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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence results for some parabolic equations with degenerate coercivity, singular lower order term depending on the gradient, and positive initial data in $L^{1}$.
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## 1. Introduction

We study the existence and regularity results for the following parabolic problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u+L u+B \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}}=u^{r} & \text { in } Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \Omega  \tag{P}\\ u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \geqslant 0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{T}=(0, T) \times \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $T>0, B>0$ are real numbers, $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N>2)$ with boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega, p$ is a real number such that $p \geqslant 2$ and $L$ is the operator given by

$$
L u=-\operatorname{div}\left(A(t, x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)
$$
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Here, we suppose that $A:(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and for almost every $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \Omega$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta}{(a(t, x)+|s|)^{\varrho}} \leqslant A(t, x, s) \leqslant \alpha, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are strictly positive real numbers and $\varrho \geqslant 0, a:(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable nonnegative function verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t, x) \leqslant \delta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a strictly positive real number. We furthermore suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\theta<1, \quad 0<r<p-\theta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (1.1) holds true, the differential operator $L$ is not coercive when $u$ is large. Moreover, the lower order term is singular as $u$ tends to zero. We overcome these two difficulties by approximation of (P) by a sequence of nondegenerate and nonsingular problems (in the case $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ), and passing to the limit in the approximate problems we prove that ( P ) admits at least one solution $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In the case $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ we approach $u_{0}$ by $u_{0 n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and we use the results of the first case to achieve the passage to the limit in the approximate problems by proving the existence of the solution $u \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)\right), q=p-\theta N /(N+1)$.

For the case $p=2, \varrho=0$ and for positive initial data, the existence of solutions to problem (P) is proved in [3] under the operator $v \rightarrow-\operatorname{div}(M(t, x) \nabla v)$, where $M: Q_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N^{2}}$ is a measurable bounded and uniformly elliptic matrix.

If the nonlinear right-hand term is not present, i.e., in the evolutive case, problems as

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u-\Delta_{p} u+B \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}}=f & \text { in } Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \Omega  \tag{P1}\\ u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{T}=(0, T) \times \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

under various assumptions on the summability of the source $f$, have been considered in the case $p=2$ and $\theta<1$ in [8]. If $\theta=1$, the existence solution has been considered in [12] and [13] for smooth strictly positive data, while degenerate problems were studied in [15] in the one dimensional case and $p>2$.

Let us also mention that in [4] the authors proved the existence and nonexistence of solutions for a general class of singular homogeneous (i.e., $f \equiv 0$ ) parabolic problems as ( P 1 ) with $p \geqslant 2$.

In [11], the author showed the existence of positive solutions of elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity and singular quadratic lower-order terms

$$
-\operatorname{div}(M(x, u) \nabla u)+b(x) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{\theta}}=u^{r}+f, \quad f \in L^{1}(\Omega)
$$

The aim of this paper is to extend the results in [3] to the case of degenerate parabolic equations with $p \geqslant 2$ and establish the existence of weak solutions of problem (P) for nonnegative initial data $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the weak solution and prove the existence of weak solutions $u$ for the first case $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Section 3 is devoted to the study of (P) with an initial datum $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. We give a better regularity result compared to [9] because if $\theta \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
1-\frac{\theta N}{N+1}>1-\frac{N}{N+1},
$$

so Theorem 3.1 improves (see Theorem 1, [9]).

## 2. Bounded initial data $\left(u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$

In this section, we prove that there exists a weak solution of problem (P) for $u_{0}$ bounded. For this, we use the result in [1] and then an $L^{\infty}$-estimate procedure introduced by [5]. Given a real positive number $k$, we define the functions

$$
T_{k}(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k & \text { if } r \geqslant k, \\
r & \text { if }|r|<k, \\
-k & \text { if } r \leqslant-k,
\end{array} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} .\right.
$$

Its primitive $\Theta_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is defined by

$$
\Theta_{k}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} T_{k}(t) \mathrm{d} t= \begin{cases}\frac{r^{2}}{2} & \text { if }|r| \leqslant k \\ k|r|-\frac{k^{2}}{2} & \text { if }|r|>k\end{cases}
$$

We then use the following results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} v, T_{k}(v)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t=\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}(v(T)) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}(v(0)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k|r|-\frac{k^{2}}{2} \leqslant \Theta_{k}(r) \leqslant k|r| \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1. A function $u$ is a weak solution of problem (P) if $u \in$ $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $\partial_{t} u \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)+L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), u>0$, $|\nabla u|^{p} / u^{\theta}$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u(T) \varphi(T) \mathrm{d} x & -\int_{\Omega} u(0) \varphi(0) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{Q_{T}} u \partial_{t} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} A(t, x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} u^{r} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varphi \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $\partial_{t} \varphi \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)+$ $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Remark 2.2. Notice that because of the fact that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ (see [7]), the functions $\varphi(T)$ and $\varphi(0)$ in the above definition have sense and the meaning of the initial condition $u(0)=u_{0}$ is clear.

Theorem 2.3. Let $p \geqslant 2, u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, suppose that (1.3) holds true. Then problem (P) has at least one weak solution $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3. We approximate problem (P) by following nonsingular problem:
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}^{*}\right) \quad \begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{n}-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(t, x, T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right) & \\ \quad+B \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}=T_{n}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r}\right) & \text { in } Q_{T}, \\ u_{n}(0, x)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{T} .\end{cases}$
Note that by (1.1) we have

$$
A\left(t, x, T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant \frac{\beta}{\left(a(t, x)+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\varrho}} \geqslant \frac{\beta}{(\delta+n)^{\varrho}},
$$

so the operator $B: v \mapsto \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(t, x, T_{n}(v)\right)|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)$ is coercive. Thus, the existence of the approximate solution is proved as in [7]. We begin by proving that $u_{n} \geqslant 0$, using $u_{n}^{-}=\min \left(0, u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}^{*}\right)$ and by (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t & +\beta \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{p}}{\left(a(t, x)+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\varrho}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.3}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} u_{n}^{-} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} u_{n}^{-} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{0} \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}(T, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{n}^{-}(0, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geqslant 0 .
$$

The lower-order term has the same sign of the solution and dropping nonnegative terms, we get

$$
\beta \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{p}}{\left(a(t, x)+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\varrho}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} u_{n}^{-} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant 0 .
$$

Thus, $u_{n}^{-}=0$ and so $u_{n} \geqslant 0$. Therefore, $u_{n}$ solves
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right) \quad \begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{n}-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(t, x, T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right) & \\ \quad+B \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}=T_{n}\left(u_{n}^{r}\right) & \text { in } Q_{T}, \\ u_{n}(0, x)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{T} .\end{cases}$
Lemma 2.4. Let $p \geqslant 2$ and $u_{n}$ be the solutions to problems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$. Then we have for all $k>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \frac{k(\delta+k)^{\varrho}}{\beta}\left(\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choosing $T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ and the fact that $T_{n}\left(u_{n}^{r}\right) \leqslant u_{n}^{r}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)(T) \mathrm{d} x & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.5}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\leqslant & \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)(0) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is positive since we have $\Theta_{k} \geqslant 0$, so after dropping nonnegative terms and using (2.2), we obtain
$\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+k\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}$.
According to conditions (1.1) and for $n>k>0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta}{(\delta+k)^{\varrho}} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant k \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+k\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (2.4) is established.

Lemma 2.5. Let $u_{n}$ be the solutions to problems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choosing $T_{h}\left(u_{n}\right) / h$ as a test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, dropping the nonnegative terms, we obtain

$$
B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \frac{T_{h}\left(u_{n}\right)}{h} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left|\frac{T_{h}\left(u_{n}\right)}{h}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega}\left|\Theta_{h}\left(u_{n}\right)(0)\right| \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Using the fact that $\left|T_{h}\left(u_{n}\right) / h\right| \leqslant 1$ and (2.2), we have

$$
B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \frac{T_{h}\left(u_{n}\right)}{h} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} .
$$

Letting $h$ tend to 0 and by Fatou's Lemma, we deduce (2.8).
We shall denote by $C$ or $C_{j}$ various constants depending only on the structure of $A, p, \theta, r, T, u_{0},|\Omega|$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $u_{n}$ be the solutions to problems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leqslant C, \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)} \leqslant C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Proof. Choosing $\varphi=\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\nu}-\delta^{\nu}$ as a test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, where $\nu>0$, using (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n},\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\nu}-\delta^{\nu}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t & +\beta \nu \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\nu-1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\leqslant & B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \delta^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\nu}-\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \delta^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

dropping the nonpositive term on the right-hand side and putting $\nu=1$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t+\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\frac{\beta}{\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho-\theta+1}}+\frac{B u_{n}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\theta}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\leqslant B \delta \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\varrho-\theta+1>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{\beta}{(\delta+t)^{\varrho-\theta+1}}+\frac{B t(t+\delta)^{\theta}}{(t+1 / n)^{\theta+1}} \geqslant C_{0}>0 \quad \forall t \geqslant 0
$$

So, after dropping nonnegative terms, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{1-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant & B \delta \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.8) and the fact that $u_{n}^{r} \leqslant\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r}, u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{1-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t & \leqslant B \delta \int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r+1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C \\
& \leqslant C \int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r+1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{(p+1-\theta) / p}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C \int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r+1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C .
$$

Using Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{p+1-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C \int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{r+1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C
$$

since $r+1<p+1-\theta$, Young inequality yields

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{p+1-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{p+1-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C
$$

which implies that $\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{p+1-\theta}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, so $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{p+1-\theta}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Now, we prove that the sequence $\left(u_{n}^{r}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{m}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for some $m>\frac{1}{2} N+1$. We choose $u_{n}^{\eta}$ as a test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, where $\eta>1$, we find $\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\beta \eta}{\left(u_{n}+\delta\right)^{\varrho}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} u_{n}^{\eta-1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}^{\eta+1}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r+\eta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C$.
With the same previous calculations, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} u_{n}^{\eta-\theta}\left(\frac{\beta \eta}{u_{n}^{1-\theta}\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho}}\right. & \left.+\frac{B u_{n}^{1+\theta}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r+\eta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{\eta+1} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{\eta+1} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1-\theta>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\frac{\beta \eta}{t^{1-\theta}(\delta+t)^{\varrho}}+\frac{B t^{1+\theta}}{(t+1 / n)^{\theta+1}} \geqslant C_{1}>0 \quad \forall t>0
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{p+\eta-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r+\eta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now choose $\eta+r=p+1-\theta$ (observe that $\eta>1$ ), so $p+\eta-\theta=2(p+1-\theta)-(r+1)$. Then by (1.3) and (2.9), we obtain that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2(p+1-\theta)-(r+1)}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Consequently, an iterating procedure gives us that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\mu}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $\mu<\infty$. Indeed, if we consider $\eta_{1}>1$ such that $r+\eta_{1}=2(p+1-\theta)-(r+1),(2.9)$ and the fact that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2(p+1-\theta)-(r+1)}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, then it is bounded in $L^{3(p+1-\theta)-2(r+1)}$. Now consider $\eta_{2}>1$ such that $r+\eta_{2}=3(p+1-\theta)-2(r+1)$ and deduce that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{4(p+1-\theta)-3(r+1)}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Hence, we can obtain that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{(q+1)(p+1-\theta)-q(r+1)}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
(q+1)(p+1-\theta)-q(r+1)=q(p-r-\theta)+p+1-\theta \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } q \rightarrow \infty
$$

we deduce that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\mu}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $\mu<\infty$. Because there is $n^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left(n^{\prime}(p-r-\theta)+p+1-\theta\right) / r>(N / p)+1$,

$$
\left(u_{n}^{r}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{m}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { for some } m>\frac{N}{p}+1
$$

Standard parabolic estimates, performed using only the principal part of the operator (see for example [5]), and taking advantage of the nonnegativity of the lower order gradient term, then imply that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Therefore by (2.7), we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)} \leqslant C .
$$

Taking $n$ large enough, we get $T_{n}\left(u_{n}^{r}\right)=u_{n}^{r}$ and $T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=u_{n}$, so we conclude that $u_{n}$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{n}-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)+B \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}=u_{n}^{r} & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{2.10}\\ u_{n}(0, x)=u_{0}(x) & \text { in } \Omega \\ u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{T}\end{cases}
$$

Now, we are going to prove the strict positivity of the sequence of approximated solutions $u_{n}$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\omega$ be a compactly contained open subset of $\Omega$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_{\omega, T}$ such that $u_{n} \geqslant C_{\omega, T}$ in $(0, T) \times \omega$.

Proof. Following the ideas in [11], we define for $s \geqslant 0$,

$$
H_{n}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(\delta+\tau)^{\varrho}}{(\tau+1 / n)^{\theta}} \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad \Phi_{n}(s)=\mathrm{e}^{-B H_{n}(s) / \beta}
$$

where $0<\theta<1$ and $B>0$. Taking $\Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v$, with $v \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, $v \geqslant 0$, as test function in (2.10) and using (1.1)-(1.2) and that

$$
\Phi_{n}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{-B}{\beta} \frac{(\delta+s)^{\varrho}}{(s+1 / n)^{\theta}} \Phi_{n}(s)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\geqslant & \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{B}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& -B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\geqslant & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

After dropping the nonnegative term, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider the nonincreasing function $\psi$ :

$$
\psi(s)=\int_{s}^{1} \Phi_{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{s}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{-B H_{n}(t) / \beta} \mathrm{d} t
$$

Then, inequality (2.11) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t}\left(\psi\left(u_{n}\right)\right), v\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t-\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \psi\left(u_{n}\right) \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.12}\\
& \quad \geqslant \int_{\left\{0 \leqslant u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\}} \Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{r} v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \geqslant \int_{\left\{0 \leqslant u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\}}\left(\Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)-1\right) u_{n}^{r} v \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

We call

$$
\widetilde{A}(t, x, s)=A\left(t, x, \psi^{-1}(s)\right)\left|\nabla \psi^{-1}(s)\right|^{p-2}
$$

and

$$
H(s)=\left(1-\Phi_{n}\left(\psi^{-1}(s)\right)\right) u_{n}^{r} \chi_{\left\{0 \leqslant u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\}} .
$$

Thus, see [2] for instance, we deduce that $\psi\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sub-solution of

$$
\partial_{t} z-\operatorname{div}(\widetilde{A}(t, x, z) \nabla z)=H(z) \quad \text { in } Q_{T}
$$

Since $H$ is a nonnegative term and $u_{0}>0$ in $\Omega$, we can apply Lemma 3.12 in [6] to the previous equation to obtain the existence of $c_{\omega, T}>0$ such that

$$
\psi\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant c_{\omega, T} \quad \forall(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \omega \text { and } \forall n>1
$$

By the definition of $\psi$, there exists $C_{\omega, T}>0$ (independent of $n$ ) such that

$$
u_{n} \geqslant \psi^{-1}\left(c_{\omega, T}\right)=C_{\omega, T} \quad \text { in }(0, T) \times \omega
$$

### 2.1.1. Passage to the limit.

Lemma 2.8. Let $A$ be a function satisfying (1.1) and let $u_{n} \in L^{p}\left(0, T, W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ be a sequence of weak solutions to (2.10). Then there exists a subsequence of $u_{n}$ (still denoted by $u_{n}$ ) converging to a measurable function $u$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Going back again to (2.10), the sequence $\left(\partial_{t} u_{n}\right)$ remains in a bounded set of the space

$$
L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)+L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}
$$

Therefore, $\left(\partial_{t} u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, s}(\Omega)\right)$, for all $s<N /(N-1)$. So, we can use Corollary 4 of [10] to see that

$$
u_{n} \text { is relatively compact in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)
$$

Summing up, there exists a function $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ and a subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { and a.e. in } Q_{T} . \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \nabla T_{k}(u) \text { strongly in }\left(L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also introduce another time-regularization of truncations, we will use the sequence $\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}$ as approximation of $T_{k}(u)$. For $\nu>0$, we define the regularization in time of the function $T_{k}(u)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}(t, x):=\nu \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{\nu(s-t)} T_{k}(u(s, x)) \mathrm{d} s+\mathrm{e}^{-\nu t} T_{k}\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{k}(u(s, x))$ is the zero extension of $u$ for $s<0$ (see [14]). Applying this regularization to the truncatures $T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)$, we have the following properties:
$\triangleright\left(\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)_{t}=\nu\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)$,
$\triangleright\left(\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)(0, x)=T_{k}\left(u_{0}\right)$,
$\triangleright\left|\left(\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right| \leqslant k$,
$\triangleright\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu} \rightarrow T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)$ strongly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$.
Considering the function $\varphi_{\lambda}(s)$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}(s)=s \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s^{2}}, \quad \lambda>0,
$$

in what follows we use that for every $a, b>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)-b\left|\varphi_{\lambda}(s)\right| \geqslant \frac{a}{2} \quad \text { if } \lambda>\frac{b^{2}}{4 a^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\tau(m, n, \nu)$ any quantity $I$ such that

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} I=0
$$

likewise $\tau(n, \nu)$ denotes a quantity $I$ such that $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I=0$. Let $\phi$ be a function in $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi \geqslant 0$. By the same technique as in [1] we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \geqslant \tau(m, n, \nu) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.19) and taking $\psi_{\lambda}=\varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi$ as a test function in (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(m, n, \nu) & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}  \tag{2.20}\\
& \times \nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \psi_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\leqslant & \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \psi_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& -\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi \varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.15), $\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu} \rightarrow\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$ and we have

$$
\left|u_{n}^{r} \psi_{\lambda}\right| \leqslant\left\|u_{n}^{r}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \varphi_{\lambda}(2 k) \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)
$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \psi_{\lambda}\right)\right)=0
$$

By writing $Q_{T}=\left\{u_{n} \leqslant k\right\} \cup\left\{u_{n}>k\right\}$ and adopting the technique used in [1], we have

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi \varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)=0
$$

Therefore
(2.21)

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \psi_{\lambda}-\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi \varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu}\right)=\tau(m, n, \nu)
$$

We next turn to consider the last term on the left-hand side of (2.20). Choosing $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$ with $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset \omega$, by the nonnegativity of $\varphi_{\lambda}\left(k-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)$, we have that
(2.22) $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \psi_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \geqslant \int_{\left\{C_{\omega, T} \leqslant u_{n} \leqslant k\right\}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \psi_{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t$

$$
\geqslant-C_{k, T}(\omega) \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $C_{k, T}(\omega)$ is a positive constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{n}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \leqslant \max _{u_{n} \in\left[C_{\omega, T}, k\right]} \frac{1}{u_{n}^{\theta}}=C_{k, T}(\omega) \quad \forall n \gg 1 . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the convergence

$$
\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{m}\right)\right)_{\nu} \rightharpoonup \nabla\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu} \text { weakly in }\left(L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

we get, by using (2.20)-(2.21) and (2.22), that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.24}\\
-B C_{k, T}(\omega) \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \tau(\nu, n)
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \\
& \times \nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \chi_{\left\{u_{n} \geqslant k\right\}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=-\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(k-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \chi_{\left\{u_{n} \geqslant k\right\}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
&= \tau(\nu, n),
\end{aligned}
$$

so adding

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
-\int_{Q_{T}} A & A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right|^{p-2} \nabla\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu} \\
& \times \nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\tau(\nu, n)
\end{array}
$$

On both sides of (2.24) and since
(2.25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant & 2^{p-1} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +2^{p-1} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & 2^{p-1} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\psi_{\lambda}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\tau(\nu, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the following well-known inequalities that hold for any two real vectors $\xi, \eta$ and a real $p \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta\right)(\xi-\eta) \geqslant 2^{2-p}|\xi-\eta|^{p} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find, by using also (1.1) and (2.25), for all $n>k>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{2-p} & \frac{\beta}{(\delta+k)^{\varrho}} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right|^{p} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& -2^{p-1} B C_{k, T}(\omega) \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\varphi_{\lambda}\left(T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\nu}\right)\right| \phi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
\leqslant & \tau(\nu, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\lambda$ such that (2.18) holds with $a=2^{2-p} \beta /(\delta+k)^{\varrho}$ and $b=2^{p-1} B C_{k, T}(\omega)$, we obtain (2.16) by setting $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. From this result we also deduce that (up to subsequences)

$$
\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \text { almost everywhere in } Q_{T} .
$$

Lemma 2.9. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \rightarrow \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}} \text { strongly in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of (2.13) and (2.15), we have

$$
\frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \rightarrow \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}} \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} .
$$

Now, we shall obtain local equi-integrability of $u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} /\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}$ on $Q_{T}$. Observe that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n} \geqslant k} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n} \geqslant k} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

We choose $\varphi=T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in problems (2.10), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{u_{n}(T, x)} T_{k}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
= & \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{u_{n}(0, x)} T_{k}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, after dropping the nonnegative terms, we derive
$\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{u_{n}(0, x)}\left|T_{k}(\sigma)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma$.
Taking into account that for any $M>0,0 \leqslant\left|T_{k}(s)\right| \leqslant M+k \mathbf{1}_{s>M}, s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we have

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r}\left|T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant M C\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{r}+k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n}>M} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{u_{n}(0, x)}\left|T_{k}(\sigma)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma \leqslant M\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n}>M} u_{0 n} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n} \geqslant k} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{k}\left(M C+k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n}>M} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+M\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n}>M} u_{0 n} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \frac{M}{k}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\left\{u_{n}>M\right\}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\left\{u_{n}>M\right\}} u_{0 n} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $M=\sqrt{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n} \geqslant k} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow^{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text { uniformly with respect to } n \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$ then, there exists $k_{0}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{u_{n} \geqslant k} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall k \geqslant k_{0} \text { and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if $E \subset \subset \omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{E} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.30}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n} \geqslant k\right\}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n} \leqslant k\right\}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{T} \int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n} \geqslant k\right\}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+C_{k, T}(\omega) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n} \leqslant k\right\}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.14) there exist $n_{\varepsilon}$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ such that for every $E \subset \subset \Omega$ with meas $(E)<\delta_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{E \cap\left\{u_{n} \leqslant k\right\}}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 C_{k, T}(\omega)} \quad \forall n \geqslant n_{\varepsilon} .
$$

By (2.29), (2.30), and taking $n \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}, k \geqslant k_{0}$, we see that meas $(E)<\delta_{\varepsilon}$ implies

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{E} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t<\varepsilon .
$$

We deduce that $u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} /\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}$ is equi-integrable in $Q_{T}$, then by Vitali's theorem convergence, we have (2.27) and $|\nabla u|^{p} / u^{\theta} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Lemma 2.10. The sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, hence $u_{n}$ converges to $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. To do this, fix $t \in[0, T]$. Taking $T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)$ as a test function in (2.10) for $u_{n}$ and $u_{m}$, subtracting up both identities, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(u_{n}(t)-u_{m}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{Q_{t}}\left(A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-A\left(t, x, u_{m}\right)\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+B \int_{Q_{t}}\left(\frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}-\frac{u_{m}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{m}+1 / m\right)^{\theta+1}}\right) T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \int_{Q_{t}}\left|u_{n}^{r}-u_{m}^{r}\right|\left|T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Theta_{k}\left(u_{n}(0)-u_{m}(0)\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(u_{n}(t)-u_{m}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leqslant \\
& \left.\quad \int_{Q_{t}}\left|A\left(t, x, u_{m}\right)\right| \nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}-A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}| | \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mid \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+B k \int_{Q_{t}}\left|\frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}-\frac{u_{m}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{m}+1 / m\right)^{\theta+1}}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \\
& \quad+k \int_{Q_{t}}\left|u_{n}^{r}-u_{m}^{r}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+k \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}(0)-u_{m}(0)\right| \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.2) and dividing this inequality by $k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}(t)-u_{m}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leqslant \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{k} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|A\left(t, x, u_{m}\right)\right| \nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}-A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}| | \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+B \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}}-\frac{u_{m}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{m}+1 / m\right)^{\theta+1}}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q_{T}}\left|u_{n}^{r}-u_{m}^{r}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}(0)-u_{m}(0)\right| \mathrm{d} x+\frac{k}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.1), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|A\left(t, x, u_{m}\right)\right| \nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}-A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \mid \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (2.27) and letting $k \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Consequently, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C\left([0, T], L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. This ends the proof of Lemma 2.10.
2.2. The end of the proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \varphi(0) \mathrm{d} x & +\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n} \partial_{t} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.32}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{\left(u_{n}+1 / n\right)^{\theta+1}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Arguing as in (2.31), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} A(t, x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Therefore by (2.27), we can easily pass to the limit in (2.32). Theorem 2.3 is proved.

## 3. $L^{1}$ Initial data

Theorem 3.1. Given $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, suppose that (1.3) holds true. Then problem (P) has at least a weak solution $u$, i.e., a function $u$ belonging to $L^{q}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), u>0,|\nabla u|^{p} / u^{\theta} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} u(0) \varphi(0) \mathrm{d} x & +\int_{Q_{T}} u \partial_{t} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}} A(t, x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.1}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} u^{r} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t, \quad q=p-\frac{\theta N}{N+1}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\varphi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$ and such that $\varphi(T)=0$ in $\Omega$.
3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\left(u_{0 n}\right), u_{0 n}=T_{n}\left(u_{0}\right) \geqslant 0$ be a sequence of bounded functions defined in $\Omega$, which converges to $u_{0}$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|u_{0 n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leqslant\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \\
u_{0 n} \leqslant n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

A nonnegative weak solution $u_{n} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ to problem (P) with $u_{n}(0, x)=u_{0 n}(x)$ does exist by Theorem 2.3. Therefore, $u_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{n}, \varphi\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t & +\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.2}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Using the same technique as in Proposition 2.7, there exists a positive constant $C_{\omega, T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \geqslant C_{\omega, T} \quad \text { in }(0, T) \times \omega, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a compactly contained open subset of $\Omega$.
Lemma 3.2. Assume that (1.3) hold with $p \geqslant 2$ and $u_{n}$ is the solution to problems (3.2). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C  \tag{3.4}\\
& \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C, \quad q=p-\frac{\theta N}{N+1} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Take $\varphi=T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as a test function in the weak formulation (3.2). By (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)(T) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\beta}{\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho}}\left|\nabla T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)(0) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \geqslant \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

dropping nonnegative terms in (3.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)(0) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{\left\{u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r+1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left|Q_{T}\right|+C_{1}+C \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(u_{n}-1\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, denoting $G_{1}(r)=r-T_{1}(r)$, we get the inequality

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} u_{n}^{-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C_{2}+C_{2} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
$$

so

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+1\right)^{-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C_{2}+C_{2} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t,
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{\theta}{p}\right)^{-p} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} & \left|\nabla\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+1\right)^{(1-\theta / p)}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant C_{2}+C_{2} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the Poincaré inequality implies

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+1\right)^{p-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C_{3}+C_{3} \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Observe that $r<p-\theta$. By Young's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p-\theta} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C_{4} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left(G_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)+1\right)^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C_{5} .
$$

So (3.4) is proved. To prove (3.5) we have by (3.4) and (3.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t & =\int_{\left\{u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho}}\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leqslant(1+\delta)^{\varrho} \int_{\left\{u_{n} \leqslant 1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}}{\left(\delta+u_{n}\right)^{\varrho}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.4), (3.7) and $q=p-\theta N /(N+1)$, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad=\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q}}{u_{n}^{\theta / p} u_{n}^{\theta q / p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{q / p}\left(\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}} u_{n}^{\theta q /(p-q)} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1-q / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{s} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1-q / p}, \quad s=\frac{q(N+1)}{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.2) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \leqslant C . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the following interpolation argument: $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)} \leqslant\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{\tau}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{*}}(\Omega)}^{1-\tau}$ with $1-\tau=\left((1-s) /\left(1-q^{*}\right)\right)\left(q^{*} / s\right)$, where $q^{*}=N q /(N-q)$ if $q<N$ and $q^{*}>1$ satisfying $(1-\tau) s=q$ otherwise. Using (3.11) and the Sobolev inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)}^{s} \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{(1-\tau) s} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

By this last inequality, (3.10), $q<p$, and (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t & \leqslant C\left(\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1-q / p}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leqslant C+C\left(\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1-q / p}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{n}>1\right\} \cap Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C .
$$

Furthermore, (3.9) implies estimate (3.5) and Lemma 3.2 is prooved.
3.2. Passage to the limit and finishing the proof of Theorem 3.1. Arguing as in Lemma 2.8, we obtain a subsequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and a mesurable function $u \in$ $L^{q}\left(0, T, W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly in } L^{q}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.13}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { and a.e. in } Q_{T},  \tag{3.14}\\
\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} . \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.14), (3.15), (1.1), and $q /(p-1)>1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \rightharpoonup A(t, x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \text { in }\left(L^{q /(p-1)}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right)^{N} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the technique used in the proof of Lemma 2.9,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \rightarrow \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{u^{\theta}} \text { strongly in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{r} \rightarrow u^{r} \text { strongly in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, thanks to (3.14), we just have to show that the sequence $\left(u_{n}^{r}\right)$ is equiintegrable, but this is straightforward taking into account (3.4), (3.8), $r<p-\theta$, and Hölder's inequality. Finally, for $\varphi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} u_{n}(0) \varphi(0) \mathrm{d} x & +\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n} \partial_{t} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{Q_{T}} A\left(t, x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.19}\\
& +B \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{u_{n}^{\theta}} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n}^{r} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18), we can easily pass to the limit in (3.19). Taking into account (3.3) and Lemma 2.10, Theorem 3.1 is proved.
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