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Abstract. We present the full descriptive characterizations of the strong McShane integral (or the variational McShane integral) of a Banach space valued function $f: W \rightarrow X$ defined on a non-degenerate closed subinterval $W$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ in terms of strong absolute continuity or, equivalently, in terms of McShane variational measure $V_{\mathcal{M}} F$ generated by the primitive $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ of $f$, where $\mathcal{I}_{W}$ is the family of all closed non-degenerate subintervals of $W$.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the monograph [21] of Štefan Schwabik and Ye Guoju, a full characterization of the strong McShane integral of Banach-space valued functions defined on a compact non-degenerate subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ is given, see Theorem 7.4.14. There is also a full descriptive characterization of the variational McShane integral in [12], Theorem 2.5.

In [13], Yeong gives some full characterizations of the strong McShane integral of Banach-space valued functions defined on a compact non-degenerate subinterval of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

In this paper, we present the full descriptive characterizations of the strong McShane integral of a Banach space valued function $f: W \rightarrow X$ defined on a nondegenerate closed subinterval $W$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ in terms of strong absolute continuity or, equivalently, in terms of McSh ane variational measure $V_{\mathcal{M}} F$ generated by the primitive $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ of $f$, see Theorem 2.8.

Throughout this paper, $X$ denotes a real Banach space with the dual $X^{*}$ and $W$ denotes a compact non-degenerate subinterval of the $m$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is equipped with the maximum norm. $B_{m}(t, r)$ is the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with center $t$ and radius $r>0$. We denote by $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and by $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the $\sigma$-algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. We put

$$
\mathcal{L}(W)=\left\{W \cap E: E \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{B}(W)=\left\{W \cap B: B \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right\} .
$$

The Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is denoted by $\lambda$ and the Lebesgue measure of a Lebesgue measurable set $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ is denoted by $|E|$. The phrase "at almost all" always referes to $\lambda$.

If $\mu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{L}(W)$, then by $\mu \ll \lambda$ we mean that $|E|=0$ implies $\mu(E)=0$. A vector measure $\nu: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ is said to be a countable additive vector measure if $\nu$ is countable additive in the norm topology of $X$. A countable additive vector measure $\nu$ is said to be $\lambda$-continuous if $|E|=0$ implies $\nu(E)=0$. The variation of a countable additive vector measure $\nu$ is denoted by $|\nu| ; \nu$ is said to be of bounded variation on $W$ if $|\nu|(W)<\infty$.

Let $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$ with $-\infty<a_{j}<b_{j}<\infty$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. The set $[\alpha, \beta]=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]$ is called a closed non-degenerate interval in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, while $[\alpha, \beta)=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left[\begin{array}{c}j=1 \\ j\end{array}, b_{j}\right.$ ) is said to be a half-closed interval (or brick) in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. By $\mathscr{B}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, the family of all bricks in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is denoted. In particular, if $b_{1}-a_{1}=\ldots=b_{m}-a_{m}$, then $I=[\alpha, \beta]$ is called a cube and we set $l_{I}=b_{1}-a_{1}$. In this case, $|I|=\left(l_{I}\right)^{m}$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_{W}$ the family of all closed non-degenerate subintervals of $W$.

Two intervals $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$ are said to be non-overlapping if $I^{\circ} \cap J^{\circ}=\emptyset$, where $I^{\circ}$ denotes the interior of $I$. A function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ is said to be an additive interval function if for each pair of non-overlapping intervals $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$ with $I \cup J \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$, we have

$$
F(I \cup J)=F(I)+F(J) .
$$

Definition 1.1. An additive interval function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ is said to be strongly absolutely continuous (sAC) on $W$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\eta>0$ such that for each finite collection $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}\right\}$ of pairwise non-overlapping subintervals in $\mathcal{I}_{W}$ we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|I_{i}\right|<\eta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

Replacing the last inequality with $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{p} F\left(I_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$, we obtain the notion of absolute continuity (AC) on $W$.

Definition 1.2. A finite collection $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}\right\}$ of pairwise non-overlapping intervals in $\mathcal{I}_{W}$ is said to be a division of $W$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_{i}=W . \mathscr{D}_{W}$ denotes the family of all divisions of interval $W$. The total variation $V_{F}(W)$ of an additive interval function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ on $W$ is defined as

$$
V_{F}(W)=\sup \left\{\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}}\|F(J)\|: \mathscr{D} \in \mathscr{D}_{W}\right\} .
$$

If $V_{F}(W)<\infty$, then $F$ is said to be of bounded variation on $W$.
The following lemma has been proven in [14], Lemma 10.3.7 for the real valued functions, but the proof works also for Banach-space valued functions after trivial changes.

Lemma 1.3. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function. If $F$ is $s A C$ on $W$, then $F$ is of bounded variation on $W$.

Definition 1.4. Assume that a point $t \in W$ and a function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ are given. We set

$$
\mathcal{I}_{W}(t)=\left\{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}: t \in I, I \text { is a cube }\right\} .
$$

We say that $F$ is cubic derivable at $t$ if there exists a vector $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t) \in X$ such that

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{F(I)}{|I|}=F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)
$$

$F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ is said to be the cubic derivative of $F$ at $t$. The cubic derivative of $F$ at $t$ is a generalization of the derivative $F^{\prime}(t)$ defined in [13], Definition 3.2.

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called locally integrable if $f$ is Borel measurable function and

$$
\int_{K}|f(s)| \mathrm{d} \lambda<\infty \text { for every bounded measurable set } K \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)
$$

The following theorem is the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem, c.f. Theorem 3.21 in [7].

Theorem 1.5. If a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally integrable, then there exists $Z \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with $|Z|=0$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|E_{r}\right|} \int_{E_{r}}|f(s)-f(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash Z
$$

whenever $\left(E_{r}\right)_{r>0}$ is a family that shrinks nicely to $t$.

A family $\left(E_{r}\right)_{r>0}$ of Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is said to shrink nicely to $t \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ if
$\triangleright E_{r} \subset B_{m}(t, r)$ for each $r$,
$\triangleright$ there is a constant $\alpha>0$, independent of $r$, such that $\left|E_{r}\right|>\alpha\left|B_{m}(t, r)\right|$, c.f. [7], page 98.

A pair $(t, I)$ of a point $t \in W$ and an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$ is called an $\mathcal{M}$-tagged interval in $W, t$ is the tag of $I$. A finite collection $\left\{\left(t_{i}, I_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$-tagged intervals in $W$ is called an $\mathcal{M}$-partition in $W$ if $\left\{I_{i}: i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ is a collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals in $\mathcal{I}_{W}$. Given $Z \subset W$, a positive function $\delta: Z \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is called a gauge on $Z$. We say that an $\mathcal{M}$-partition $\pi=\left\{\left(t_{i}, I_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, p\right\}$ in $W$ is $\triangleright$ a partition of $W$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_{i}=W$; $\triangleright Z$-tagged if $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\} \subset Z$;
$\triangleright \delta$-fine if for each $(t, I) \in \pi$ we have $I \subset B_{m}(t, \delta(t))$.
Definition 1.6. A function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is said to be McShane integrable on $W$ if there is a vector $x_{f} \in X$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ on $W$ such that for every $\delta$-fine $\mathcal{M}$-partition $\pi$ of $W$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{(t, I) \in \pi} f(t)|I|-x_{f}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

In this case, the vector $x_{f}$ is said to be the McShane integral of $f$ on $W$ and we set $x_{f}=(M) \int_{W} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$. The function $f$ is said to be McShane integrable over a subset $A \subset W$ if the function $f \cdot 0_{A}: W \rightarrow X$ is McShane integrable on $W$, where $1_{A}$ is the characteristic function of the set $A$. The McShane integral of $f$ over $A$ will be denoted by $(M) \int_{A} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$. If $f: W \rightarrow X$ is McShane integrable on $W$, then by Theorem 4.1.6 in [21] the function $f$ is McShane integrable on each $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$.

Definition 1.7. The function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is said to be variationally McShane integrable (or strongly McShane integrable) on $W$ if there exists an additive interval function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ on $W$ such that for every $\delta$-fine $\mathcal{M}$-partition $\pi$ of $W$ we have

$$
\sum_{(t, I) \in \pi}\|f(t)|I|-F(I)\|<\varepsilon .
$$

The function $F$ is said to be the primitive of $f$. Clearly, if $f$ is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive $F$, then $f$ is McShane integrable, and by Proposition 3.6.16 in [21] we also have

$$
F(I)=(M) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for every } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

For more information about the McShane integral we refer to [21], [25], [5], [8], [9]-[11], [16], [15], [26] and [1].

Definition 1.8. Given an additive interval function $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$, a subset $Z \subset W$ and a gauge $\delta$ on $Z$, we define

$$
V_{\mathcal{M}} F(Z, \delta)=\sup \left\{\sum_{(t, I) \in \pi}\|F(I)\|: \pi \text { is a } Z \text {-tagged } \delta \text {-fine } \mathcal{M} \text {-partition in } W\right\} .
$$

Then we set

$$
V_{\mathcal{M}} F(Z)=\inf \left\{V_{\mathcal{M}} F(Z, \delta): \delta \text { is a gauge on } Z\right\}
$$

The set function $V_{\mathcal{M}} F$ is said to be the McShane variational measure generated by $F$.
The set function $V_{\mathcal{M}} F$ is a Borel metric outer measure on $W$, see [4] or [23]. The McShane variational measure have been used extensively for studying the primitives (indefinite integrals) of real functions. See e.g. the paper [4] of Di Piazza, the book [14] of Lee Tuo-Yeong, [20] of Pfeffer for relations to integration and the fundamental general work [24] of Thomson. The following lemma has been proven by Di Piazza in [4], Proposition 1 (there she considers real valued functions, but the proof works also for vector valued functions, after trivial changes).

Lemma 1.9. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $F$ is $s A C$ on $W$;
(ii) $V_{\mathcal{M}} F \ll \lambda$.

A function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is said to be weakly measurable if for each $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ the real function $x^{*} \circ f$ is Lebesgue measurable; $f$ is said to be measurable if there is a sequence $f_{n}: W \rightarrow X$ of simple measurable functions such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}(t)-f(t)\right\|=0 \quad \text { at almost all } t \in W .
$$

The function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is said to be Bochner integrable on $W$ if $f$ is measurable and there exists a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ of simple measurable functions such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{W}\left\|f(t)-f_{n}(t)\right\| \mathrm{d} \lambda=0
$$

In this case, $(B) \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ is defined for each Lebesgue measurable set $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ as

$$
\text { (B) } \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(B) \int_{E} f_{n} \mathrm{~d} \lambda
$$

where $(B) \int_{E} f_{n} \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ is defined in the usual way.

The function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is said to be Pettis integrable on $W$ if $x^{*} \circ f$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$ for each $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and for every Lebesgue measurable set $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ there is a vector $\nu(E) \in X$ such that

$$
x^{*}(\nu(E))=\int_{E}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } x^{*} \in X^{*}
$$

The vector $\nu(E)$ is then called the Pettis integral of $f$ over $E$ and we set $\nu(E)=$ $(P) \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$. We refer to [3], [17]-[19], [22] and [2] for Pettis integral.

## 2. The main result

The main result is Theorem 2.8. Let us start with some auxiliary lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. If a function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$, then

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|f(s)-f(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for almost all } t \in W
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} f(s) \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=f(t) \quad \text { for almost all } t \in W \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$, there exists a Borel measurable function $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that it is Lebesgue integrable on $W$ and $h(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$. Consider a function $g: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
g(t)= \begin{cases}h(t) & \text { if } t \in W \\ 0 & \text { if } t \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash W\end{cases}
$$

Since $g$ is locally integrable, by Theorem 1.5 there exists $Z \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with $|Z|=0$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|E_{r}\right|} \int_{E_{r}}|g(s)-g(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash Z
$$

whenever $\left(E_{r}\right)_{r>0}$ is a family that shrinks nicely to $t$.
Fix an arbitrary $t \in W \backslash Z$. For each real positive number $r>0$ we can choose an arbitrary cube $I_{r} \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t)$ such that $r=l\left(I_{r}\right)$. Note that

$$
I_{r} \subset B(t, r) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|I_{r}\right|=r^{m}>\frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\left|B_{m}(t, r)\right|
$$

whenever $r>0$. Thus, the family $\left(I_{r}\right)_{r>0}$ shrinks nicely to $t$. Therefore

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|I_{r}\right|} \int_{I_{r}}|g(s)-g(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0
$$

and since $t$ and $\left(I_{r}\right)_{r>0}$ are arbitrary, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|g(s)-g(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in W \backslash Z
$$

Hence,

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|h(s)-h(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in W \backslash Z .
$$

Further, since $h(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|f(s)-f(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 \quad \text { for almost all } t \in W
$$

The last result together with

$$
\left|\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} f(s) \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)-f(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|f(s)-f(t)| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)
$$

yields (2.1), and this ends the proof.
As in [6], page 156 , define a function $\varrho: \mathcal{L}(W) \times \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\varrho(U, V)=|U \Delta V| \quad \text { for each }(U, V) \in \mathcal{L}(W) \times \mathcal{L}(W)
$$

It is not difficult to check that $\varrho$ is a semimetric in $\mathcal{L}(W)$, i.e. $\varrho$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\triangleright \varrho(U, U)=0$,
$\triangleright \varrho(U, V)=\varrho(V, U)$,
$\triangleright \varrho(U, V) \leqslant \varrho(U, H)+\varrho(H, V)$,
whenever $U, V, H \in \mathcal{L}(W)$.
Lemma 2.2. If $\nu: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ is a countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure, then

$$
\nu\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}\right)=\left\{\nu(I): I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}\right\}
$$

is a separable set in $X$.

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{W}$ the family of all intervals in $\mathcal{I}_{W}$ with vertices having rational coordinates. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{W} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{W}^{\varrho} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{W}^{\varrho}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{Q}_{W}$ in the semimetric space $(\mathcal{L}(W), \varrho)$. We are going to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}\right) \subset \overline{\nu\left(\mathcal{Q}_{W}\right)}\|\cdot\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nu\left(\mathcal{Q}_{W}\right)=\left\{\nu(I): I \in \mathcal{Q}_{W}\right\}
$$

and $\overline{\nu\left(\mathcal{Q}_{W}\right)}{ }^{\|} \cdot \|$ is the closure of $\nu\left(\mathcal{Q}_{W}\right)$ in the Banach space $X$. To see this, let $\nu(I) \in \nu\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}\right)$. Then by (2.2), there exists a sequence $\left(I_{k}\right) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{W}$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|I \backslash I_{k}\right|+\left|I_{k} \backslash I\right|\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varrho\left(I_{k}, I\right)=0
$$

and therefore by Theorem I.2.1 in [3], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu\left(I \backslash I_{k}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu\left(I_{k} \backslash I\right)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
I=\left(I \backslash I_{k}\right) \cup\left(I \cap I_{k}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad I_{k}=\left(I_{k} \backslash I\right) \cup\left(I \cap I_{k}\right),
$$

it follows that

$$
\left\|\nu(I)-\nu\left(I_{k}\right)\right\|=\left\|\nu\left(I \backslash I_{k}\right)-\nu\left(I_{k} \backslash I\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|\nu\left(I \backslash I_{k}\right)\right\|+\left\|\nu\left(I_{k} \backslash I\right)\right\|
$$

The last result together with (2.4) yields that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nu(I)-\nu\left(I_{k}\right)\right\|=0
$$

This means that (2.3) holds, and this ends the proof.
The next lemma is proved by using Caratheodory-Hahn-Kluvanek Extension theorem, see Theorem I.5.2 in [3]. We recall that a collection $\mathcal{E}$ of subsets of $W$ is said to be an elementary family if
$\triangleright \emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$,
$\triangleright$ if $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$, then $E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$,
$\triangleright$ if $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then $E^{c}=W \backslash E$ is a finite disjoint union of members of $\mathcal{E}$,
c.f. [7], page 23 .

Lemma 2.3. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function. If $F$ is $A C$ on $W$, then there exists a unique countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure $F_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
F(I)=F_{\mathcal{L}}(I) \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W} .
$$

Moreover, if $F$ is sAC on $W$, then $F_{\mathcal{L}}$ is of bounded variation on $W$.
Proof. We set

$$
\mathscr{B}_{r}(W)=\left\{W \cap B_{r}: B_{r} \in \mathscr{B}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}=\mathscr{B}_{r}(W) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ is an elementary family. Therefore, by Proposition 1.7 in [7], it follows that the collection $\mathscr{A}$ of finite disjoint unions of members of $\mathcal{E}$ is an algebra. Since

$$
\mathscr{B}(W)=\sigma(\mathscr{A}),
$$

where $\sigma(\mathscr{A})$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathscr{A}$, and since the closure of $\mathscr{A}$ with respect to $\varrho$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, it follows that $\mathscr{A}$ is a dense subset of $\mathscr{B}(W)$ with respect to $\varrho$.

Assume that an arbitrary nonempty set $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is given. If $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}\right\}$ and $\left\{J_{1}, \ldots, J_{q}\right\}$ are finite collections of pairwise disjoint bricks in $\mathscr{B}_{r}(W)$ such that

$$
A=I_{1} \cup \ldots \cup I_{p}=J_{1} \cup \ldots \cup J_{q}
$$

then

$$
B=\left\{I_{i} \cap J_{j}: I_{i} \cap J_{j} \neq \emptyset, i=1, \ldots, p, j=1, \ldots, q\right\}
$$

is a finite collection of pairwise disjoint bricks in $\mathscr{B}_{r}(W)$ and $A=\bigcup_{I \in B} I$. Then, since $F$ is additive and any two representations of $A$ as a finite disjoint union of bricks have a common refinement, the sum

$$
F\left(\bar{I}_{1}\right)+\ldots+F\left(\bar{I}_{p}\right)
$$

is independent of the particular family $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{p}\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint bricks whose union is $A$, where $\bar{I}_{i}$ is the closure of $I_{i}$. Thus, we can define vector $F_{\mathscr{A}}(A)$ by equation

$$
F_{\mathscr{A}}(A)=F\left(\bar{I}_{1}\right)+\ldots+F\left(\bar{I}_{p}\right) .
$$

In particular, we define $F_{\mathscr{A}}(\emptyset)=0$.
From the fact that $F$ is AC it follows that

$$
\lim _{\substack{(A \in \mathscr{A}) \\|A| \rightarrow 0}} F_{\mathscr{A}}(A)=0 .
$$

Hence, $F_{\mathscr{A}}$ is a strongly additive and countably additive vector measure on $\mathscr{A}$. Therefore by Caratheodory-Hahn-Kluvanek Extension theorem, Theorem I.5.2 in [3], $F_{\mathscr{A}}$ has a unique countable additive $\lambda$-continuous extension $F_{\mathscr{B}}: \mathscr{B}(W) \rightarrow X$, and since

$$
F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B^{\prime}\right)-F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)=F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B^{\prime} \backslash B^{\prime \prime}\right)-F_{\mathscr{A}}\left(B^{\prime \prime} \backslash B^{\prime}\right), \quad B^{\prime}, B^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{B}(W),
$$

it follows that $F_{\mathscr{B}}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathscr{B}(W)$ with respect to $\varrho$.
Since $F_{\mathscr{B}}$ is a countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure on $\mathscr{B}(W)$, it has a unique countable additive $\lambda$-continuous extension $F_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$.

We now assume that $F$ is sAC on $W$. It is enough to show that $F_{\mathscr{B}}$ is of bounded variation on $W$. To see this, let us consider a finite collection $\left\{B_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, p\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint members of $\mathscr{B}(W)$. Since $F_{\mathscr{B}}$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $\varrho$ on $\mathscr{B}(W)$, given $0<\varepsilon<1$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $B, B^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}(W)$ we have

$$
\varrho\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)=\left|B \Delta B^{\prime}\right|<\delta \Rightarrow\left\|F_{\mathscr{B}}(B)-F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 p^{2}}
$$

Since $\mathscr{A}$ is dense in $\mathscr{B}(W)$ with respect to $\varrho$, for each $B_{i}$ there exists an $A_{i} \in \mathscr{A}$ such that

$$
\varrho\left(B_{i}, A_{i}\right)=\left|B_{i} \Delta A_{i}\right|<\frac{\delta}{2}
$$

and since

$$
\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \backslash B_{i} \subset A_{i} \Delta B_{i}, \quad\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \backslash B_{j} \subset A_{j} \Delta B_{j}
$$

and

$$
A_{i} \cap A_{j} \subset\left(\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \backslash B_{i}\right) \cup\left(\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \backslash B_{j}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\varrho\left(\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right), \emptyset\right)=\left|A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right|<\delta, \quad i \neq j
$$

Therefore, if we set

$$
C_{1}=A_{1}, \quad C_{2}=A_{2} \backslash A_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad C_{p}=A_{p} \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{p-1} A_{k}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B_{i}\right)\right\| & \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(B_{i}\right)-F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F_{\mathscr{B}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\|<\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F_{\mathscr{A}}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|F_{\mathscr{A}}\left(C_{i}\right)\right\|+\sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\
i, j}}\left\|F_{\mathscr{A}}\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right)\right\|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& <V_{F}(W)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=V_{F}(W)+\varepsilon<V_{F}(W)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F$ is sAC on $W$, the last result together with Lemma 1.3 yields

$$
\left|F_{\mathscr{B}}\right|(W) \leqslant V_{F}(W)+1<\infty .
$$

Thus, $F_{\mathscr{B}}$ is of bounded variation on $W$, and this ends the proof.
The next lemma gives full descriptive characterizations of Lebesgue integral.

Lemma 2.4. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive interval function and let $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $F$ is $A C$ on $W$;
(ii) $F$ is sAC on $W$;
(iii) $V_{\mathcal{M}} \ll \lambda$;
(iv) $F$ is $A C$ on $W, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$;
(v) $F$ is sAC on $W, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$;
(vi) $V_{\mathcal{M}} \ll \lambda, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$;
(vii) $f$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$, i.e.

$$
F(I)=\int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W} .
$$

Proof. Since $F$ is a real valued function, it is easy to see that if $F$ is AC on $W$, then $F$ is sAC on $W$. Therefore (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) and (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v). By virtue of Lemma 1.9 it follows that (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) and (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): Assume that $F$ is sAC on $W$. Then by Lemma 2.3 there exists a unique countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure $F_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded variation on $W$ such that $F_{\mathcal{L}}(I)=F(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$. Therefore, by Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem, see Theorem 3.8 in [7], there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_{\mathcal{L}}(E)=\int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$. In particular, we have $F(I)=\int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$.
(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Assume that (vii) holds. Then by Corollary 3.6 in [7], $F$ is AC on $W$. Also, since $F(I)=\int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$, by Lemma 2.1 it follows that $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$.

Clearly, (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i), and this ends the proof.
We now show full descriptive characterizations of Pettis integral.

Lemma 2.5. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $f: W \rightarrow X$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is Pettis integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$, i.e.

$$
F(I)=(P) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

(ii) $F$ is $A C$ on $W$ and for each $x^{*} \in X^{*},\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and

$$
\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)(t) \quad \text { for almost all } t \in W
$$

(the exceptional set may vary with $x^{*}$ ).
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Assume that (i) holds. Then each $\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$ with the primitive $\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)$. Therefore for each $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, by Lemma 2.4, $\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$.

Since $f$ is Pettis integrable on $W$, by Theorem II.3.5 in [3], the vector measure $\nu: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ defined as

$$
\nu(E)=(P) \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } E \in \mathcal{L}(W)
$$

is a countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure on $\mathcal{L}(W)$, and since $\lambda$ is a finite measure on $\mathcal{L}(W)$, we obtain by Theorem I.2.1 in [3] that $F$ is $A C$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume that (ii) holds. Then by Lemma 2.4, each $\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$ with the primitive $\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)$, i.e.

$$
\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)(I)=\int_{I}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W} .
$$

Since $F$ is $A C$ on $W$, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a unique countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure $\nu: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ such that $F(I)=\nu(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$. It follows that for each $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ we have

$$
x^{*}(\nu(I))=\int_{I}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

It is easy to see that the family

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{B \in \mathscr{B}(W): \forall x^{*} \in X^{*}, \quad\left[x^{*}(\nu(B))=\int_{B}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda\right]\right\}
$$

is a $\sigma$-algebra such that

$$
\mathcal{I}_{W} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathscr{B}(W)
$$

and since $\mathscr{B}(W)=\sigma\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}\right)$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}=\mathscr{B}(W)$. Thus, for each $B \in \mathscr{B}(W)$ we have

$$
x^{*}(\nu(B))=\int_{B}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } x^{*} \in X^{*} .
$$

Hence, since $\nu$ is $\lambda$-continuous, for each $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ we have

$$
x^{*}(\nu(E))=\int_{E}\left(x^{*} \circ f\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } x^{*} \in X^{*} .
$$

This means that $f$ is Pettis integrable on $W$, and this ends the proof.
By Theorem 3.5 in [13] it follows that if $V_{\mathcal{M}} F \ll \lambda, F^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$, then $f: W \rightarrow X$ is variationally McShane integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$. Since $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ is a generalization of $F^{\prime}(t)$, we need to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $f: W \rightarrow X$ be a function. Assume that $F$ is $s A C$ on $W, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$. Then $f$ is variationally McShane integrable function with the primitive $F$, i.e.

$$
F(I)=(M) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

Proof. By hypothesis, for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ we have $\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and

$$
\left(x^{*} \circ F\right)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)(t) \quad \text { for almost all } t \in W
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, $f$ is Pettis integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$. Hence, by Theorem II.3.5 in [3], the vector measure $\nu: \mathcal{L}(W) \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
\nu(E)=(P) \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } E \in \mathcal{L}(W)
$$

is a countably additive $\lambda$-continuous vector measure. Since $F$ is sAC on $W$ and since

$$
\nu(I)=F(I) \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W},
$$

we obtain by Lemma 2.3 that $\nu$ is of bounded variation.
We obtain by Lemma 2.2 that $Y_{0}=\left\{F(I): I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}\right\}$ is a separable subset of $X$. If $Y$ is the closed linear subspace spanned by $Y_{0}$, then $Y$ is also a separable subset of $X$. Since $F(I) /|I| \in Y$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t)$, we obtain that $f(t) \in Y$ for almost all $t \in W$. Hence, $f$ is $\lambda$-essentially separably valued. Since $f$ is Pettis integrable on $W$,
we have also that $f$ is weakly measurable. Therefore by Theorem II.1.2 in [3], the function $f$ is measurable. Hence, by Remark 4.1 in [18] it follows that

$$
|\nu|(E)=\int_{E}\|f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda \quad \text { for each } E \in \mathcal{L}(W)
$$

and since $\nu$ is of bounded variation, the function $\|f(\cdot)\|$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$. Further, by Theorem II.2.2 in [3], function $f$ is Bochner integrable on $W$. Since the Bochner and Pettis integrals coincide whenever they coexist, we have $F(I)=$ (B) $\int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}$. Thus, function $f$ is Bochner integrable and therefore by Theorem 5.1.4 in [21], $f$ is variationally McShane integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$, and this ends the proof.

According to Theorem 3.1 in [13], if $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ is the primitive of a variationally McShane integrable function $f: W \rightarrow X$, then $V_{\mathcal{M}} F \ll \lambda$. Therefore, to prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 2.8, it is enough to prove that if $F$ is the primitive of a variationally McShane integrable function $f$, then $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function. Assume that a function $f: W \rightarrow X$ is variationally McShane integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$, i.e.

$$
F(I)=(M) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

Then $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.1.4 in [21], $f$ is Bochner integrable on $W$ and

$$
F(I)=(B) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W} .
$$

Since $f$ is measurable, we assume without loss of generality that $f$ is separably valued. Then there exists a countable set

$$
Y=\left\{x_{k} \in X: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

such that $Y$ is a dense subset of $f(W)$. By virtue of Theorem II.2.2 in [3], $\left\|f(\cdot)-x_{k}\right\|$ is Lebesgue integrable on $W$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 there exists a subset $Z_{k} \subset W$ with $\left|Z_{k}\right|=0$ such that for all $t \in W \backslash Z_{k}$ we have

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left\|f(s)-x_{k}\right\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=\left\|f(t)-x_{k}\right\|
$$

Fix an arbitrary $t \in W \backslash Z$, where $Z=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{k}$. Since

$$
\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\|f(s)-f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s) \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left\|f(s)-x_{k}\right\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)+\left\|x_{k}-f(t)\right\|
$$

we obtain

$$
\limsup _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\|f(s)-f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s) \leqslant 2\left\|x_{k}-f(t)\right\| \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The last inequality together with the fact that $Y$ is a dense subset of $f(W)$ yields

$$
\limsup _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{W}}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\|f(s)-f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\|f(s)-f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=0 .
$$

The last result together with

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{|I|}(B) \int_{I} f(s) \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)-f(t)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\|f(s)-f(t)\| \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)
$$

yields

$$
\lim _{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}(t) \\|I| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{|I|}(B) \int_{I} f(s) \mathrm{d} \lambda(s)=f(t) .
$$

Since $t$ is arbitrary, the last equality holds at all $t \in W \backslash Z$. Thus, $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$, and this ends the proof.

We are now ready to present the main result.

Theorem 2.8. Let $F: \mathcal{I}_{W} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $f$ : $W \rightarrow X$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is variationally McShane integrable on $W$ with the primitive $F$, i.e.

$$
F(I)=(M) \int_{I} f \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I}_{W}
$$

(ii) $F$ is $s A C$ on $W, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$;
(iii) $V_{\mathcal{M}} F \ll \lambda, F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $F_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}(t)=f(t)$ for almost all $t \in W$.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 1.9, we obtain immediately that (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). By Theorem 2.6 it follows that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Theorem 2.7 together with Theorem 3.1 in [13] yields that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), and this ends the proof.
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