Baire and $\mathfrak{a}$ has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$, then for each $\varepsilon>0$ and a nonempty open subset $U$ of $X$ there are an open neighborhood $E$ of $\Delta$ and a nonempty open subset $O \subset U$ such that for each $f \in \varphi(O)$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in E \cap H$ we have $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. If the result of the lemma were not true, then there are some $\varepsilon>0$ and an open subset $U$ of $X$ such that for each open subset $O \subset U$ and open neighborhood $E$ of $\Delta,\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant \varepsilon$ for some $f \in \varphi(O)$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in E \cap H$. Let $U_{1}=U$ be the first move of player $\beta$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$ and $V_{1} \subset U_{1}$ be the answer of $\alpha$ to this movement. Suppose that $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ is the first move of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$. By our assumption, there is some $f_{1} \in \varphi\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{1} \cap D_{1} \cap H$ such that $\left|f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. Let $\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the answer of $\mathfrak{b}$ to $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right)$. In step $n$, when $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ and $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)$ are specified by $\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{a}$, respectively, we select some $f_{n} \in \varphi\left(V_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{n} \cap D_{n} \cap H$ such that $\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. Let $\delta_{n}=\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ and define

$$
B_{n}=\left\{f:\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|<\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \text { and }\left|f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}\right\} .
$$

If $f \in B_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right| & \geqslant\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|-\left\{\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\}  \tag{2.1}\\
& >\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|-\delta_{n}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to the quasi-continuity of $\varphi$, there is some nonempty subset $U_{n+1}$ of $V_{n}$ such that $\varphi\left(U_{n+1}\right) \subset B_{n}$. Let $U_{n+1}$ be the answer of $\beta$ to the partial play $\left(U_{1}, V_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}, V_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be the response of $\mathfrak{b}$ to $\left(\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)\right)$. In this way by induction on $n$, a strategy for $\beta$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$ and a strategy for $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ is defined. Under either every assumption of the lemma, there are related games $\left\{\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(U_{n}, V_{n}\right)\right\}$ which are won by $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\alpha$, respectively. Let $z \in \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} U_{n}$ and $f=\varphi(z)$. Define

$$
W=\left\{\left(y, y^{\prime}\right):\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\} .
$$

Then $W$ is a neighborhood of $\Delta$, hence there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W$. However, $f \in \varphi\left(U_{n+1}\right) \subset B_{n}$, hence by (2.1), $\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. This contradiction proves the lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $X$ and $\varphi$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2 and let $Y$ be $\mathfrak{b}$ unfavorable for play $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$. Then for every nonempty open subset $U$ of $X$ and every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a nonempty open subset $O$ of $U$ and an open neighborhood $W$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ for each $f \in \varphi(O)$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in W$.

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is not true. Then there is some $\varepsilon>0$ and a nonempty open subset $U$ of $X$ such that for every nonempty open subset $O^{\prime}$ of $U$ and every open neighborhood $E$ of $\Delta$ there are $f \in \varphi\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in E$ such that $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2, there is a nonempty open subset $O^{\prime}$ of $U$ and an open neighborhood $E$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ for each $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in E \cap H$ and $f \in \varphi\left(O^{\prime}\right)$. Let $U_{1}=O^{\prime}$ be the first choice of $\beta$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$ and $V_{1} \subset U_{1}$ be the response of $\alpha$ to $U_{1}$. Let $E^{\prime}$ be an open neighborhood of $\Delta$ such that $\overline{E^{\prime}} \subset E$. Let $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ be the first choice of $\mathfrak{a}$ in the play $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$. Then there is some $f \in \varphi\left(V_{1}\right)$ such that $\left|f\left(y_{1}\right)-f\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ for some $\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{1} \cap E^{\prime}$. Since $D_{1} \cap E^{\prime}$ is dense in $W_{1} \cap E^{\prime}$, we can assume that $\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{1} \cap E^{\prime} \cap D_{1}$. Let ( $y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be the answer of $\mathfrak{b}$ to $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right)$.

Let the partial plays $\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}, V_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$ and $\left(\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)\right)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be specified. Then by our assumption, there is some $f_{n} \in \varphi\left(V_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W_{n} \cap E^{\prime} \cap D_{n}$ such that $\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$. Let $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ be the answer of $\mathfrak{b}$ to $\left(W_{1}, D_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right)$. Define $\delta_{n}=\left|f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ and

$$
B_{n}=\left\{f:\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|<\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \text { and }\left|f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)-f_{n}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then $B_{n}$ is a pointwise open subset of $C(Y)$ which contains $f_{n} \in \varphi\left(V_{n}\right)$. Thanks to quasi-continuity of $\varphi$, there is an open subset $U_{n+1} \subset V_{n}$ such that $\varphi\left(U_{n+1}\right) \subset B_{n}$. Let $U_{n+1}$ be the next move of player $\beta$. By (2.1), $\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$ for each $f \in \varphi\left(U_{n+1}\right)$. In this way, by induction on $n$ a strategy for $\beta$ in $\mathcal{B M}(X)$ and a strategy for $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$ are determined. Since $\mathfrak{b}$ does not have a winning strategy, there is a play $\left\{\left(W_{n}, D_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ which is won by $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\left\{\left(U_{n}, V_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ be its corresponding $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}(X)$ game. Then $\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} U_{n} \neq \emptyset$. Let $f=\varphi(z) \in \varphi\left(\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} U_{n}\right)$ and define

$$
W=\left\{\left(y, y^{\prime}\right):\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\} \cup\left(Y \times Y \backslash \overline{E^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Then $W$ is a neighborhood of $\Delta$ which contains $H$. Therefore, there is some $n$ such that $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in W$. Since $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in E^{\prime}$, it follows that $\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon$. However, $f \in \varphi\left(U_{n}\right) \subset B_{n}$. This contradiction proves the lemma.

Now, we are ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ be a quasicontinuous mapping. Suppose that $X$ is $\alpha$-favorable and $\mathfrak{b}$ has no winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ or $X$ is Baire and $\mathfrak{a}$ has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$. If $Y$ is $\mathfrak{b}$-unfavorable for play $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$, there is a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset $D$ of $X$ such that $\varphi$ is norm continuous on $D$.

Proof. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ be a quasi-continuous mapping. Define

$$
G_{n}=\bigcup\left\{O: O \text { is open in } X \text { and norm- } \operatorname{diam}(\varphi(O))<\frac{1}{n}\right\} .
$$

Then each $G_{n}$ is open in $X$. Let $U$ be an arbitrary nonempty open subset of $X$. By Lemma 3, there is a nonempty open subset $O$ of $U$ and an open neighborhood $W$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left|f(y)-f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{5} n^{-1}$ for each $f \in \varphi(O)$ and $\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in W$. In view of Lemma 1, there is a pointwise open set $B \subset C_{p}(Y)$ such that $B \cap \varphi(O) \neq \emptyset$ and norm- $\operatorname{diam}(B \cap \varphi(O))<n^{-1}$. Since $\varphi$ is quasi-continuous, the set $\varphi^{-1}(B) \cap O$ is semi-open and nonempty, and consequently, it contains a nonempty open set $V$. Thus $V \subset G_{n} \cap U$, hence $G_{n}$ is dense in $X$. Clearly $\varphi$ is norm continuous on $D=\bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} G_{n}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a set and

$$
\sigma(\Gamma)=\left\{x \in[0,1]^{\Gamma}:\{\gamma \in \Gamma: x(\gamma) \neq 0 \text { is countable }\}\right\} .
$$

A compact space $Y$ is called Corson compact if it can be embedded in some $\sigma(\Gamma)$. The space $Y$ is called Valdivia compact if it can be embedded in some subset $K$ of $[0,1]^{\Gamma}$ such that $K \cap \sigma(\Gamma)$ is dense in $K$. It follows from the definition that every Corson compact space is Valdivia compact but the converse is not true in general (see [8]). Debs [6] proved that if $X$ is a Baire space and $Y$ is a Corson compact, then every continuous mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ is norm continuous at any point of a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$. Bouziad [2] improved this result by showing that $Y$ can be any $\mathfrak{a}$-favorable space for the games $\mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}(H)$, where $H$ is a proximal subset of $Y \times Y$. So the above result holds when $Y$ is Valdivia compact (see [1]).

Kendeov et al. [11], Corollaries 5 and 8, have shown that this result remains true if $X$ is $\alpha$-favorable, $Y$ is Valdivia compact and $\varphi$ is quasi-continuous. Theorem 4 enables us to give a simultaneous generalization of these results.

Corollary 5. Let $X$ be a Baire space and $Y$ be a Valdivia compact space. Then every quasi-continuous mapping $\varphi: X \rightarrow C_{p}(Y)$ is norm continuous at any point of a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $X$.
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