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#### Abstract

In this paper, the structures of collection of pronormal subgroups of dicyclic, symmetric and alternating groups $G$ are studied in respect of formation of lattices $\mathrm{L}(G)$ and sublattices of $\mathrm{L}(G)$. It is proved that the collections of all pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ do not form sublattices of respective $L\left(\mathrm{~A}_{n}\right)$ and $L\left(\mathrm{~S}_{n}\right)$, whereas the collection of all pronormal subgroups $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ of a dicyclic group is a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$. Furthermore, it is shown that $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ are lower semimodular lattices.
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## 1. Introduction and notation

It is known that the set of all subgroups of a given finite group $G$ forms a lattice denoted by $\mathrm{L}(G)$ with $H \wedge K=H \cap K$ and $H \vee K=\langle H \cup K\rangle=\langle H, K\rangle$, see Grätzer [4], Schmidt [13], Suzuki [15]. For the group theoretic concepts and notations, we refer to Passi [7], Schmidt [13], Suzuki [15].

The following nomenclature is being used throughout this article in which $G$ denotes a finite group.
$\triangleright \mathrm{LN}(G)$ - collection of all normal subgroups of $G$, which is a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}(G)$.
$\triangleright \operatorname{LPrN}(G)$ - collection of all pronormal subgroups of $G$.
$\triangleright \operatorname{LSPrN}(G)$ - collection of all strongly pronormal subgroups of $G$.
$\triangleright|G|$ - order of $G$ - cardinality of $G$.
$\triangleright|\mathrm{L}(G)|$ - number of subgroups of $G$ - cardinality of $\mathrm{L}(G)$.
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$\triangleright o(a)$ - order of an element $a$ of $G$.
$\triangleright e$ - neutral (identity) element in $G$.
$\triangleright\langle H, K\rangle$ - subgroup generated by $H$ and $K$.
$\triangleright \mathrm{d}(n)$ - number of divisors of number $n$.
$\triangleright \mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ - dicyclic group of order $4 n$.
$\triangleright(k, l)$ - greatest common divisor of $k, l$.
$\triangleright[k, l]$ - least common multiplier of $k, l$.
Note that $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ denotes the symmetric group on $n$ symbols and $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ denotes the alternating group on $n$ symbols, which is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$.

The following definition of a pronormal subgroup of a finite group is due to Hall [5], see Vdovin [16].

Definition 1.1 ([16]). Let $G$ be a group and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is said to be pronormal if $H$ and given conjugates of $H$ in $G$, say $H^{g}$, are also conjugates in the subgroup generated by $H$ and $H^{g}$, namely $\left\langle H, H^{g}\right\rangle$.

We recall the examples of pronormal subgroups in various groups as follows; see Mann [8], Peng [11], Rose [12], Vdovin [16].
$\triangleright$ Every Hall subgroup (a subgroup whose order is coprime to its index) of a finite solvable group is pronormal.
$\triangleright$ Every normal subgroup of a group is pronormal.
$\triangleright$ Every maximal subgroup of a group is pronormal.
$\triangleright$ Every Sylow $p$-subgroup of a finite group is pronormal.
$\triangleright$ Every Carter subgroup (a nilpotent self-normalizing subgroup) of a finite solvable group is pronormal.
The collection of pronormal subgroups of dihedral groups and the collection of all Hall subgroups of alternating groups, symmetric groups and dihedral groups are studied in Mitkari and Kharat [9], [10].

## 2. Structure of pronormal subgroups of dicyclic groups

In this section, some properties of the collection of pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ are investigated.

Definition 2.1. The dicyclic group (also called binary dihedral group) with parameter $n$ of order $4 n$ is defined as for $n \geqslant 3, \operatorname{Dic}_{n}=\left\langle a, b: a^{2 n}=e, b^{2}=a^{n}\right.$, $\left.b a b^{-1}=a^{-1}\right\rangle$.

The complete listing of subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is in the following theorem, for more details see Tărnăuceanu [6].

Theorem 2.2. Every subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is cyclic or dicyclic. A complete listing of the subgroups is as follows:
(1) $\left\langle a^{d}\right\rangle$, where $d \mid 2 n$, with index $2 d$,
(2) $\left\langle a^{k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $k \mid n$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$, with index $k$.

Every subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ occurs exactly once in this listing.
Remark 2.3.
(1) A subgroup of Dic $_{n}$ is said to be of Type (1) if it is a cyclic subgroup as stated in (1) of Theorem 2.2.
(2) A subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is said to be of Type (2) if it is a dicyclic subgroup as stated in (2) of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. In $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$, we have the following:
(1) $\left(a^{k} b\right)^{-1}=b^{-1}\left(a^{k}\right)^{-1}=b^{3}\left(a^{-k}\right)=b a^{n-k}=a^{k-n} b$,
(2) $b a^{k}=a^{2 n-k} b=a^{-k} b, b a^{k} b^{-1}=a^{-k}$,
(3) elements of group $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ are either of form $a^{k m}$ or of form $a^{k m+i} b$ for some $k$.

Lemma 2.5. Every subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ of Type (1) is normal.
Proof. We have $a^{k} b\left\langle a^{d}\right\rangle\left(a^{k} b\right)^{-1}=a^{k} b\left\langle a^{d}\right\rangle a^{k-n} b=\left\langle a^{d}\right\rangle$ for any $d \mid 2 n$. Therefore $\left\langle a^{d}\right\rangle$ is normal.

We obtain the conjugate subgroup of a Type (2) subgroup determined by an element of group $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$. Then $H^{a^{k}}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k+i} b\right\rangle$, $H^{a^{k} b}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k-i} b\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle H, H^{a^{k}}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$.

Proof. As $b a^{-k}=a^{k} b, a^{k}\left(a^{i} b\right) a^{-k}=a^{2 k+i} b$, and we have that $H^{a^{k}}=$ $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k+i} b\right\rangle$. Next, $\left(a^{k} b\right) a^{m}\left(a^{k} b\right)^{-1}=a^{k}\left(b a^{m} b^{-1}\right) a^{-k}=a^{k} a^{-m} a^{-k}$ and $\left(a^{k} b\right) a^{i} b \times$ $\left(a^{k} b\right)^{-1}=\left(a^{k} b\right) a^{i} b b^{-1} a^{-k}=a^{k} b a^{i-k}=a^{k} a^{k-i} b=a^{2 k-i} b$, so that $H^{a^{k} b}=$ $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k-i} b\right\rangle$. Finally, $\left\langle H, H^{a^{k}}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b, a^{2 k+i} b\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, because $a^{2 k+i} b\left(a^{i} b\right)^{-1}=a^{2 k}$.

We prove that the conjugate of a given subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is determined by a power of the generator $a$ of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$.

Lemma 2.7. For any subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $H^{a^{k} b}=H^{a^{j}}$.

Proof. Let $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$. By Lemma 2.6 we have $H^{a^{k-i}}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2(k-i)+i} b\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k-i} b\right\rangle=H^{a^{k} b}$.

In what follows, we characterize the pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$.

Theorem 2.8. A subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is pronormal unless it is of the form $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $4|m| n$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$.

Proof. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$. If $H$ is of Type (1), then it is pronormal since it is normal by Lemma 2.5 . We therefore assume the possibilities only when $H$ is a subgroup of Type (2).

Claim 1. If $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of Type (2) and $m$ is not divisible by 4 , then $H$ is pronormal.

In the view of Lemma 2.7, it is sufficient to consider $H^{x}$ for an element $x=a^{k}$ of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$. As $H^{x}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k+i} b\right\rangle$, we claim that $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=$ $(m, 2 k)$. Indeed, note that $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, and as $g \mid m$ and $g \mid 2 k$, we have $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$. Moreover, if $z \in\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, then either $z=a^{l g}$ or $z=a^{g q+i} b$ for some $l, q \in \mathbb{N}$. If $z=a^{l g}$, then $z \in\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle=\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle$. If $z=a^{g q+i} b$, for some $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $g=m t_{1}+2 k t_{2}$, hence $z=a^{\left(m t_{1}+2 k t_{2}\right) q+i} b \in\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 k}, a^{i} b\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle$, and this proves that $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$.

We claim that $H$ and $H^{x}$ are conjugates in $\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, i.e., there exists $y \in\left\langle H^{x}, H\right\rangle$ such that $H^{x}=H^{y}$ holds. We have $g=(m, 2 k)$, so let $m=g m^{\prime}$ and $2 k=g k^{\prime}$ for some $m^{\prime}, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that if $m$ is even, then $2 \mid g$ and since $4 \nmid m$, we have $\left(m^{\prime}, 2\right)=1$. Also, if $m$ is odd, then $2 \nmid m^{\prime}$ and so $\left(m^{\prime}, 2\right)=1$. In both the cases we have $\left(m^{\prime}, 2\right)=1$ and therefore there exist $d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1=m^{\prime} d_{1}+2 d_{2}$. Now, $g k^{\prime}=m^{\prime} g d_{1} k^{\prime}+2 g d_{2} k^{\prime}=m d_{1} k^{\prime}+2 g d_{2} k^{\prime}=m s_{1}+2 g s_{2}$, where $s_{1}=d_{1} k^{\prime}$ and $s_{2}=d_{2} k^{\prime}$, i.e., $2 k=m s_{1}+2 g s_{2}$. Put $y=a^{g s_{2}}$. Then $H^{y}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2 g s_{2}+i} b\right\rangle$ and so it contains an element $a^{2 k+i} b$ of $H^{x}$ and consequently, $H^{x} \subseteq H^{y}$. Therefore, $H^{x}=H^{y}$ since $H^{x}$ and $H^{y}$ have the same number of elements.

Claim 2. If $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of Type (2) and $m \geqslant 1$ is divisible by 4 , then $H$ is not pronormal.

In order to show that $H$ is not pronormal in $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$, it is sufficient to find an element $g \in \mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ such that $H$ and $H^{g}$ are not conjugates in $\left\langle H, H^{g}\right\rangle$. We have $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b, a^{2}\right\rangle$. As $m$ is even, we have $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{2}, b\right\rangle$ if $i$ is even and $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{2}, a b\right\rangle$ if $i$ is odd. As such, we have the following two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that $i$ is odd. In this case, $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{2}, a b\right\rangle$, and if $H$ and $H^{a}$ are conjugates in $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$, then there must exist an element $x \in\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$ such that $H^{a}=H^{x}$ and such $x$ is of the form $a^{2 p}$ for some $p$ or $a^{2 p+1} b$ for some $p$.

Subcase 1.1: If $x=a^{2 p}$, then $H^{x}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{4 p+i} b\right\rangle=H^{a}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2+i} b\right\rangle$ and we must have $a^{m q} a^{4 p+i} b=a^{2+i} b$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. But then, $a^{m q+4 p}=a^{2}$, i.e., $a^{m q+4 p-2}=e$. Now, $o(a)=2 n$ and $4 \mid n$, therefore we have $2 n \mid 4 p+m q-2$ and so $4 \mid 4 p+m q-2$. Also, $4 \mid m$ and so we must have $4 \mid-2$, which is not true and therefore no such $x$ exists.

Subcase 1.2: If $x=a^{2 p+1} b$, then $H^{x}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{4 p+2-i} b\right\rangle=H^{a}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2+i} b\right\rangle$, and so we must have $a^{m q} a^{4 p+2-i} b=a^{2+i} b$ for some $q$. As such, $a^{m q+4 p+2-i}=a^{2+i}$, i.e., $a^{m q+4 p-2 i}=e$. Now, $o(a)=2 n$ and $4 \mid n$, so we have $2 n \mid 4 p+m q-2 i$ and $4 \mid 4 p+m q-2 i$. Also, $4 \mid m$ and so we must have $4 \mid 2 i$, which is not possible as $i$ is odd, and so, no such $x$ exists. Therefore, in this Case $1, H$ and $H^{a}$ are not conjugates in $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$.

Case 2: Suppose that $i$ is even. In this case, $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{2}, b\right\rangle$, and if $H$ and $H^{a}$ are conjugates in $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$, then there must exist an element $x \in\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$ such that $H^{a}=H^{x}$ and such $x$ is of the form $a^{2 p}$ for some $p$ or $a^{2 p} b$ for some $p$.

Subcase 2.1: If $x=a^{2 p}$, then $H^{x}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{4 p+i} b\right\rangle=H^{a}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2+i} b\right\rangle$, and so we have $a^{m q} a^{4 p+i} b=a^{2+i} b$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. As such, $a^{m q+4 p}=a^{2}$, i.e., $a^{m q+4 p-2}=e$. Now, $o(a)=n$ and $4 \mid n$ and so we have $2 n \mid 4 p+m q-2$ and $4 \mid 4 p+m q-2$. Also, $4 \mid m$ and so we must have $4 \mid-2$, which is not true and so no such $x$ exists.

Subcase 2.2: If $x=a^{2 p} b$, then $H^{x}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{4 p-i} b\right\rangle=H^{a}=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{2+i} b\right\rangle$ and so we have $a^{m q} a^{4 p-i} b=a^{2+i} b$ for some $q$. Accordingly, $a^{m q+4 p-i}=a^{2+i}$, i.e., $a^{m q+4 p-2 i-2}=e$. Now, $o(a)=2 n$ and $4 \mid n$, and so we have $2 n \mid 4 p+m q-2 i-2$ and $4 \mid 4 p+m q-2 i-2$. Also, $4 \mid m$ and so we must have $4 \mid 2$, which is not true and so no such $x$ exists. Therefore, in Case 2 also, $H$ and $H^{a}$ are not conjugates in $\left\langle H, H^{a}\right\rangle$. Consequently, in either of these cases, the subgroup $H$ is not pronormal.

It is known that the number of subgroups of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}=\left|\mathrm{L}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|=$ number of divisors of $2 n+$ sum of divisors of $n, n \geqslant 3$. We have the following formula for the number of pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$, i.e., $\left|\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|$.

Corollary 2.9. For any n, $\left|\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|=\mathrm{d}(2 n)+\sum_{4 \backslash d^{\prime} \mid n} d^{\prime}$.
Proof. From Theorem 2.8, for every choice of a divisor $m$ of $n$ which is not divisible by 4 there is a dicyclic pronormal subgroup $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ for every $i$. Moreover, every divisor $m$ of $2 n$ will determine a cyclic pronormal subgroup $\left\langle a^{m}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$ and these are the only pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$.

We prove that the set of all pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ forms a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$ for any $n$.

Theorem 2.10. $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.
Proof. We show that the intersection of two pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is again pronormal. Let $H$ and $K$ be two pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$. If one of these subgroups is cyclic of the form $\left\langle a^{k}\right\rangle$, then by Lemma 2.5, we are through. So, let $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ and $K=\left\langle a^{r}, a^{j} b\right\rangle$ for some $m, r \geqslant 1, m|n, r| n, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$, moreover $4 \nmid m, 4 \nmid r$ by Theorem 2.8. Suppose that for some $k$, $a^{k} b \in H \cap K$. Then there is $l$ such that $H \cap K=\left\langle a^{[m, r]}, a^{l} b\right\rangle$. As $4 \nmid m$ and $4 \nmid r$,
we also have that $4 \nmid[m, r]$ and $H \cap K=\left\langle a^{[m, r]}, a^{l} b\right\rangle$ is pronormal by Theorem 2.8. If $H \cap K$ is cyclic, it is pronormal by Lemma 2.5. Therefore the intersection of any two pronormal subgroups is a pronormal subgroup.

Next, we prove that the subgroup generated by the union of two pronormal subgroups is pronormal. Let $H$ and $K$ be two pronormal subgroups of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$.

Case I: Suppose that both $H$ and $K$ are a subgroups of Type (2), say $H=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ and $K=\left\langle a^{r}, a^{j} b\right\rangle$ for some $m, r \geqslant 1, m|n, r| n, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1,0 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$, moreover $4 \nmid m, 4 \nmid r$ by Theorem 2.8.

We contend that $\langle H \cup K\rangle=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=(m, r, i-j)$. Indeed, for $S=$ $\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ and $x \in S$, we have $x=a^{g k_{1}+i} b$, for some $k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, since $g=$ $(m, r, i-j)$, there exist $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g=m p_{1}+r p_{2}+(i-j) p_{3}$ and so $x=a^{\left(m p_{1}+r p_{2}+(i-j) p_{3}\right) k_{1}+i} b$, which is a finite product of elements of $H$ and $K$, and so $x \in\langle H \cup K\rangle$, therefore $S \subseteq\langle H \cup K\rangle$. Now to show that $S \supseteq\langle H \cup K\rangle$, it is sufficient to show that $a^{j} b \in S$. We have $a^{i} b \in S, a^{j-i} \in S$ and so $a^{j} b \in S$. Consequently, $\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b, a^{r}, a^{j} b\right\rangle \subseteq S$, i.e., $S \supseteq\langle H \cup K\rangle$.

Now, since $H$ and $K$ are pronormal, we have $4 \nmid m$ and $4 \nmid r$, and so $4 \nmid g$, which implies that $\langle H \cup K\rangle$ is pronormal.

Case II: Suppose that both $H$ and $K$ are a cyclic subgroups of Type (1), then obviously $\langle H \cup K\rangle$ is also cyclic of Type (1) which is normal by Lemma 2.5 and so pronormal.

Case III: Suppose that one of $H$ and $K$ is a cyclic subgroups of Type (1) and the other one is of Type (2), say $H=\left\langle a^{r}\right\rangle$ and $K=\left\langle a^{m}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$. Then $\langle H \cup K\rangle=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=(m, r)$. Now, $4 \nmid m$, so $4 \nmid g$, which implies that $\langle H \cup K\rangle$ is pronormal.

We conclude that given pronormal subgroups $H$ and $K$ of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$, we have that both $H \vee K=\langle H \cup K\rangle$ and $H \wedge K=H \cap K$ are pronormal. Therefore $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Now, we establish lattice theoretic property, namely lower semimodularity in $\mathrm{L}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Definition 2.11 ([14]). A lattice $L$ is said to be lower semimodular (LSM) if it satisfies the following condition:
$\triangleright$ If $T \prec T \vee S$, then $T \wedge S \prec S$ for $T, S \in L$.

Theorem 2.12. $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is lower semimodular.
Proof. Let $T, S \in \mathrm{~L}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ be such that $T \prec T \vee S$. We claim that $T \wedge S \prec S$.
Case 1: Let $T$ and $S$ be two cyclic subgroups of Type (1), say $T=\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle$. Clearly, $T \vee S=\left\langle a^{g}\right\rangle$, where $g=(t, s)$. Note that $\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{g}\right\rangle$ if and only if $g p=t$ for a prime $p \mid n$.

Note that $T \wedge S=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l=[s, t]$ and as $g \mid s$, we have $s=g q$ for a positive integer $q$, then $l=[g q, g p]=g[q, p]=g q p$. (Note that $p \nmid q$ as $(t, s) \neq t)$. Consequently, $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{s p}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle=S$.

Case 2: Let $T$ be a cyclic subgroup of Type (1) and $S$ be a subgroup of Type (2), say $T=\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$.

Clearly, $T \vee S=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=(t, s)$. We have that $\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ is true if and only if $g=t$.

Note that $T \wedge S=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l=[s, t]$, as $g \mid s$, we have $s=g q=t q$ for a positive integer $q$, then $l=[g q, t]=g[q, 1]=g q=t q=s$. Consequently, $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{s}, a^{i} b\right\rangle=S$.

Case 3: Let $T$ be a subgroup of Type (2) and $S$ be a cyclic subgroup of Type (1), say $T=\left\langle a^{t}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle$.

Clearly, $T \vee S=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=(t, s)$. We have that $\left\langle a^{t}, a^{i} b\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ is true if and only if $g p=t$ for a prime $p \mid n$.

Note that $T \wedge S=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l=[s, t]$, as $g \mid s$, we have $s=g q$ for a positive integer $q$, then $l=[g q, g p]=g[q, p]=g q p$. (Note that, $p \nmid q$ as $(t, s) \neq t$ ). Consequently, $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{s p}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle=S$.

Case 4: Let $T$ and $S$ be subgroups of Type (2), say $T=\left\langle a^{t}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}, a^{j} b\right\rangle$, where $t, s \mid n$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1,0 \leqslant j \leqslant s-1$. It is easy to see that $T \vee S=\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$, where $g=(t, s, i-j)$. We have that $\left\langle a^{t}, a^{i} b\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{g}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ is true if and only if $g p=t$ for some a $p \mid n$.

Subcase 4.1: Suppose that the equation $t x_{1}+s x_{2}=i-j$ has a solution, namely $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Number $i-j$ is a multiple of $(t, s)$ in this case. Substituting the values of $t$ and $s$ we get $g p x_{1}+g q x_{2}=g \alpha$, where $s=g q$ and $i-j=g \alpha$. If $p \mid q$, then $p \mid \alpha$ since the equation has a solution. Consequently, we get $g=t$, which is a contradiction to the assumption $T \prec T \vee S$. And so we must have $p \nmid q$. Note that $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}, a^{k} b\right\rangle$, where $l=[s, t]$, then $l=[g q, g p]=g[q, p]=g q p$. Consequently, $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}, a^{k} b\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{s p}, a^{k} b\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{s}, a^{j} b\right\rangle=S$.

Subcase 4.2: Suppose that equation $t x_{1}+s x_{2}=i-j$ has no solution. If for some $0<l<2 n, a^{l} b \in H \cap K$, then there are $p, q$ such that $a^{p t+i} b=a^{l} b=a^{q s+j} b$. This means that $t p+i \equiv s q+j(\bmod 2 \mathrm{n})$, it means that for some $k t(-p)+s q+2 k n=i-j$. As $t|n, s| n$, there are $u, v$ such that $t u=n, s v=n$. Then $2 k n=t(k u)+s(k v)$ and $t(-p+k u)+s(q+k v)=i-j$, which means that the equation $t x_{1}+s x_{2}=i-j$ has a solution, a contradiction. Consequently, in this case, we have $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle$, where $l=[s, t]$. If $p \nmid q$, then $(p, q)=1$, therefore $g=(t, s)$ and so the equation $t x_{1}+s x_{2}=i-j$ will have a solution, which is a contradiction. Therefore $p \mid q$ is true, so $t \mid s$ and so $[s, t]=l=s$. This concludes, $S \wedge T=\left\langle a^{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle \prec\left\langle a^{s}, a^{j} b\right\rangle=S$. Hence $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is lower semimodular.

Corollary 2.13. $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is lower semimodular.
Proof. In order to show that $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is lower semimodular, it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a cover preserving the sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$. Already, we have $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ as a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ by Theorem 2.8. We show that for given pronormal subgroups $T$ and $S$ of $\mathrm{L}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ such that $T \prec S$ in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$, we have to have $T \prec S$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Case I: Suppose that both $T$ and $S$ are cyclic subgroups of Type (1) with $T \prec S$ in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$. As every cyclic subgroup of Type (1) is normal and so pronormal, we have $T \prec S$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Case II: Suppose that $T$ is a cyclic subgroup of Type (1) and $S$ is a subgroup of Type (2) such that $T \prec S$ in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Let $T=\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ such that $T \prec S . S$ is pronormal, so $4 \nmid s$ by Theorem 2.8 and also $s \mid t$, say $s q=t$. If $T \nprec S$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$, then we must have $\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle \subseteq S$ and if $q \neq 1$, then $\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle \subsetneq\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle$. Subgroups of Type (1) are pronormal in $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$ and therefore $\left\langle a^{s}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$, a contradiction to the assumption that $T \prec S$ in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$. Hence, we must have $t=s$ and so $T \prec S$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ as well.

Case III: Suppose that $T$ and $S$ are subgroups of Type (2) such that $T \prec S$ in $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Let $T=\left\langle a^{t}, a^{j} b\right\rangle$ and $S=\left\langle a^{s}, a^{i} b\right\rangle$ such that $T \prec S$. Subgroups $S, T$ are pronormal and therefore $4 \nmid t$ and $4 \nmid s$. If $T \nprec S$ in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$, then there exists a dicyclic subgroup, say $X=\left\langle a^{x}, a^{k} b\right\rangle$ containing $T$ and contained in $S$, which implies that $\left\langle a^{t}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle a^{x}\right\rangle$, but as $X$ is not pronormal, we must have $4 \mid x$ and as $x \mid t$, we have $4 \mid t$, a contradiction to the fact that $T$ is a dicyclic pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$. Hence, no such subgroup exists. Consequently, $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a cover preserving sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and hence lower semimodular.

## 3. Essential elements in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$

Definition 3.1 ([2]). An element $e \in L$ is called essential if $e \wedge a \neq 0$ holds for each element $a \in L, a \neq 0$.

In this section we determine a number of essential elements of $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let LEssPrN( $\left.\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ be the collection of essential elements of the lattice $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$. Then $\left|\operatorname{LEssPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|=\mathrm{d}(a)+\sum_{4 \nmid d^{\prime} \mid a} d^{\prime}$, where $a=$ $2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)$ and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are mutually different odd primes. Moreover, $\operatorname{LEssPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a filter (dual ideal) generated by $\left\langle a^{2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Firstly, we determine essential elements of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$. Note that if a subgroup $E$ of $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$ is an essential element in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$, then by definition $E \wedge A \neq\{e\}$ for any subgroup $A \neq\{e\}$, i.e., a subgroup that intersects every subgroup nontrivially. In particular, $E$ intersects every atom of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and therefore $E$ contains every atom. Note that a subgroup of $\mathrm{Dic}_{n}$ is an atom of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ if and only if it is a cyclic subgroup of a prime order of Type (1) and all these subgroups are pronormal in $\operatorname{Dic}_{n}$. As $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$, lattices $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ have the same atoms, so these subgroups are also all atoms of $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$. But then, the join of atoms in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is nothing but

$$
\bigvee_{m=1}^{z}\left\langle a^{2^{\alpha}} \prod_{m=1}^{z} p_{m}^{\alpha_{m}-1}\right\rangle \vee\left\langle a^{2^{\alpha-1}} \Pi_{m=1}^{z} p_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{2^{\alpha-1}} \prod_{m=1}^{z} p_{m}^{\alpha_{m}-1}\right\rangle
$$

Consequently, the only essential elements of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ and of $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ are the subgroups of respective lattices which contain the subgroup $\left\langle a^{2^{\alpha-1}} \prod_{m=1}^{z} p_{m}^{\alpha m-1}\right\rangle$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Less}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a filter in $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$ generated by $\left\langle a^{2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)}\right\rangle$. Consequently, $\left|\operatorname{Less}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|=\mathrm{d}\left(2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)\right)+\sum_{d^{*} \mid 2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)} d^{*}$.

Secondly, we determine essential elements of $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{Dic}_{n}\right)$. In order to find a number of essential elements in $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ it is sufficient to find a number of subgroups which contain $K=\left\langle a^{2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)}\right\rangle$. Note that a number of cyclic subgroups containing $K$ is $\mathrm{d}\left(2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)\right)$. In view of Theorem 2.8 we have a number of dicyclic pronormal subgroups containing $K$ is $\sum_{4 \backslash d^{*} \mid 2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)} d^{*}$. Therefore

$$
\left|\operatorname{LEssPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)\right|=\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 n}{2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}}\right)+\sum_{4 \backslash d^{*} \mid 2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)} d^{*} .
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{LEssPrN}\left(\operatorname{Dic}_{n}\right)$ is a filter generated by $K=\left\langle a^{2^{\alpha-1}} \Pi_{m=1}^{z} p_{m}^{\alpha_{m}-1}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle a^{2 n /\left(2 p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{z}\right)}\right\rangle$.

## 4. Structure of pronormal subgroups of symmetric

## AND ALTERNATING GROUPS

In this section, the collection of pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{n}$, namely, $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$, respectively, are studied in respect of formation of sublattices of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$.

In what follows, a subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ is strongly pronormal if for all subgroups $K$ of $H$ and $g \in G$, the subgroup $K^{g}$ is a conjugate to a subgroup of $H$ (not necessarily to $K$ ) by an element of $\left\langle H, K^{g}\right\rangle$.

Proposition 4.1 ([16]). Let $m, n \in N$ and $1<m \leqslant n$. Then the following statements hold:
$\triangleright A$ subgroup $\mathrm{S}_{m}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ is pronormal if and only if $m>\frac{1}{2} n$.
$\triangleright$ A subgroup $\mathrm{S}_{m}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ is strongly pronormal if and only if $m>n-2$. For $\frac{1}{2} n<m<n-1$, in particular, a subgroup $\mathrm{S}_{m}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ is pronormal but it is not strongly pronormal.

Note that $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$, where $\mathrm{S}_{4}=\langle(1234)$, (12) $\rangle$. Also $M_{1}=\langle(123),(12)\rangle$ and $M_{2}=\langle(234),(23)\rangle$ are subgroups isomorphic to $S_{3}$ and being maximal subgroups, both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are pronormal. However, $M_{1} \wedge M_{2}=\langle(23)\rangle$, which is not pronormal, therefore $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$. In fact, we have the following result about $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 4$.

Theorem 4.2. $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 4$.
Proof. Case I: Suppose that $n$ is even. Consider subgroups $M_{1}=\left\langle\left(123 \ldots \frac{1}{2} \times\right.\right.$ $(n+2)),(12)\rangle$ and $M_{2}=\left\langle\left(23 \ldots \frac{1}{2}(n+4)\right),(23)\right\rangle$. Note that both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are pronormal being isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}_{(n+2) / 2}$ by Proposition 4.1. Moreover, $M_{1} \wedge M_{2}=$ $\left\langle\left(23 \ldots \frac{1}{2}(n+2)\right),(23)\right\rangle \cong S_{n / 2}$, which is not pronormal in $S_{n}$ by Proposition 4.1. As such, we conclude that whenever $n$ is even we get two pronormal subgroups whose meet is not pronormal, which proves that in this case $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$.

Case II: Suppose that $n$ is odd. Consider subgroups $M_{1}=\left\langle\left(123 \ldots \frac{1}{2}(n+1)\right),(12)\right\rangle$ and $M_{2}=\left\langle\left(23 \ldots \frac{1}{2}(n+3)\right),(23)\right\rangle$. Note that both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are pronormal being isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}_{(n+1) / 2}$ by Proposition 4.1. Moreover, $M_{1} \wedge M_{2}=$ $\left\langle\left(23 \ldots \frac{1}{2}(n+1)\right),(23)\right\rangle \cong S_{(n-1) / 2}$, which is not pronormal in $S_{n}$ by Proposition 4.1. As such, we conclude that whenever $n$ is odd, we get two pronormal subgroups whose meet is not pronormal, which proves that in this case $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$.

Consequently, a collection of pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{S}_{n}, \operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{n}\right)$, is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 4$.

Corollary 4.3. $\mathrm{LSPrN}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{S}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 4$.
Proof. Clearly, for $n=3$ every subgroup is pronormal as every subgroup is maximal. For $n \geqslant 4$, consider the subgroups, say $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, which are maximal subgroups of $S_{n}$, each one is isomorphic to $S_{n-1}$ and so strongly pronormal. Note that $M_{1} \wedge M_{2} \cong S_{n-2}$, which is not strongly pronormal by Proposition 4.1, i.e., an intersection of two strongly pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ is not strongly pronormal in general.

We use the following facts, see respectively Benesh [1] and Giovanni [3].

1. In the alternating group $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, all non-cyclic subgroups are pronormal. Moreover, every subgroup of order 2 of $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ is not pronormal.
2. Every subgroup $K$ of $A_{n}$ which is isomorphic to $A_{n-1}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and that means that $K$ is also a pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$. We shall use this fact for $K=\mathrm{A}_{n-1}$, which can be naturally considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$. Let $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots n\}, S\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots a_{k}\right\}\right)$ be a symmetric group of the set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, which can be naturally considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{n}$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, let $X_{i}=\{1, \ldots, n\}-\{i, n\}$. For $n \geqslant 5$ every subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ of form $\left\langle S\left(X_{i}\right), S(\{i, n\}\rangle \cap A_{n}\right.$ and of the form $\langle S(\{1,2\}), S(\{3, \ldots, n\})\rangle \cap A_{n}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ (isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}_{n-2}$ ) and that means $H$ is also a pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$.

Theorem 4.4. $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 5$.
Proof. Case 1: Let $n=5$. Let $K=\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and $H_{s}=\langle S(\{1,2\}), S(\{3,4,5\})\rangle \cap$ $\mathrm{A}_{5}=\langle(1,2),(3,4,5),(3,4)\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{5}$. We know that subgroups $K$ and $H_{s}$ are pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{A}_{5}$. Moreover, $K \cap H_{s}=\langle(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$, which is not a pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, because it has 2 elements. Therefore $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$.

Case 2: Let $n=6$. Let $K=\mathrm{A}_{5}, H_{5}=\left\langle S\left(X_{5}\right), S(\{5,6\})\right\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{6}=\langle(1,2),(1,2,3,4)$, $(5,6)\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{6}$, and $H_{s}=\left\langle S(\{1,2\}), S(\{3,4,5,6\}) \cap \mathrm{A}_{6}=\langle(1,2),(3,4,5,6),(3,4)\rangle \mathrm{A}_{6}\right.$. We know that subgroups $K, H_{5}$ and $H_{s}$ are pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. Moreover, $K \cap H_{5}=\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and therefore $K \cap H_{5} \cap H_{s}=\mathrm{A}_{4} \cap H_{s}=\langle(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$, which is not a pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{6}$. Therefore $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{6}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{A}_{6}\right)$.

Case 3: Let $n \geqslant 7$. For $5 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, let $H_{i}=\left\langle S\left(X_{i}\right), S(\{i, n\})\right\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{n}=\langle(1,2)$, $(1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n-1),(i, n)\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{n}$ and let $H_{s}=\langle S(\{1,2\}), S(\{3, \ldots, n\})\rangle \cap$ $\mathrm{A}_{n}=\langle(1,2),(3,4, \ldots, n),(3,4)\rangle \cap \mathrm{A}_{n}$. We know, that subgroups $H_{n-1}, H_{n-2}, \ldots, H_{5}$ and $H_{s}$ are pronormal subgroups of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$. It is easy to see that $H_{n-1} \cap H_{n-2}=$ $\mathrm{A}_{n-3}, H_{n-1} \cap H_{n-2} \cap H_{n-3}=\mathrm{A}_{n-4}, \ldots, H_{n-1} \cap H_{n-2} \cap \ldots \cap H_{5}=\mathrm{A}_{4}$. Therefore $H_{n-1} \cap H_{n-2} \cap \ldots \cap H_{5} \cap H_{s}=\mathrm{A}_{4} \cap H_{s}=\langle(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$, which is not a pronormal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and consequently, $\operatorname{LPrN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 7$.

Note that to use the argument of this case we need to intersect at least 2 subgroups, so that the list $H_{n-1}, \ldots, H_{5}$ must contain at least 2 groups, which is true for $n \geqslant 7$.
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