EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH *p*-LAPLACIAN VIA VARIATIONAL METHODS

JOHN R. GRAEF, Chattanooga, SHAPOUR HEIDARKHANI, Kermanshah, LINGJU KONG, Chattanooga, SHAHIN MORADI, Kermanshah

> Received July 19, 2019. Published online April 15, 2021. Communicated by Dagmar Medková

Abstract. This paper presents several sufficient conditions for the existence of at least one classical solution to impulsive fractional differential equations with a *p*-Laplacian and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Our technical approach is based on variational methods. Some recent results are extended and improved. Moreover, a concrete example of an application is presented.

Keywords: fractional p-Laplacian; impulsive effect; classical solution; variational method

MSC 2020: 26A33, 34B15, 34K45

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the impulsive fractional differential equation with a *p*-Laplacian and Dirichlet boundary conditions

(P^f)
$$\begin{cases} D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha} \Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)) + |u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) = f(t,u(t)), & t \neq t_{j}, \ t \in (0,T), \\ \Delta(D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in (1/p, 1]$, p > 1, $\Phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ ($s \neq 0$), $D_{T^-}^{\alpha}$ is the right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α , ${}^{c}D_{0^+}^{\alpha}$ is the left Caputo fractional derivative of order α , $D_{T^-}^{\alpha-1} = D_{T^-}^{-(1-\alpha)}$ is the right Riemann-Liouville fractional integral

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2021.0104-19

of order $1 - \alpha$,

$$\begin{split} &\Delta(D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{+}) - D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{-}), \\ &D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{+}) = \lim_{t \to t_{j}^{+}} D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t), \\ &D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t_{j}^{-}) = \lim_{t \to t_{j}^{-}} D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u)(t), \end{split}$$

 $f: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an L^1 -Carathéodory function, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_m < t_{m+1} = T$, and $I_j: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \ j = 1, \ldots, m$, are Lipschitz continuous functions having Lipschitz constants $L_j > 0$, i.e., $|I_j(x_2) - I_j(x_1)| \leq L_j |x_2 - x_1|^{p-1}$ for every $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and with $I_j(0) = 0$.

Fractional calculus is a generalization of classical derivatives and integrals to an arbitrary (noninteger) order. It represents a powerful tool in applied mathematics to deal with a myriad of problems from different fields such as physics, mechanics, electricity, control theory, rheology, signal and image processing, aerodynamics, etc.; for details, see [15], [26], [28], [33] and the references therein. Recently, the existence of solutions to boundary value problems for fractional differential equations (FDEs) has been studied in many papers and we refer the reader to the papers [13], [17], [20], [21], [22], [29] and the references therein for some recent contributions. For example, in [13], Chen and Tang studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big(\frac{1}{2} {}_0 D_t^{-\beta}(u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2} {}_t D_T^{-\beta}(u'(t)) \Big) + \lambda \nabla F(t, u(t)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where T > 0, $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, ${}_{0}D_{t}^{-\beta}$ and ${}_{t}D_{T}^{-\beta}$ are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order β , respectively, $F : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function, $\nabla F(t,x)$ is the gradient of F in x, and $F(t,\cdot)$ is superquadratic, asymptotically quadratic, or subquadratic. Using the Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem, Guo and Zhang (see [21]) provided sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive solutions to the boundary value problem in which the nonlinear terms contain the derivatives of order up to i

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D^{\alpha}u(t) + f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(i)}(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(i-1)}(0) = u^{(i+1)}(0) = \dots = u^{(n-1)}(0) = 0, \\ u^{(i)}(1) = 0, & \end{cases}$$

where $n-1 < \alpha \leq n$ is a real number, $n \geq 2$ is a natural number, and $\alpha - i > 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. The function $f(t, x_0, \ldots, x_i)$ may be singular at t = 0.

Nonlinear boundary value problems involving the *p*-Laplacian arise from a variety of physical problems. They are used in non-Newtonian fluids, reaction-diffusion problems, flow through porous media, and petroleum extraction (see, e.g., [8], [9], [16], [30], [39]). Recently, several researchers have studied nonlinear problems of this type using different approaches.

The theory of impulsive differential equations provides a general framework for the mathematical modeling of many real-world phenomena; see, for instance, [12], [14]. Indeed, many dynamical systems have an impulsive behavior due to abrupt changes at certain instants during the evolution process. Impulsive differential equations are basic tools for studying these phenomena, see [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. In relation to the variational approach to impulsive differential equations, we refer to the papers [36], [31]. See also the papers [18], [7], [32].

To the best of our knowledge, there are few results on the existence and multiplicity of solutions to impulsive fractional boundary value problems with a *p*-Laplacian. For details, see [11], [19], [25], [37], [38], [40] and the references therein. For example, Wang et al. (see [38]) used a variant fountain theorem to prove the existence of infinitely many nontrivial large or small energy solutions to problem (P^f). Zhao and Tang (see [40]) employed critical point theory and variational methods to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions to (P^f).

Motivated by the above discussion, in the present paper we study the existence of at least one nontrivial classical solution to problem (\mathbf{P}^f) under an assumption on the asymptotic behavior of the nonlinear function F at zero (see Theorem 3.1). In Theorem 3.2, we present an application of Theorem 3.1. We also give some detailed remarks about our results. As a special case of our result, we obtain Theorem 4.3 for the case where f does not depend upon t. We end the paper with an example to illustrate our results.

2. Preliminaries

We are going to prove the existence of at least one nontrivial classical solution to problem (\mathbf{P}^f) . Essential to our approach is the following version of Ricceri's variational principle (see [35], Theorem 2.1) as given by Bonanno and Molica Bisci in [10].

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a reflexive real Banach space and let $\Phi, \Psi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be two Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that Φ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, strongly continuous, and coercive in X, and Ψ is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous in X. Let I_{λ} be the functional $I_{\lambda} := \Phi - \lambda \Psi, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and for every $r > \inf_X \Phi$, let φ be the function

$$\varphi(r) := \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r)} \frac{\sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r)} \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)}{r - \Phi(u)}$$

Then, for every $r > \inf_X \Phi$ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1/\varphi(r))$, the restriction of the functional I_{λ} to $\Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$ admits a global minimum, which is a critical point (precisely, a local minimum) of I_{λ} in X.

We refer the interested reader to the papers [1], [17], [23], [24] in which Theorem 2.1 has been successfully used to prove the existence of at least one nontrivial solution to boundary value problems.

Next, we introduce several basic definitions, notations, lemmas, and propositions that will be used in the remainder of this paper. Let AC[a, b] be the space of absolutely continuous functions on [a, b] and $\Gamma(\alpha)$ be the usual Gamma function given by

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty z^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{e}^{-z} \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

Definition 2.2 ([27]). Let f be a function defined on [a, b] and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The *left* and *right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of* f of order α are defined by

$$\begin{split} D_{a^+}^{-\alpha}f(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\in[a,b],\\ D_{b^-}^{-\alpha}f(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_t^b (s-t)^{\alpha-1}f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\in[a,b], \end{split}$$

provided the right-hand sides are defined pointwise on [a, b].

Definition 2.3 ([27]). Let f be a function defined on [a, b] and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of f of order α are defined by

$$D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha-1}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{a}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t\in[a,b],$$
$$D_{b^{-}}^{\alpha}f(t) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}D_{b^{-}}^{\alpha-1}f(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{t}^{b}(s-t)^{-\alpha}f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\in[a,b].$$

Definition 2.4 ([27]). Let $f \in AC([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The *left* and *right* Caputo fractional derivatives of f of order α are defined by

$${}^{c}D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}f(t) = D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha-1}f'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{a}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha}f'(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t\in[a,b],$$

$${}^{c}D_{b^{-}}^{\alpha}f'(t) = -D_{b^{-}}^{\alpha-1}f'(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{t}^{b}(s-t)^{-\alpha}f'(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\in[a,b].$$

In particular, if $\alpha = 1$, we have ${}^{c}D_{a^{+}}^{1}f(t) = f'(t)$ and ${}^{c}D_{b^{-}}^{1}f(t) = -f'(t)$.

Let $C_0^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all functions $u \in C^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ with u(a) = u(b) = 0and the norm $||u||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |u(t)|$. Denote the norm of the space $L^p([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ by

$$||u||_{L^p} = \left(\int_a^b |u(s)|^p \,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/p}$$

Definition 2.5. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 . The fractional derivative space <math>E_0^{\alpha,p}$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R})$, that is,

$$E_0^{\alpha,p} = \overline{C_0^{\infty}([0,T],\mathbb{R})}$$

with respect to the norm

(2.1)
$$||u||_{E_0^{\alpha,p}} = \left(\int_0^T |^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)|^p \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T |u(t)|^p \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/p}$$
 for every $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$.

Remark 2.6. Note that the fractional derivative space $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ is the space of functions $u \in L^p([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ having an α -order Caputo fractional derivative ${}^cD_t^{\alpha}u \in L^p([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ and u(0) = u(T) = 0. From [27], Proposition 3.1, we know that for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the space $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space.

The following lemma addresses the boundedness of the Caputo fractional integral operators from the space $L^p([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ into itself where 1 .

Lemma 2.7 ([41]). Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 . For any <math>u \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$, we have

(2.2)
$$||u||_{L^p} \leq \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} ||^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u||_{L^p}.$$

In addition, for $1/p < \alpha \leq 1$ and 1/p + 1/q = 1, we have

(2.3)
$$||u||_{\infty} \leq k ||^{c} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u||_{L^{p}} \text{ where } k = \frac{T^{\alpha - 1/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^{1/q}}$$

Remark 2.8. In view of Lemma 2.7, it is easy to see that the norm in $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ defined by (2.1) is equivalent to the norm

(2.4)
$$||u||_{\alpha,p} = \left(\int_0^T |^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)|^p \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/p}.$$

Lemma 2.9 ([41], Proposition 3.3). Let $1/p < \alpha \leq 1$. If the sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges weakly to u in $E_0^{\alpha,p}$, i.e., $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, then $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $C([0,T], \mathbb{R})$, i.e., $||u - u_k||_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.10. A function

$$u \in \left\{ u \in AC[0,T] \colon \left(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)|^p + |u(t)|^p) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) < \infty, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\},\$$

where

$${}^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\int_{t_{j}}^{t}\frac{u'(s)\,\mathrm{d}s}{(t-s)^{\alpha}},$$

if $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1})$, is called a *classical solution of* BVP (P^f) if u satisfies (P^f) and the limits $D_{T^-}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_p(^cD_{0^+}^{\alpha}u)(t_j^+)$ and $D_{T^-}^{\alpha-1}\Phi_p(^cD_{0^+}^{\alpha}u)(t_j^-)$ exist.

Definition 2.11. By a *weak solution of* the BVP (\mathbf{P}^{f}), we mean a function $u \in E_{0}^{\alpha,p}$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{T} |{}^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)|^{p-2} ({}^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)) ({}^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}v(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} |u(t)|^{p-2}u(t)v(t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{j}(u(t_{j}))v(t_{j}) - \int_{0}^{T} f(t,u(t))v(t) \,\mathrm{d}t = 0$$

for every $v \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$.

The following lemma establishes the relationship between a classical solution and a weak solution of problem (\mathbf{P}^{f}) .

Lemma 2.12 ([40], Proposition 2.6). If $u \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$ is a weak solution of BVP (\mathbb{P}^f), then u is a classical solution of BVP (\mathbb{P}^f).

3. Main results

In this section, we formulate our main results on the existence of at least one weak solution to problem (\mathbf{P}^f) and then invoke Lemma 2.12 to conclude that we have the existence of a classical solution. Let

$$F(t,\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} f(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \forall (t,\xi) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We will assume throughout that

(H) $1 > Lk^p$, where $L = \sum_{j=1}^m L_j$ and k is given in Lemma 2.7.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that

(S)
$$\sup_{\theta>0} \frac{\theta^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x|\leqslant\theta} F(t,x) \,\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{pk^p}{1 - Lk^p}.$$

Then, problem (\mathbf{P}^f) admits at least one classical solution in $E_0^{\alpha,p}$.

Proof. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.1 to problem (\mathbf{P}^f) , so let $X = E_0^{\alpha, p}$. We introduce the functionals Φ and Ψ for $u \in X$ given by

(3.1)
$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

and

(3.2)
$$\Psi(u) = \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

and we set

$$I(u) = \Phi(u) - \Psi(u).$$

First we wish to prove that the functionals Φ and Ψ satisfy the conditions required in Theorem 2.1. Since X is compactly embedded in $C^0([0,T]), \mathbb{R})$, it is well known that Ψ is a Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative at the point $u \in X$ is the functional $\Psi'(u) \in X^*$ given by

$$\Psi'(u)(v) = \int_0^T f(t, u(t))v(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{for every } v \in X,$$

and that Ψ is sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous. Moreover, Φ is a Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative at the point $u \in X$ is the functional $\Phi'(u) \in X^*$ given by

$$\Phi'(u)(v) = \int_0^T |{}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)|^{p-2} ({}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)) ({}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} v(t)) dt + \int_0^T |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) v(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u(t_j)) v(t_j) \quad \text{for every } v \in X.$$

By its definition, we see that Φ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and strongly continuous. Now since $-L_j|\xi|^{p-1} \leq I_j(\xi) \leq L_j|\xi|^{p-1}$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, j = 1, ..., n,

$$-\frac{L_j}{p}|u(t_j)|^p \leqslant \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{L_j}{p}|u(t_j)|^p$$

In view of (2.3), for every $u \in X$, we have

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p + \frac{1}{p} k^p L \|^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u\|_{L^p}^p$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p + \frac{1}{p} k^p L \|u\|_{\alpha,p}^p \leqslant \frac{1}{p} (1 + Lk^p) \|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p.$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi(u) \ge \frac{1}{p}(1 - Lk^p) ||u||_{E_0^{\alpha, p}}^p,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

(3.3)
$$\frac{1}{p}(1-Lk^p)\|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p \leqslant \Phi(u) \leqslant \frac{1}{p}(1+Lk^p)\|u\|_{E_0^{\alpha,p}}^p$$

From condition (H) and the first inequality in (3.3), it follows that $\lim_{\|u\|\to\infty} \Phi(u) = \infty$, that is, Φ is coercive.

From condition (S), there exists $\bar{\theta} > 0$ such that

(3.4)
$$\frac{\bar{\theta}^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \leq \bar{\theta}} F(t, x) \,\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{pk^p}{1 - Lk^p}$$

Take

$$r = \frac{1 - Lk^p}{pk^p} \bar{\theta}^p.$$

In view of (2.3), (3.1), and (3.3), for every r > 0, we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r) &= \left\{ u \in X \colon \Phi(u) < r \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ u \in X \colon \|u\|_{\alpha,p}^p \leqslant \frac{pr}{1 - Lk^p} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ u \in X \colon \frac{1}{k^p} \|u\|_{\infty}^p \leqslant \frac{pr}{1 - Lk^p} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u \in X \colon \|u\|_{\infty}^p \leqslant \bar{\theta}^p \right\}, \end{split}$$

from which it follows that

$$\sup_{\Phi(u) < r} \Psi(u) \leqslant \int_0^T \max_{|x| \leqslant \bar{\theta}} F(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

By considering the above computations, since $0 \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$ and $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$, we see that

$$\varphi(r) = \inf_{\substack{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r)}} \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r)} \Psi(u) - \Psi(u)}{r - \Phi(u)}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty,r)} \Psi(u)}{r} \leqslant \frac{pk^p}{1 - Lk^p} \frac{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \leqslant \bar{\theta}} F(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}t}{\bar{\theta}^p}$$

Thus,

(3.5)
$$\varphi(r) \leqslant \frac{pk^p}{1 - LTk^p} \frac{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \leqslant \bar{\theta}} F(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}t}{\bar{\theta}^p}.$$

Consequently, in view of (3.4) and (3.5), $\varphi(r) < 1$. Hence, since $1 \in (0, 1/\varphi(r))$, Theorem 2.1 ensures that the functional I admits at least one critical point (local minimum) $\tilde{u} \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$. Then, taking into account the fact that the weak solutions of problem (P^f) are exactly the critical points of the functional I, and applying Lemma 2.12, we have the desired conclusion. We note that Theorem 3.1 can be used to ensure the existence of at least one weak solution to the parametric version of the problem

$$(\mathbf{P}_{\lambda}^{f}) \qquad \begin{cases} D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha} \Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)) + |u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), & t \neq t_{j}, \ t \in (0, T), \\ \Delta(D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1} \Phi_{p}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where λ is a positive parameter. More precisely, we have the following existence result.

Theorem 3.2. For every λ small enough, i.e.,

$$\lambda \in \Big(0, \frac{1 - Lk^p}{pk^p} \sup_{\theta > 0} \frac{\theta^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \leqslant \theta} F(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}t}\Big),$$

problem (\mathbf{P}^f_{λ}) admits at least one classical solution $u_{\lambda} \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$.

Proof. Fix λ as in the conclusion of the theorem. Then condition (S) in Theorem 3.1 is satisfied with F replaced by λF . The conclusion then follows from Theorem 3.1.

4. DISCUSSION OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we discuss some implications of the above theorems. In Theorem 3.2 we looked for the critical points of the functional I_{λ} naturally associated with problem $(\mathbf{P}_{\lambda}^{f})$. We note that, in general, I_{λ} can be unbounded. For example, in the case where $f(\xi) = 1 + |\xi|^{\gamma-p}\xi^{p-1}$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma > p$, for any fixed $u \in E_{0}^{\alpha,p} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\iota \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that

$$I_{\lambda}(\iota u) = \Phi(\iota u) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, \iota u(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \leqslant \iota^{p} \frac{1 + Lk^{p}}{p} - \lambda \iota kT \|u\|_{\alpha, p} - \lambda \frac{\iota^{\gamma}}{\gamma} k^{\gamma}T \|u\|_{\alpha, p}^{\gamma} \to -\infty$$

as $\iota \to \infty$. Therefore, the condition (I_2) in [34], Theorem 2.2 is not satisfied. Hence, we can not use direct minimization to find critical points of the functional I_{λ} .

We wish to point out that the energy functional I_{λ} associated with problem $(\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}^{f})$ is not coercive. In fact, if $F(\xi) = |\xi|^{s}$ with $s \in (p, \infty)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any fixed $u \in E_{0}^{\alpha,p} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\iota \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$I_{\lambda}(\iota u) = \Phi(\iota u) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} F(t, \iota u(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \iota^{p} \frac{1 + Lk^{p}}{p} - \lambda \iota^{s} k^{s} T ||u||_{\alpha, p}^{s} \to -\infty$$

as $\iota \to -\infty$.

Remark 4.1. If in Theorem 3.1, the function $f(t,x) \ge 0$ for a.e. $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$, then condition (S) takes the simpler form

(S_{$$\lambda$$})
$$\sup_{\theta>0} \frac{\theta^p}{\int_0^T F(t,\theta) \,\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{pk^p}{1-Lk^p}.$$

Moreover, if

$$\limsup_{\theta \to \infty} \frac{\theta^p}{\int_0^T F(t,\theta) \,\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{pk^p}{1 - Lk^p}$$

then condition (S_{λ}) automatically holds.

Remark 4.2. If for fixed $\bar{\theta} > 0$,

$$\frac{\bar{\theta}^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \leqslant \bar{\theta}} F(t, x) \,\mathrm{d}t} > \frac{pk^p}{1 - Lk^p}$$

then the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds with $||u_{\lambda}||_{\infty} \leq \bar{\theta}$ being the guaranteed classical solution in $E_0^{\alpha,p}$.

If in Theorem 3.2, we have $f(t,0) \neq 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, then the solution obtained is clearly nontrivial. On the other hand, the nontriviality of the solution can be achieved even in the case f(t,0) = 0 for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$ by requiring an additional condition at zero, namely, that there are a nonempty open set $D \subseteq (0,T)$ and a set $B \subset D$ of positive Lebesgue measure such that

$$\limsup_{\xi \to 0^+} \frac{\operatorname{ess \, inf}_{t \in B} F(t,\xi)}{|\xi|^p} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{\xi \to 0^+} \frac{\operatorname{ess \, inf}_{t \in D} F(t,\xi)}{|\xi|^p} > -\infty.$$

To see this, let $0 < \overline{\lambda} < \lambda^*$ where

$$\lambda^* = \frac{1 - Lk^p}{pk^p} \sup_{\theta > 0} \frac{\theta^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x| \le \theta} F(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}t}$$

Then, there exists $\bar{\theta} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{pk^p}{1-Lk^p}\bar{\lambda} < \frac{\bar{\theta}^p}{\int_0^T \max_{|x|\leqslant \bar{\theta}} F(t,x)\,\mathrm{d}t}$$

By Theorem 2.1, for every $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ there exists a critical point of I_{λ} such that $u_{\lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_{\lambda})$ where

$$r_{\lambda} = \frac{1 - Lk^p}{pk^p} \bar{\theta}^p.$$

In particular, u_{λ} is a global minimum of the restriction of I_{λ} to $\Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r_{\lambda})$. To show that the function u_{λ} cannot be trivial, we will show that

(4.1)
$$\limsup_{\|u\|\to 0^+} \frac{\Psi(u)}{\Phi(u)} = \infty.$$

Due to our assumptions at zero, we can fix a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ converging to zero and two constants ζ and κ with $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{ess \, inf}_{t \in B} F(t, \xi_n)}{|\xi_n|^p} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ess \, inf}_{t \in D} F(t, \xi) \ge \kappa |\xi|^p$$

for $\xi \in [0, \zeta]$. Now, fix a set $C \subset B$ of positive measure and a function $v \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$ such that:

- (i) $v(t) \in [0, 1]$ for every $t \in [0, T]$;
- (ii) v(t) = 1 for every $t \in C$;
- (iii) v(t) = 0 for every $t \in (0, T) \setminus D$.

Fix Y > 0 and consider a positive number η with

$$Y < \frac{\eta \operatorname{meas}(C) + \kappa \int_{D \setminus C} |v(t)|^p \operatorname{d} t}{(1 + Lk^p)p^{-1} \|v\|_{\alpha, p}^p}.$$

Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_n < \zeta$ and

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t\in B} F(t,\xi_n) \ge \eta |\xi_n|^p \quad \text{for } n > n_0.$$

Now, using the fact that $0 \leq \xi_n v(t) < \zeta$ for *n* large enough, by (3.3), we have

$$\frac{\Psi(\xi_n v)}{\Phi(\xi_n v)} = \frac{\int_C F(t,\xi_n) \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{D \setminus C} F(t,\xi_n v(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t}{\Phi(\xi_n v)} > \frac{\eta \operatorname{meas}(C) + \kappa \int_{D \setminus C} |v(t)|^p \,\mathrm{d}t}{(1 + Lk^p)p^{-1} \|v\|_{\alpha,p}^p} > Y.$$

Since Y can be taken arbitrarily large,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(\xi_n v)}{\Phi(\xi_n v)} = \infty,$$

from which (4.1) clearly follows. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset E_0^{\alpha,p}$ strongly converging to zero with $w_n \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$ and

$$I_{\lambda}(w_n) = \Phi(w_n) - \lambda \Psi(w_n) < 0.$$

Since u_{λ} is a global minimum of the restriction of I_{λ} to $\Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$, we conclude that

$$(4.2) I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) < 0$$

and so u_{λ} is nontrivial. From (4.2) we can easily observe that the map

$$(4.3) (0,\lambda^*) \ni \lambda \mapsto I_\lambda(u_\lambda)$$

is negative. We claim that

(4.4)
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|u_\lambda\|_{\alpha,p} = 0.$$

To see this, consider the fact that Φ is coercive and for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, the solution $u_{\lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$, and there exists a positive constant \mathcal{L} such that $||u_{\lambda}||_{\infty} \leq \mathcal{L}$ for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. It is then easy to see that there exists a positive constant \mathcal{M} such that

(4.5)
$$\left| \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u_{\lambda}(t)) u_{\lambda}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq \mathcal{M} \| u_{\lambda} \|_{\alpha, p} \leq \mathcal{ML}$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Since u_{λ} is a critical point of I_{λ} , we have $I'_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})(v) = 0$ for any $v \in X$ and every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. In particular $I'_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})(u_{\lambda}) = 0$; that is,

(4.6)
$$\Phi'(u_{\lambda})(u_{\lambda}) = \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u_{\lambda}(t)) u_{\lambda}(t) dt$$

for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Then, since

$$0 \leqslant (1 - Lk^p) \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\alpha, p}^p \leqslant \Phi'(u_{\lambda})(u_{\lambda}),$$

from (4.6) we see that

$$0 \leqslant (1 - Lk^p) \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\alpha, p}^p \leqslant \Phi'(u_{\lambda})(u_{\lambda}) \leqslant \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u_{\lambda}(t)) u_{\lambda}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Letting $\lambda \to 0^+$, (4.5) implies that (4.4) holds. Hence,

(4.7)
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|u_\lambda\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Finally, we wish to show that the map

$$\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$$

is strictly decreasing in $(0, \lambda^*)$. To do this, first note that for any $u \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$,

(4.8)
$$I_{\lambda}(u) = \lambda \Big(\frac{\Phi(u)}{\lambda} - \Psi(u)\Big).$$

Now, fix $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda^*$ and let u_{λ_1} and u_{λ_2} be the global minima of the functional I_{λ_i} restricted to $\Phi(-\infty, r)$ for i = 1, 2. Let

$$m_{\lambda_i} = \left(\frac{\Phi(u_{\lambda_i})}{\lambda_i} - \Psi(u_{\lambda_i})\right) = \inf_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} \left(\frac{\Phi(v)}{\lambda_i} - \Psi(v)\right) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Clearly, (4.3) together with (4.8) and the fact that $\lambda > 0$ implies

(4.9)
$$m_{\lambda_i} < 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.10) m_{\lambda_2} \leqslant m_{\lambda_1},$$

since $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Then, by considering (4.8)–(4.10), we see that

$$I_{\lambda_2}(u_{\lambda_2}) = \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_2} \leqslant \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_1} < \lambda_1 m_{\lambda_1} = I_{\lambda_1}(u_{\lambda_1}),$$

so the map $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$ is strictly decreasing in $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$. Since $\lambda < \lambda^*$ is arbitrary, $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \lambda^*)$. Theorem 3.2 above is a bifurcation result in the sense that the pair (0, 0) belongs to the closure of the set

 $\{(u_{\lambda},\lambda)\in E_{0}^{\alpha,p}\times(0,\infty)\colon\, u_{\lambda}\text{ is a nontrivial classical solution of }(\mathbf{P}_{\lambda}^{f})\}$

in $E_0^{\alpha,p} \times \mathbb{R}$. In order to see this, recall from above that

$$||u_{\lambda}||_{\alpha,p} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0$$

Hence, there exist two sequences $\{u_j^i\}$, i = 1, 2, in $E_0^{\alpha, p}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\lambda_i \to 0^+$$
 and $||u_i^i||_{\alpha,p} \to 0$

as $j \to \infty$ for i = 1, 2. Moreover, due to the fact that, as shown above, the map $(0, \lambda^*) \ni \lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$ is strictly decreasing, for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda^*)$ with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, the solutions u_{λ_1} and u_{λ_2} are different.

If f is non-negative, then the solution obtained in Theorem 3.2 is non-negative. To see this, let u_0 be a nontrivial classical solution of problem (\mathbf{P}^f_{λ}) . Assume, for the sake of a contradiction, that the set $\mathcal{A} = \{t \in (0, T] : u_0(t) < 0\}$ is nonempty and of positive measure. Set $\overline{v}(t) = \min\{0, u_0(t)\}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Clearly, $\overline{v} \in E_0^{\alpha, p}$ and

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T |{}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u_0(t)|^{p-2} ({}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u_0(t)) ({}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} \overline{v}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T |u_0(t)|^{p-2} u_0(t) \overline{v}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m I_j (u_0(t_j)) \overline{v}(t_j) - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u_0(t)) \overline{v}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq (1 - Lk^p) \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}^p \leq \int_0^T |{}^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u_0(t)|^p \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T |u_0(t)|^p \, \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^m I_j(u_0(t_j))(u_0(t_j)) \\ = \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u_0(t)) u_0(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq 0.$$

Hence, since $Lk^p < 1$, $u_0 = 0$ in \mathcal{A} , and this is a contradiction.

The final theorem in this paper is concerned with a particular case of our results, namely, where f(t, u) is independent of t.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function, $F(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} f(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume that

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0^+} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p} = \infty.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \Lambda = \Big(0, \frac{1 - Lk^p}{pk^p} \sup_{\theta > 0} \frac{\theta^p}{F(\theta)}\Big),$$

the problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{T^-}^{\alpha} \Phi_p(^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u(t)) + |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) = \lambda f(u(t)), & t \neq t_j, \ t \in (0,T), \\ \Delta(D_{T^-}^{\alpha-1} \Phi_p(^c D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u))(t_j) = I_j(u(t_j)), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits at least one nontrivial classical solution $u_{\lambda} \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|u_\lambda\|_{\alpha,p} = 0.$$

In addition, the function

$$\lambda \to \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{\alpha,p}^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) \,\mathrm{d}s - \lambda \int_0^T F(u(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

is negative and strictly decreasing in Λ .

As a special case of Theorem 4.3 with p = 2, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function, set $F(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} f(s) \, ds$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume that

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0^+} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^2} = \infty$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \Lambda = \left(0, \frac{1 - L\overline{k}^2}{p\overline{k}^2} \sup_{\theta > 0} \frac{\theta^2}{F(\theta)}\right), \quad \text{where} \ \ \overline{k} = \frac{T^{\alpha - 1/2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{1/2}},$$

the problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)) + u(t) = \lambda f(u(t)), & t \neq t_{j}, \ t \in (0,T), \\ \Delta(D_{T^{-}}^{\alpha-1}(^{c}D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u))(t_{j}) = I_{j}(u(t_{j})), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits at least one nontrivial classical solution $u_{\lambda} \in E_0^{\alpha,2}$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|u_\lambda\|_{\alpha,2} = 0$$

and the real function

$$\lambda \to \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_j)} I_j(s) \,\mathrm{d}s - \lambda \int_0^T F(u(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

is negative and strictly decreasing in Λ .

We conclude this paper with an example to illustrate our results.

E x a m p l e 4.5. Consider the problem

(4.11)
$$\begin{cases} D_{T^{-}}^{2/3} \Phi_{5/3}(^{c} D_{0^{+}}^{2/3} u(t)) + |u(t)|u(t) = \lambda f(u(t)), & t \neq \frac{1}{2}, \ t \in (0, 1), \\ \Delta(D_{T^{-}}^{-1/3} \Phi_{5/3}(^{c} D_{0^{+}}^{2/3} u))(t_{1}) = \frac{\Gamma^{5/3}(\frac{2}{3})}{2\sqrt[3]{36}} |u(\frac{1}{2})|^{2/3}, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $f(\xi) = 3\xi^2$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$, $p = \frac{5}{3}$, T = 1, $F(\xi) = \xi^3$, and a direct computation shows

$$k = \frac{\sqrt[5]{36}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}.$$

All conditions of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied and $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$, so for each $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, problem (4.11) admits at least one nontrivial classical solution $u_{\lambda} \in E_0^{2/3,5/3}$ such that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} ||u_{\lambda}||_{2/3,5/3} = 0$, and the function

$$\lambda \to \frac{3}{5} \|u\|_{2/3,5/3}^{5/3} - \frac{\Gamma^{5/3}(\frac{2}{3})}{2\sqrt[3]{36}} \int_0^{u(1/2)} |s|^{2/3} \,\mathrm{d}s - \lambda \int_0^1 F(u(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

is negative and strictly decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.

References

[1]	G. A. Afrouzi, A. Hadjian, G. Molica Bisci: Some remarks for one-dimensional mean curvature problems through a local minimization principle. Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 2 (2013), 427–441.	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[2]	<i>R. P. Agarwal, D. Franco, D. O'Regan</i> : Singular boundary value problems for first and	ZDI WIN doi
[3]	R. P. Agarwal, S. Hristova, D. O'Regan: Non-Instantaneous Impulses in Differential	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[4]	<i>H. Baek</i> : Extinction and permanence of a three-species Lotka-Volterra system with impulsive control strategies. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2008 (2008), Article ID 752403,	
[5]	C. Bai: Impulsive periodic boundary value problems for fractional differential equation involving Riemann-Liouville sequential fractional derivative. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384	zbl MR doi
[6]	(2011), 211–231. C. Bai: Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem of nonlinear impulsive frac- tional differential equation at resonance. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2011	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[7]	S. P. Bhairat, DB. Dhaigude: Existence of solutions of generalized fractional differential	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[8]	G. Bonanno, P. Candito: Three solutions to a Neumann problem for elliptic equations	zbl MR doi
[9]	G. Bonanno, G. D'Agui: Multiplicity results for a perturbed elliptic Neumann problem.	zbl MR doi
[10]	Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2010 (2010), Article ID 564363, 10 pages. G. Bonanno, G. Molica Bisci: Infinitely many solutions for a boundary value problem with discontinuous nonlinearities. Bound. Value Probl. 2009 (2009), Article ID 670675,	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[11]		zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[12]	(2014), 717–744. L. Chen, J. Sun: Nonlinear boundary value problem of first order impulsive functional	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[13]	J. Chen, X. H. Tang: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for some fractional bound-	zbl MR doi
r	,	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[14]	<i>J. Chu, J. J. Nieto</i> : Impulsive periodic solutions of first-order singular differential equations. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 40 (2008), 143–150.	zbl MR doi
[15]	<i>K. Diethelm</i> : The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equation: An Application-Oriented Exposition Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2004. Springer, Berlin, 2010.	zbl MR doi
[16]	F. Faraci: Multiple solutions for two nonlinear problems involving the p-Laplacian. Non-	
[17]	M. Galewski, G. Molica Bisci: Existence results for one-dimensional fractional equations.	zbl doi
[18]	D. Gao, J. Li: Infinitely many solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations	zbl MR doi
[19]	through variational methods. Quaest. Math. 43 (2020), 1285–1301. Z. Gao, L. Yang, G. Liu: Existence and uniqueness of solutions to impulsive fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal conditions. Appl. Math., Irvine 4 (2013),	zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi
[20]	J. R. Graef, L. Kong, Q. Kong: Multiple solutions of systems of fractional boundary value	doi zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi

[21]	L. Guo, X. Zhang: Existence of positive solutions for the singular fractional differential	
[22]	equations. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 44 (2014), 215–228. S. Heidarkhani: Multiple solutions for a nonlinear perturbed fractional boundary value problem. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 23 (2014), 317–331.	zbl <u>MR</u> doi zbl <u>MR</u>
[23]	S. Heidarkhani, G. A. Afrouzi, M. Ferrara, G. Caristi, S. Moradi: Existence results for impulsive damped vibration systems. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 41 (2018), 1409–1428.	zbl MR doi
[24]	S. Heidarkhani, G. A. Afrouzi, S. Moradi, G. Caristi, B. Ge: Existence of one weak solution for $p(x)$ -biharmonic equations with Navier boundary conditions. Z. Angew. Math.	
[25]	Phys. 67 (2016), Article ID 73, 13 pages. S. Heidarkhani, Y. Zhao, G. Caristi, G. A. Afrouzi, S. Moradi: Infinitely many solu- tions for perturbed impulsive fractional differential systems. Appl. Anal. 96 (2017),	zbl MR doi
[26]	1401–1424. <i>R. Hilfer</i> (ed.): Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics. World Scientific, Singa-	zbl MR doi
[27]	pore, 2000. <i>F. Jiao, Y. Zhou</i> : Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems	zbl MR doi
[28]	via critical point theory. Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011), 1181–1199. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo: Theory and Applications of Fractional Dif- ferential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.	zbl MR doi zbl MR doi
[29]	L. Kong: Existence of solutions to boundary value problems arising from the fractional advection dispersion equation. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2013 (2013), Article ID 106,	
[30]	15 pages. W. Li, H. Zhen: The applications of sums of ranges of accretive operators to nonlinear equations involving the p-Laplacian operator. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. 24 (1995), 185–193.	zbl MR zbl MR doi
[31]	J. J. Nieto, D. O'Regan: Variational approach to impulsive differential equations. Non- linear Anal., Real World Appl. 10 (2009), 680–690.	zbl MR doi
[32]	J. J. Nieto, J. M. Uzal: Nonlinear second-order impulsive differential problems with de- pendence on the derivative via variational structure. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 22 (2020), Article ID 19, 13 pages.	
[33]	K. B. Oldham, J. Spanier: The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications of Differ- entiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order. Mathematics in Science and Engineering	
[34]	111. Academic Press, New York, 1974. <i>P. H. Rabinowitz</i> : Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 65. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1986.	zbl MR doi zbl MR doi
[35]	<i>B. Ricceri</i> : A general variational principle and some of its applications. J. Comput. Appl. Math. <i>113</i> (2000), 401–410.	zbl MR doi
[36]	Y. Tian, W. Ge: Applications of variational methods to boundary-value problem for impulsive differential equations. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 51 (2008), 509–527.	zbl MR doi
[37]	<i>G. Wang, B. Ahmad, L. Zhang, J. J. Nieto</i> : Comments on the concept of existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 19 (2014), 401–403.	zbl MR doi
[38]	Y. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Cui: Infinitely many solutions for impulsive fractional boundary value problem with p-Laplacian. Bound. Value Probl. 2018 (2018), Article ID 94,	
[39]	16 pages. L. Wei, R. P. Agarwal: Existence of solutions to nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems with generalized <i>p</i> -Laplacian operator. Comput. Math. Appl. 56 (2008), 530–541.	MR doi zbl <mark>MR</mark> doi

- [40] Y. Zhao, L. Tang: Multiplicity results for impulsive fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian via variational methods. Bound. Value Probl. 2017 (2017), Article ID 123, 15 pages.
- [41] Y. Zhou: Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations. World Scientific, Hackensack, 2014. zbl MR doi

zbl MR doi

Authors' addresses: John R. Graef, Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN 37403, USA, e-mail: John-Graef@utc.edu; Shapour Heidarkhani (corresponding author), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Razi University, 67149 Kermanshah, Iran, e-mail: sh.heidarkhani@yahoo.com; Lingju Kong, Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN 37403, USA, e-mail: Lingju-Kong@utc.edu; Shahin Moradi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Razi University, 67149 Kermanshah, Iran, e-mail: shahin.moradi86@yahoo.com.



